

Muaz Abdeen
300575297

Alon Tifferet
314977638

Problem 1

| | | | |
|---|---|-------|-------|
| | R | P | S |
| 1 | R | 0, 0 | -1, 1 |
| | P | -1, 1 | 0, 0 |
| | S | 1, -1 | -1, 1 |

it is easy to see that there isn't any pure Nash equilibrium for this game since ~~any~~ ^{for} any result at least 1 player will guess 0 and he could always have a move that makes it so he will get 1.

lets solve for mixed strategy $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ 1-x_1-y_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \\ 1-x_2-y_2 \end{pmatrix}$

~~for $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \\ 1-x_1-y_1 \end{pmatrix}$~~

lets first observe that $x_1, y_1, 1-x_1-y_1 \neq 0$ since if ^{one of them} ~~they~~ where then ~~either~~ there will be a strategy (pure one) that will always defeat or tie, and since it is symmetrical 0 sum game that can't be.

lets see ~~each~~ that for any player $\begin{pmatrix} 1/3 \\ 1/3 \\ 1/3 \end{pmatrix}$ is nash equilibria, let say there is a strategy $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ 1-x-y \end{pmatrix}$ that chose better and lets ~~guess~~

say that $x > \frac{1}{3}$, and $1-x-y < \frac{1}{3}$ with no regard and $x \geq y > 1-x-y$ to generate then counter strategy $\begin{pmatrix} 1-x-y \\ x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$

will get a positive net value in a symmetric zero sum game, thus $\begin{pmatrix} 1/3 \\ 1/3 \\ 1/3 \end{pmatrix}$ nash equilibrium for both.

2.

| | R | P | S | L | Sp |
|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| R | 0 | 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| P | -1 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| S | 1 | -1 | 0 | -1 | 1 |
| L | -1 | 1 | -1 | 0 | -1 |
| Sp | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 0 |

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \\ 1-x_1-x_2-x_3-x_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

they will be the same from symmetry, the value will be 0 since it is symmetrical 0 sum game

$$0 = 0x_1 + 1x_2 - 1x_3 - 1x_4 + 1(1-x_1-x_2-x_3-x_4) = 1 - x_1 - 2x_3 - 2x_4$$

$$0 = -1x_1 + 0x_2 + 1x_3 - 1x_4 + 1(1-x_1-x_2-x_3-x_4) = 1 - 2x_1 - x_2 - 2x_4$$

$$0 = 1x_1 - 2x_2 + 0x_3 - 1x_4 + 1(1-x_1-x_2-x_3-x_4) = 1 - 2x_2 - x_3 - 2x_4$$

$$0 = 1x_1 + 1x_2 + 1x_3 + 0x_4 - 1(1-x_1-x_2-x_3-x_4) = -1 + 2x_1 + 2x_2 + 2x_3 + x_4$$

$$0 = -1x_1 - 1x_2 - 1x_3 + 1x_4 + 0(1-x_1-x_2-x_3-x_4) = -x_1 - x_2 - x_3 + x_4$$

symmetry Between R, P, S $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = x$
 \Rightarrow + equations 1-3

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= 3x + 2x_4 \\ 1 &= 3x + 2x_4 \\ 2 &= 3x + 2x_4 \\ 1 &= 5x + x_4 \\ 3x &= x_4 \end{aligned} \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow 3x_4 = 1 \quad x_4 = \frac{1}{3}, \quad x = \frac{1}{9} \quad \text{hash eq.}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1/9 \\ 1/9 \\ 1/9 \\ 1/3 \\ 1/3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1/9 \\ 1/9 \\ 1/9 \\ 1/3 \\ 1/3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Problem 2

let a A be ~~dominant~~ dominant strategy equilibrium
 suppose that it isn't Nash eq, then A is better (strictly)
 for Player 2 or A is better for player 1
 than A or A isn't dominant thus contradicted.

look at

| | A | B |
|---|------|------|
| a | 4, 0 | 0, 0 |
| b | 0, 0 | 1, 1 |

B is Nash eq but by
 no means dominant. \square

Problem 3

1. all pure nash eq are as follows
 $(A, a), (C, c)$
2. for player 1 B is dominated by A
 for player 2 ~~the~~ on the base game there are only dominated strategies on the surface since if P1 plays A then a is the best, if P1 plays B then b is the best and if P1 plays c then c is the best however since P1 won't play B (dominated by A) then on the updated matrix b would be dominated by c

3

| | a | b | c |
|---|------|------|------|
| A | 4, 3 | 7, 0 | 2, 2 |
| B | 1, 5 | 6, 0 | 2, 2 |
| C | 0, 2 | 9, 3 | 8, 3 |

A dominates B

\Rightarrow

| | a | b | c |
|---|---|---|---|
| A | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| C | 0 | 9 | 3 |

c dominates b

\Rightarrow

| | a | c |
|---|---|---|
| A | 3 | 2 |
| C | 0 | 8 |

Problem [4]: Decision Trees:

PlayTennis

- Four attributes used for classification:
 1. Outlook = {Sunny, Overcast, Rain}
 2. Temperature = {Hot, Mild, Cool}
 3. Humidity = {High, Normal}
 4. Wind = {Weak, Strong}
- One predicted (target) attribute (binary):
PlayTennis = {Yes, No}
- Given 14 Training examples:
9 positive, 5 negative.

We will choose the variable to split on such that the corresponding information gain is maximal. We will use the formula from Tirgul (10):

$$IG_{Ex}(Goal; a) = H_{Ex}(Goal) - \sum_{v \in val(a)} \frac{|Ex_{a,v}|}{|Ex|} H_{Ex_{a,v}}(Goal)$$

The entropy of a random variable X is:

$$H(X) = - \sum_x p(x) \log_b p(x)$$

So the initial entropy of the training sample:

$$H_{Ex}(Goal) = - \left(\frac{5}{14} \log_2 \frac{5}{14} + \frac{9}{14} \log_2 \frac{9}{14} \right) = 0.9403$$

The information gains of the attributes are:

$$IG_{Ex}(Goal; Outlook) = 0.9403 - \left[\frac{5}{14} H\left(\frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{5}\right) + \frac{4}{14} H\left(\frac{4}{4}, \frac{0}{4}\right) + \frac{5}{14} H\left(\frac{3}{5}, \frac{2}{5}\right) \right] = 0.2468$$

$$IG_{Ex}(Goal; Temperature) = 0.9403 - \left[\frac{4}{14} H\left(\frac{2}{4}, \frac{2}{4}\right) + \frac{6}{14} H\left(\frac{4}{6}, \frac{2}{6}\right) + \frac{4}{14} H\left(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right) \right] = 0.0292$$

$$IG_{Ex}(Goal; Humidity) = 0.9403 - \left[\frac{7}{14} H\left(\frac{4}{7}, \frac{3}{7}\right) + \frac{7}{14} H\left(\frac{6}{7}, \frac{1}{7}\right) \right] = 0.1518$$

$$IG_{Ex}(Goal; Wind) = 0.9403 - \left[\frac{8}{14} H\left(\frac{6}{8}, \frac{2}{8}\right) + \frac{6}{14} H\left(\frac{3}{6}, \frac{3}{6}\right) \right] = 0.0481$$

Outlook is selected as the decision attribute for the root node, and branches are created below the root for each of its possible values (i.e., Sunny, Overcast, and Rainy). Next, we choose an attribute to split on in every leaf of the tree:

First, the **Sunny** leaf:

$$H_{ExOutlook,Sunny}(Goal) = H\left(\frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{5}\right) = 0.971$$

$$IG_{ExOutlook,Sunny}(Goal; Temperature) = 0.971 - \left[\frac{2}{5} H\left(\frac{0}{2}, \frac{2}{2}\right) + \frac{2}{5} H\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{5} H\left(\frac{1}{1}, \frac{0}{1}\right) \right] = 0.570$$

$$IG_{ExOutlook,Sunny}(Goal; Humidity) = 0.971 - \left[\frac{3}{5} H\left(\frac{0}{3}, \frac{3}{3}\right) + \frac{2}{5} H\left(\frac{2}{2}, \frac{0}{2}\right) \right] = 0.971$$

$$IG_{ExOutlook,Sunny}(Goal; Wind) = 0.971 - \left[\frac{3}{5} H\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right) + \frac{2}{5} H\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \right] = 0.019$$

Humidity is selected as the leftmost son of the root node, and branches are created below it for each of its possible values (i.e., High and Normal).

Second, the **Overcast** leaf. Notice that because the training examples associated with this leaf all have same target attribute value (i.e., True) we stopped expanding this node.

Third, the **Rainy** leaf. Calculations are similar to those we've done before:

$$H_{ExOutlook,Rainy}(Goal) = H\left(\frac{3}{5}, \frac{2}{5}\right) = 0.971$$

$$IG_{ExOutlook,Rainy}(Goal; Temperature) = 0.019$$

$$IG_{ExOutlook,Rainy}(Goal; Humidity) = 0.019$$

$$IG_{ExOutlook,Rainy}(Goal; Wind) = 0.971$$

Wind is selected as the rightmost son of the root node, and branches are created below it for each of its possible values (i.e., Strong and Weak).

And because the training examples associated with each one of the current leaves, which are, Humidity attributes and Wind attributes, have the same target attribute value, (High-> False, Normal->True, Strong->True, Weak->False), our algorithm will stop at this point.

The complete decision tree will look like:

