

Matteo Bianchetti

February 19, 2025

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Mathematical background	3
	2.1 Induction and recursion on the natural numbers	3
	2.2 Complete induction	3
	2.3 Generalized induction and recursion	4
\mathbf{A}	Errata	8

Preface

I solve some exercises and prove some statements from Avigad et al., Logic and mechanized reasoning (v 0.1). In the appendix, I list the errata that I have found.

Notation

Chapter 1

Introduction

The authors lists three ideas that, it seems, are jointly found for the first time in the work of Ramon Llull (1232?-1316):¹

- 1. Symbols can stand for ideas.
- 2. One can generate complex ideas by combining simpler ones.
- 3. Mechanical devices can serve as aids to reasoning.

 $^{^{1}\}mathrm{The}$ author spells the monk's last name as "Lull".

Chapter 2

Mathematical background

Key concepts:

- 1. proof by induction (p. 3)
- 2. definition by recursion (p. 4)
- 3. proof by complete induction (p. 5)
- 4. definition by course-of-values recursion (p. 5).
- 5. inductive definition (p. 6).

2.1 Induction and recursion on the natural numbers

2.2 Complete induction

On p. 5, the authors define the following function recursively:

$$f(n, k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 0 \text{ or } k = n \\ f(n-1, k) + f(n-1, k-1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where n and k are natural numbers and $k \leq n$. One more usually write the above function as

$$\binom{n}{k} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 0 \text{ or } k = n \\ \binom{n-1}{k} + \binom{n-1}{k-1} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here $\binom{n}{k}$ indicates the number of ways of choosing k objects out of n without repetition. The equation in the second case, i.e.

$$\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n-1}{k} + \binom{n-1}{k-1}$$

is called *Pascal's identity*. Its intuitive justification is as a follows. Let x be an object among the n-many objects that are given. Then, if you do not choose x, you have to choose k objects from the now n-1-many given objects. If you do choose x, then you have to continue by selecting k-1

objects from the now n-1-many objects. Since every selection of k objects from the given n objects either include or does not include x, then the total number of ways of choosing k objects out of n without repetition is the sum of the ways of selecting k objects from n-1 objects (when you do not choose x) and the number of ways of selecting k-1 objects from n-1 objects (when you choose x).

Theorem 2.1.
$$\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$$

Proof. I reason by induction. The statement is true for n = 0. Now, suppose that it holds for n - 1. I show that it holds for n too. The following equalities hold:

$$\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n-1}{k} + \binom{n-1}{k-1}$$
 [by definition]
$$= \frac{(n-1)!}{k!(n-1-k)!} + \frac{(n-1)!}{(k-1)!(n-1-(k-1))!}$$
 [by induction]
$$= \frac{(n-1)!}{k!(n-1-k)!} + \frac{(n-1)!}{(k-1)!(n-1-k+1)!}$$
 [by induction]
$$= \frac{(n-1)!}{k!(n-1-k)!} + \frac{(n-1)!}{(k-1)!(n-k)!}$$

$$= \frac{(n-1)!}{k!(n-1-k)!} + \frac{(n-1)!}{(k-1)!(n-k)(n-1-k)!}$$

$$= \frac{(n-1)!}{(k-1)!(n-1-k)!} \left[\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{(n-k)} \right]$$

$$= \frac{(n-1)!}{(k-1)!(n-1-k)!} \left[\frac{n-k+k}{k(n-k)} \right]$$

$$= \frac{(n-1)!}{(k-1)!(n-1-k)!} \left[\frac{n}{k(n-k)} \right]$$

$$= \frac{n(n-1)!}{k(k-1)!(n-k)(n-1-k)!}$$

$$= \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$$

2.3 Generalized induction and recursion

Given two lists ℓ and m, I write

$$\ell + m$$

as a shortcut for

$$append(\ell, m)$$

Theorem 2.2. The operation append is associative.¹

Proof. Given two lists, l_1 and l_2 , I will write $l_1 + l_2$ to indicate $append(l_1, l_2)$. I prove that, for every list l_1 , l_2 , l_3 ,

$$(l_1 + l_2) + l_3 = l_1 + (l_2 + l_3).$$

¹ The authors define append on page 6.

I reason by induction. For the base step, let $l_1 = []$. Therefore,

$$[] + (l_2 + l_3) = l_2 + l_3 = ([] + l_2) + l_3.$$

Now, suppose that associativity holds for $l_1 = l$. I prove that it holds for (a :: l), l_2 , l_3 . I will use the following property from the definition of :::²

$$(a :: m) + n = a :: (m + n)$$

where a is an element and m and n are lists. The the proof continues as follow:

$$(a::l) + (l_2 + l_3) = a:: (l + (l_2 + l_3))$$
 [by defin. of ::]
 $= a:: ((l + l_2) + l_3)$ [by induct. hyp.]
 $= (a:: (l + l_2)) + l_3$ [by defin. of ::]
 $= ((a::l) + l_2) + l_3$ [by defin. of ::]

Theorem 2.3. For every element a and list ℓ ,

$$a :: \ell = [a] + \ell.$$

Proof. For the base case, observe

$$a :: [] = [a] = [a] + [].$$

For the inductive hypothesis, assume

$$a::\ell=[a]+\ell.$$

For the inductive step, let b be an element:

$$\begin{aligned} a :: (b :: \ell) &= a :: ([b + \ell]) \quad \text{[by induct. hyp.]} \\ &= (a :: [b]) + \ell \quad \text{[by defin. of +]} \\ &= ([a] + [b]) + \ell \text{ [by induct. hyp.]} \\ &= [a] + ([b] + \ell) \quad \text{[by assoc. of +]} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.4. For every list ℓ , $\ell + [] = \ell$.

Proof. For the base step, observe

$$[]+[][].$$

For the induction hypothesis, assume $\ell + [] = \ell$. For the inductive step, observe

$$(a :: \ell) + [] = ([a] + \ell) + []$$
 [by theorem 2.3]
= $[a] + (\ell + [])$ [by assoc. of +]
= $[a] + \ell$ [by induct. hyp.]
= $a :: \ell$ [by theorem 2.3]

² The authors define :: on page 6.

Theorem 2.5. For every list ℓ and element a, appendited $(\ell, a) = \ell + [a]$.

Proof. I reason by induction. For the base case,

$$appendl([], a) = [a] = [] + [a].$$

Now, as the induction hypothesis, suppose that $appendl(\ell, a) = \ell + [a]$. Then, let b to be an element and consider the following equalities:

$$appendl((b:: \ell), a) = b:: appendl(\ell, a)$$
 [by defin. of $appendl$]
= $b:: (\ell + [a])$ [by induct. hyp.]
= $(b:: \ell) + [a]$ [by defin. of +]

Theorem 2.6. For every list ℓ and m,

$$reverse(\ell + m) = reverse(m) + reverse(\ell).$$

Proof. I reason by induction. Let $\ell = []$. Therefore

$$reverse([]+m) = reverse(m) = reverse(m) + reverse(\ell).$$

Now, as the inductive step, suppose that, for l and m,

$$reverse(\ell + m) = reverse(m) + reverse(\ell).$$

Let a be an element. The following equalities hold:

```
reverse((a::l)+m)) = reverse(a::(l+m))  [by defin. of +]
= appendl(reverse(l+m), a)  [by defin. of reverse]
= append(reverse(m) + reverse(l), a)  [by induct. hyp.]
= (reverse(m) + reverse(l)) + [a]  [by theorem 2.5]
= reverse(m) + (reverse(l) + [a])  [by assoc. of +]
= reverse(m) + appendl(a, reverse(l))  [by theorem 2.5]
= reverse(m) + reverse(a::l)  [by defin. of reverse]
```

Theorem 2.7. For every list ℓ , reverse(reverse(ℓ)) = ℓ .

Proof. I reason by induction. For the base step, obverse:

$$reverse(reverse([])) = reverse([]) = [].$$

For the induction hypothesis, assume that $reverse(reverse(\ell))$. For the inductive step, observe:

```
reverse(reverse(a :: \ell)) = reverse(appendl(reverse(\ell), a)) \qquad [by defin. of reverse] \\ = reverse(reverse(\ell) + [a]) \qquad [by theorem 2.5] \\ = reverse([a]) + reverse(reverse(\ell)) \qquad [by theorem 2.6] \\ = reverse([a]) + \ell \qquad [by induct. hyp.] \\ = [a] + \ell \qquad [by property of reverse] \\ = a :: \ell \qquad [by defin. of ::]
```

Theorem 2.8. For every list ℓ , $reverse(\ell) = reverse'(\ell)$.

Proof. For the base case, observe

$$reverse([]) = [] = reverseAux([], []) = reverse'([]).$$

For the inductive hypothesis, assume

$$reverse(\ell) = reverse'(\ell) /$$

For the inductive step, observer

```
reverse(a :: \ell) = reverse(\ell) + reverse([a]) [by theorem 2.6]

= reverse(\ell) + [a] [by property of reverse]

= reverseAux(\ell, a :: []) [by defin. of reverseAux]

= reverseAux(a :: \ell, []) [by defin. of reverseAux]

= reverse'(a :: \ell) [by defin. of reverse']
```

Appendix A

Errata

page	errata	corrige
6	we principles	we apply the principles
7	there is part	there is a part

Table A.1: Errata