# 4. Pipes


There is no form of IPC that is simpler than pipes. Implemented on every flavor of Unix, pipe () and <u>fork ()</u> make up the functionality behind the "|" in "Is | more". They are marginally useful for cool things, but are a good way to learn about basic methods of IPC.

Since they're so very very easy, I shant spent much time on them. We'll just have some examples and stuff.

#### 4.1. "These pipes are clean!"

Wait! Not so fast. I might need to define a "file descriptor" at this point. Let me put it this way: you know about "FILE\*" from stdio.h, right? You know how you have all those nice functions like fopen(), fclose(), fwrite(), and so on? Well, those are actually high level functions that are implemented using file descriptors, which use system calls such as open(), creat(), close(), and write(). File descriptors are simply ints that are analogous to FILE\*'s in stdio.h.

For example, stdin is file descriptor "0", stdout is "1", and stderr is "2". Likewise, any files you open using fopen () get their own file descriptor, although this detail is hidden from you. (This file descriptor can be retrived from the FILE\* by using the fileno() macro from stdio.h.)



How a pipe is organized.

Basically, a call to the pipe() function returns a pair of file descriptors. One of these descriptors is connected to the write end of the pipe, and the other is connected to the read end. Anything can be written to the pipe, and read from the other end in the order it came in. On many systems, pipes will fill up after you write about 10K to them without reading anything out.

As a <u>useless example</u>, the following program creates, writes to, and reads from a pipe.

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <errno.h>
#include <unistd.h>

int main(void)
{
   int pfds[2];
   char buf[30];
```

2/18/2014 Pipes

```
if (pipe(pfds) == -1) {
    perror("pipe");
    exit(1);
}

printf("writing to file descriptor #%d\n", pfds[1]);
write(pfds[1], "test", 5);
printf("reading from file descriptor #%d\n", pfds[0]);
read(pfds[0], buf, 5);
printf("read \"%s\"\n", buf);

return 0;
}
```

As you can see, **pipe()** takes an array of two ints as an argument. Assuming no errors, it connects two file descriptors and returns them in the array. The first element of the array is the reading-end of the pipe, the second is the writing end.

### 4.2. fork() and pipe()—you have the power!

From the above example, it's pretty hard to see how these would even be useful. Well, since this is an IPC document, let's put a fork () in the mix and see what happens. Pretend that you are a top federal agent assigned to get a child process to send the word "test" to the parent. Not very glamorous, but no one ever said computer science would be the X-Files, Mulder.

First, we'll have the parent make a pipe. Secondly, we'll fork(). Now, the fork() man page tells us that the child will receive a copy of all the parent's file descriptors, and this includes a copy of the pipe's file descriptors. *Alors*, the child will be able to send stuff to the write-end of the pipe, and the parent will get it off the read-end. <u>Like this</u>:

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <errno.h>
#include <sys/types.h>
#include <unistd.h>
int main(void)
    int pfds[2];
    char buf[30];
    pipe (pfds);
    if (!fork()) {
        printf(" CHILD: writing to the pipe\n");
        write(pfds[1], "test", 5);
        printf(" CHILD: exiting\n");
        exit(0);
    } else {
        printf("PARENT: reading from pipe\n");
        read(pfds[0], buf, 5);
        printf("PARENT: read \"%s\"\n", buf);
        wait(NULL);
```

```
return 0;
}
```

Please note, your programs should have a lot more error checking than mine do. I leave it out on occasion to help keep things clear.

Anyway, this example is just like the previous one, except now we **fork()** of a new process and have it write to the pipe, while the parent reads from it. The resultant output will be something similar to the following:

```
PARENT: reading from pipe
CHILD: writing to the pipe
CHILD: exiting
PARENT: read "test"
```

In this case, the parent tried to read from the pipe before the child writes to it. When this happens, the parent is said to *block*, or sleep, until data arrives to be read. It seems that the parent tried to read, went to sleep, the child wrote and exited, and the parent woke up and read the data.

Hurrah!! You've just don't some interprocess communication! That was dreadfully simple, huh? I'll bet you are still thinking that there aren't many uses for **pipe()** and, well, you're probably right. The other forms of IPC are generally more useful and are often more exotic.

## 4.3. The search for Pipe as we know it

In an effort to make you think that pipes are actually reasonable beasts, I'll give you an example of using pipe () in a more familiar situation. The challenge: implement "Is | wc -I" in C.

This requires usage of a couple more functions you may never have heard of: exec() and dup(). The exec() family of functions replaces the currently running process with whichever one is passed to exec(). This is the function that we will use to run ls and wc-l. dup() takes an open file descriptor and makes a clone (a duplicate) of it. This is how we will connect the standard output of the ls to the standard input of wc. See, stdout of ls flows into the pipe, and the stdin of wc flows in from the pipe. The pipe fits right there in the middle!

Anyway, here is the code:

2/18/2014 Pi

I'm going to make another note about the close()/dup() combination since it's pretty weird. close(1) frees up file descriptor 1 (standard output). dup(pfds[1]) makes a copy of the writeend of the pipe in the first available file descriptor, which is "1", since we just closed that. In this way, anything that **ls** writes to standard output (file descriptor 1) will instead go to pfds[1] (the write end of the pipe). The wc section of code works the same way, except in reverse.

### 4.4. Summary

There aren't many of these for such a simple topic. In fact, there are nearly just about none. Probably the best use for pipes is the one you're most accustomed to: sending the standard output of one command to the standard input of another. For other uses, it's pretty limiting and there are often other IPC techniques that work better.

<< Prev

Beej's Guide to Unix IPC

Next >>