

# **BAYESIAN CLASSIFICATION**

## ***Bayesian Classifiers***

**Bayesian classifiers are statistical classifiers**

**They can calculate the probability that a given sample belongs to a particular class**

**Bayesian classification is based on Bayes theorem**

**Bayesian classifiers have exhibited high accuracy and speed when applied to large databases**

# **BAYESIAN CLASSIFICATION**

## ***Bayes Theorem***

**Let  $X$  be a data sample, e.g. red and round fruit**

**Let  $H$  be some hypothesis, such as that  $X$  belongs to a specified class  $C$  (e.g.  $X$  is an apple)**

**For classification problems, we want to determine  $P(H/X)$ , the probability that the hypothesis  $H$  holds given the observed data sample  $X$**

# **BAYESIAN CLASSIFICATION**

## ***Prior Probability***

**The probability  $P(H)$  is called the prior probability of  $H$ , i.e the probability that any given data sample is an apple, regardless of how the data sample looks**

**The probability  $P(H/X)$  is called posterior probability. It is based on more information, then the prior probability  $P(H)$  which is independent of  $X$**

# **BAYESIAN CLASSIFICATION**

## ***Posterior Probability***

**Example:**

**Let the data samples be fruits described by their colour and shape**

**Suppose  $X$  = red and round  
and  $H$  = hypothesis that  $X$  is an apple**

**Then  $P(H/X)$  is the probability that  $X$  is an apple given that  $X$  is red and round**

# **BAYESIAN CLASSIFICATION**

## ***Bayes Theorem***

**It provides a way of calculating the posterior probability**

$$P(H/X) = \frac{P(X/H) P(H)}{P(X)}$$

**$P(X/H)$  is the posterior probability of  $X$  given  $H$  (it is the probability that  $X$  is red and round given that  $X$  is an apple)**

**$P(X)$  is the prior probability of  $X$  (probability that a data sample is red and round)**

# **BAYESIAN CLASSIFICATION**

## ***Bayes Theorem: Proof***

**The posterior probability of the fruit being an apple given that its shape is round and its colour is red is**

$$P(H/X) = |H \wedge X| / |X|$$

**i.e. the number of apples which are red and round divided by the total number of red and round fruits**

**Since  $P(H \wedge X) = |H \wedge X| / |\text{total fruits of all size and shapes}|$   
and  $P(X) = |X| / |\text{total fruits of all size and shapes}|$**

**Hence  $P(H/X) = P(H \wedge X) / P(X)$**

# **BAYESIAN CLASSIFICATION**

## ***Bayes Theorem: Proof***

**Similarly  $P(X/H) = P(H \wedge X) / P(H)$**

**Hence we have  $P(H \wedge X) = P(H/X)P(X)$**

**And also  $P(H \wedge X) = P(X/H)P(H)$**

**Therefore  $P(H/X)P(X) = P(X/H)P(H)$**

**And hence  $P(H/X) = P(X/H) P(H) / P(X)$**

# **BAYESIAN CLASSIFICATION**

## ***Naïve (Simple) Bayesian Classification***

**Studies comparing classification algorithms have found that the simple Bayesian classifier is comparable in performance with decision tree and neural network classifiers**

**It works as follows:**

- 1. Each data sample is represented by an n-dimensional feature vector,  $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ , depicting n measurements made on the sample from n attributes, respectively  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$**



# **BAYESIAN CLASSIFICATION**

## ***Naïve (Simple) Bayesian Classification***

**2. Suppose that there are  $m$  classes  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m$ . Given an unknown data sample,  $X$  (i.e. having no class label), the classifier will predict that  $X$  belongs to the class having the highest posterior probability given  $X$**

**Thus if  $P(C_i|X) > P(C_j|X)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m, j \neq i$   
then  $X$  is assigned to  $C_i$**

# **BAYESIAN CLASSIFICATION**

## ***Naïve (Simple) Bayesian Classification***

**3. As  $P(X)$  is constant for all classes, only  $P(X|C_i)$   $P(C_i)$  needs to be calculated**

**The class prior probabilities may be estimated by**

$$P(C_i) = s_i / s$$

**where  $s_i$  is the number of training samples of class  $C_i$   
&  $s$  is the total number of training samples**

**If class prior probabilities are equal (or not known and thus assumed to be equal) then we need to calculate only  $P(X|C_i)$**

# **BAYESIAN CLASSIFICATION**

## ***Naïve (Simple) Bayesian Classification***

**4. Given data sets with many attributes, it would be extremely computationally expensive to compute  $P(X|C_i)$**

**For example, assuming the attributes of colour and shape to be Boolean, we need to store 4 probabilities for the category apple**

**$P(\neg\text{red} \wedge \neg\text{round} \mid \text{apple})$**

**$P(\neg\text{red} \wedge \text{round} \mid \text{apple})$**

**$P(\text{red} \wedge \neg\text{round} \mid \text{apple})$**

**$P(\text{red} \wedge \text{round} \mid \text{apple})$**

**If there are 6 attributes and they are Boolean, then we need to store  $2^6$  probabilities**

# **BAYESIAN CLASSIFICATION**

## ***Naïve (Simple) Bayesian Classification***

**In order to reduce computation, the naïve assumption of *class conditional independence* is made**

**This presumes that the values of the attributes are conditionally independent of one another, given the class label of the sample (we assume that there are no dependence relationships among the attributes)**

# BAYESIAN CLASSIFICATION

## *Naïve (Simple) Bayesian Classification*

Thus  $P(X|C_i) = \prod_{k=1}^n P(x_k|C_i)$

### **Example**

$P(\text{colour} \wedge \text{shape} \mid \text{apple}) = P(\text{colour} \mid \text{apple}) P(\text{shape} \mid \text{apple})$

**For 6 Boolean attributes, we would have only 12 probabilities to store instead of  $2^6 = 64$**

**Similarly for 6, three valued attributes, we would have 18 probabilities to store instead of  $3^6$**

# **BAYESIAN CLASSIFICATION**

## ***Naïve (Simple) Bayesian Classification***

**The probabilities  $P(x_1|C_i)$ ,  $P(x_2|C_i)$ , ...,  $P(x_n|C_i)$  can be estimated from the training samples, where**

**For an attribute  $A_k$ , which can take on the values  $x_{1k}$ ,  $x_{2k}$ , ...  
e.g. colour = red, green, ...**

$$P(x_k|C_i) = s_{ik}/s_i$$

**where  $s_{ik}$  is the number of training samples of class  $C_i$  having the value  $x_k$  for  $A_k$**

**and  $s_i$  is the number of training samples belonging to  $C_i$**

**e.g.  $P(\text{red}|\text{apple}) = 7/10$       if 7 out of 10 apples are red**

# BAYESIAN CLASSIFICATION

## *Naïve (Simple) Bayesian Classification*

If  $A_k$  is continuous valued then the attribute is typically assumed to have a Gaussian distribution so that

$$\begin{aligned} P(\mathbf{x}_k|C_i) &= g(\mathbf{x}_k, \mu_{C_i}, \sigma_{C_i}) \\ &= \text{gaussian (normal) density function for attribute } A_k \end{aligned}$$

while  $\mu_{C_i}$  is the mean

and  $\sigma_{C_i}$  is the standard deviation,

given the values for attribute  $A_k$  for class  $C_i$  training samples

$$P(x_k|C_i) = g(x_k, \mu_{C_i}, \sigma_{C_i}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{C_i}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu_{C_i})^2}{2\sigma_{C_i}^2}},$$

## BAYESIAN CLASSIFICATION

### *Naïve (Simple) Bayesian Classification*

rid	age	income	student	credit_rating	Class: buys_computer
1	<30	high	no	fair	no
2	<30	high	no	excellent	no
3	30-40	high	no	fair	yes
4	>40	medium	no	fair	yes
5	>40	low	yes	fair	yes
6	>40	low	yes	excellent	no
7	30-40	low	yes	excellent	yes
8	<30	medium	no	fair	no
9	<30	low	yes	fair	yes
10	>40	medium	yes	fair	yes
11	<30	medium	yes	excellent	yes
12	30-40	medium	no	excellent	yes
13	30-40	high	yes	fair	yes
14	>40	medium	no	excellent	no



# **BAYESIAN CLASSIFICATION**

## ***Naïve (Simple) Bayesian Classification***

**Example:**

**Let  $C_1$  = class buy computer and  $C_2$  = class not buy computer**

**The unknown sample:**

**$X = \{\text{age} \leq 30, \text{income} = \text{medium}, \text{student} = \text{yes}, \text{credit-rating} = \text{fair}\}$**

**The prior probability of each class can be computed as**

**$P(\text{buy computer} = \text{yes}) = 9/14 = 0.643$**

**$P(\text{buy\_computer} = \text{no}) = 5/14 = 0.357$**

# BAYESIAN CLASSIFICATION

## *Naïve (Simple) Bayesian Classification*

**Example:**

**To compute  $P(X|C_i)$  we compute the following conditional probabilities**

$$P(\text{age} = "<30" \mid \text{buys\_computer} = \text{yes}) = 2/9 = 0.222$$

$$P(\text{age} = "<30" \mid \text{buys\_computer} = \text{no}) = 3/5 = 0.600$$

$$P(\text{income} = \text{medium} \mid \text{buys\_computer} = \text{yes}) = 4/9 = 0.444$$

$$P(\text{income} = \text{medium} \mid \text{buys\_computer} = \text{no}) = 2/5 = 0.400$$

$$P(\text{student} = \text{yes} \mid \text{buys\_computer} = \text{yes}) = 6/9 = 0.667$$

$$P(\text{student} = \text{yes} \mid \text{buys\_computer} = \text{no}) = 1/5 = 0.200$$

$$P(\text{credit\_rating} = \text{fair} \mid \text{buys\_computer} = \text{yes}) = 6/9 = 0.667$$

$$P(\text{credit\_rating} = \text{fair} \mid \text{buys\_computer} = \text{no}) = 2/5 = 0.400$$

# BAYESIAN CLASSIFICATION

## *Naïve (Simple) Bayesian Classification*

**Example:**

**Using the above probabilities we obtain**

$$P(X|buys\_computer = yes) = 0.222 \times 0.444 \times 0.667 \times 0.667 = 0.044$$

$$P(X|buys\_computer = no) = 0.600 \times 0.400 \times 0.200 \times 0.400 = 0.019$$

**And hence the naïve Bayesian classifier predicts that the student will buy computer, because**

$$P(X|buys\_computer = yes)P(buys\_computer = yes) = 0.044 \times 0.643 = 0.028$$

$$P(X|buys\_computer = no)P(buys\_computer = no) = 0.019 \times 0.357 = 0.007$$