Project 4 Group 6 Report

Kristen Akey, Citina Liang, Rui Liang, Mubai Liu, Wen Yin

December 2, 2020

Contents

Causal Inference Algorithms Evaluation	1
Project Overview	1
Model 1: Inverse Propensity Weighting and L1 Penalized Logistic Regression	2
Model 2: Regression Estimate	3
Model 3: Weighted Regression and L1 Penalized Logistic Regression	4
Model Comparisons	5

Causal Inference Algorithms Evaluation

```
# Load libraries
pack <- c("readr", "tidyverse", "glmnet", "pryr", "flextable")

# if package not already installed, install, and load packages
if (!require("pacman")) install.packages("pacman")
pacman::p_load(pack)

for (package in pack) {
   pacman::p_load(package, character.only = TRUE, dependence=TRUE)
}</pre>
```

```
# Load data
lowDim <- read_csv('../data/lowDim_dataset.csv')
highDim <- read_csv('../data/highDim_dataset.csv')</pre>
```

Project Overview

In this project, we evaluate three causal inference algorithms. The three models include inverse propensity weighting (IPW) + L1 penalized logistic regression, regression estimate, and weighted regression + L1 penalized logistic regression. We compute the average treatment effect effect using these algorithms on two distinct datasets.

This report includes a description of each of the algorithms, code to reproduce our results, and a final comparison of each of these models.

Model 1: Inverse Propensity Weighting and L1 Penalized Logistic Regression

The propensity score is predicted through a lasso logistic regression model. Weights for the propensity score are then calculated using the inverse probability of treatment weighting (IPTW) formula. The average treatment effect is then calculated using inverse propensity weighting.

```
# Split into x, A and y
hY<-highDim$Y
hA<-highDim$A
hX<-highDim%>% select(-Y, -A) %>% as.matrix

1Y<-lowDim$Y
lA<-lowDim$A
lX<-lowDim%>% select(-Y, -A) %>% as.matrix
```

```
# Setting alpha = 1 implements lasso regression
set.seed(0)
lasso_hd <- cv.glmnet(hX, hA,family = "binomial", alpha = 1)</pre>
lasso_ld <- cv.glmnet(lX, lA, family = "binomial",alpha = 1)</pre>
IPW<-function(x,A,model,data){</pre>
      start time <- Sys.time()</pre>
      # Calculate the propensity score
      lasso_model <- glmnet(x, A, alpha = 1, family = "binomial",lambda = model$lambda.min)</pre>
      propensity <- predict(lasso_model, x, type = "response")</pre>
      # Calculate the weights
      weight \leftarrow 1 / propensity * A + 1 / (1 - propensity) * (1 - A)
      resampled_data <- data %>%
            mutate(propensity = propensity,
                    weight = weight,
                    Y_Weight = Y*weight)
      ATE<-1/nrow(resampled data)*(sum(resampled data[resampled data$A==1,"Y Weight"])
                                     -sum(resampled_data[resampled_data$A==0,"Y_Weight"]))
      end time <- Sys.time()</pre>
      return(list(ATE=ATE,running time = end time - start time))
ATE_highDim<-IPW(hX,hA,lasso_hd,highDim) #ATE: -2.21809, runtime:0.02583098
ATE_lowDim<-IPW(1X,1A,lasso_ld,lowDim) #ATE: 2.21036, runtime:0.005035877
matrix(c(ATE_highDim$ATE,ATE_lowDim$ATE,
         ATE_highDim$running_time, ATE_lowDim$running_time),
         nrow = 2,byrow = TRUE,
         dimnames = list(c("ATE", "running_time (secs)"), c("highDim", "lowDim")))
```

```
## ATE -2.21809011 2.210363996
## running_time (secs) 0.04660106 0.004697084
```

Model 2: Regression Estimate

running_time (secs) 0.1777489 0.01143193

The regression estimate does not use the propensity score. The model creates predictions for the control and treatment groups, and calculates the average treatment effect using these predications.

```
df_ld <- lowDim %>% mutate(A = factor(A))
df_hd <- highDim %>% mutate(A = factor(A))
```

```
RE <- function(df){
  # simple regression estimate
  # separate X and Y, will be used in predict function
  df X <- df %>% select(-Y, -A)
  start <- Sys.time()</pre>
  # m0
  m0 \leftarrow glm(Y \sim ., data = subset(df[df$A==0,], select = -A))
  # m1
  m1 \leftarrow glm(Y \sim ., data = subset(df[df$A==1,], select = -A))
  # prediction using non-treatment model params
  Y_pred_0 <- predict(m0, newdata = df_X)</pre>
  # prediction using treatment model params
  Y_pred_1 <- predict(m1, newdata = df_X)</pre>
  # add predicted y to the dataframe
  df <- df %>% mutate(Y_pred1 = Y_pred_1, Y_pred0 = Y_pred_0)
  # calculate ATE
  n <- nrow(df)
  ATE = 1/n * sum(df\$Y_pred1 - df\$Y_pred0)
  end <- Sys.time()</pre>
  runtime = end - start
  return(list(ATE = ATE,
              runtime = runtime))
}
matrix(c(RE(df_hd)$ATE,RE(df_ld)$ATE,
         RE(df_hd)$runtime,RE(df_ld)$runtime),
         nrow = 2,byrow = TRUE,
         dimnames = list(c("ATE", "running_time (secs)"), c("highDim", "lowDim")))
##
                            highDim
                                        lowDim
## ATE
                         -2.9597796 2.52694398
```

Model 3: Weighted Regression and L1 Penalized Logistic Regression

The propensity score is predicted through a lasso logistic regression model. Weights for the propensity score are then calculated using the inverse probability of treatment weighting (IPTW) formula. The average treatment effect is then calculated using a weighted regression model.

```
set.seed(0)
start_time <- Sys.time()</pre>
X_low <- df_ld %>% select(-Y, -A) %>% as.matrix
A_low <- df_ld %>% select(A) %>% as.matrix
cv_l1 <- cv.glmnet(X_low, A_low, family = "binomial", alpha = 1)</pre>
11_low <- glmnet(X_low, A_low, family = "binomial",</pre>
                  alpha = 1, lambda = cv_l1$lambda.min)
propen_score_low <- predict(l1_low, X_low, type = "response")</pre>
# Finding weights
weight low <- cbind(as.numeric(A low), propen score low) %>%
  as tibble %>%
 mutate(weights = (V1/s0 + (1-V1)/(1-s0))) \%%
  select(weights)
# Linear regression for selecting covarites
filter_low <- summary(lm(Y_{\sim}., data = df_ld))$coef[,4][3:24]<0.05
Z_low <- cbind(A_low, X_low[,filter_low])</pre>
Z low <- Z_low %>% apply(2, as.numeric)
# Final Regression for ATE
Y_low <- df_ld$Y
weighted_low <- lm(Y_low ~ Z_low, weights = as.numeric(unlist(weight_low)))</pre>
ATE_low <- coef(weighted_low)[2]
end time <- Sys.time()</pre>
running_time_low = end_time - start_time
set.seed(0)
start time <- Sys.time()</pre>
X_high <- df_hd %>% select(-Y, -A) %>% as.matrix
A_high <- df_hd %>% select(A) %>% as.matrix
cv_l1_high <- cv.glmnet(X_high, A_high, family = "binomial", alpha = 1)</pre>
11_high <- glmnet(X_high, A_high, family = "binomial",</pre>
                   alpha = 1, lambda = cv_l1_high$lambda.min)
propen_score_high <- predict(l1_high, X_high, type = "response")</pre>
weight_high <- cbind(as.numeric(A_high), propen_score_high) %>%
  as_tibble %>%
  mutate(weights = (V1/s0) + (1-V1)/(1-s0)) %>%
  select(weights)
filter_high <- summary(lm(Y~., data = df_hd))$coef[,4][3:ncol(X_high)]<0.05
Z_high <- cbind(A_high, X_high[,filter_high])</pre>
Z_high <- Z_high %>% apply(2, as.numeric)
```

Model Comparisons

True ATE					
Low.Dim	High.Dim				
2.5	-3				

 $\label{eq:performance} Performance = square \ difference \ of true \ ATE \ and \ estimated \ ATE$

Run time (in seconds)

Low Dimension Dataset			
Model	ATE	Run.Time	Performance
IPW + Lasso Logistic Regression	2.2	0.0047	0.54
Regression Estimate	2.5	0.0122	0.16
Weighted Regression + Lasso Logistic Regression	2.5	0.1727	0.14

High Dimension Dataset			
Model	ATE	Run.Time	Performance
IPW + Lasso Logistic Regression	-2.2	0.047	0.88
Regression Estimate	-3.0	0.206	0.20
Weighted Regression + Lasso Logistic Regression	-3.0	21.129	0.14