Module 5: Applied Low-Tech NLP

Rules-Based Sentiment Analysis

- Rules-based sentiment analysis is an approach that uses manually defined linguistic rules, lexicons, and heuristics (rather than ML) to determine whether a text expresses a positive, negative, or neutral sentiment.
- Instead of training on large datasets, we encode human knowledge into:
 - Sentiment lexicons (dictionaries of words with positive/negative scores).
 - Rules about negation, intensifiers, and context.
 - Simple scoring functions.

The Core Components of Rules-Based Sentiment Analysis

- A list of words associated with sentiment polarity (positive/negative).
- \blacksquare A scoring mechanism that sums up the polarity scores of words in a text. Mathematically, we sum up all the sentiments of the words w in the particular token T

$$| \text{Sentiment Score} = S = \sum_{w \in T} S(w) |$$

- **Negation Handling:** Rules to flip polarity when negation words appear. Example: If the word "not" precedes a positive word, multiply score by -1.
- Intensifiers and Diminishers: Words that amplify (very, extremely) or weaken (slightly, somewhat) sentiment. Example: "Very good" gets a score of +4 as opposed to +2.

The Pros and Cons of Rules-Based Sentiment Analysis

Advantages

- Transparent (easy to explain why a decision was made).
- Works well with small datasets (no training required).
- Easy to customize for specific industries.

Disadvantages

- Struggles with sarcasm ("Great service...not!").
- Hard to scale to very large vocabularies.
- Needs manual updates to lexicons and rules.

Python libraries like VADER (Valence Aware Dictionary for sEntiment Reasoning) implement these rules and are widely used for social media, reviews, and business analytics.

Lexicons

- A lexicon is a structured list of words used by NLP systems to understand and process text.
- The contents of a lexicon are:
 - Words or phrases (unigrams, bigrams, etc.)
 - Part-of-speech tags (nouns, verbs, adjectives, etc.)
 - Morphological information (plurals, tenses, lemmas, etc.)
 - Semantic information (meanings, synonyms, antonyms, etc.)
 - Sentiment scores (positive, negative, neutral)

Types of Lexicons

- General-Purpose Lexicons: WordNet, Oxford Dictionary, etc.
- **Domain-Specific Lexicons:** Financial, medical, or business lexicons tailored for specialized vocabulary.
- **Sentiment Lexicons:** Lists of words with associated sentiment polarity. For example, "happy" ⇒ positive, "bad" ⇒ negative.

Applications of Lexicons in Business

- Using a sentiment lexicon, analyze customer reviews or Tweets. For example, the statement: "The delivery was fast but the product is terrible", can be used to match words with positive/negative lexicon scores.
- Used for keyword matching with a focus on business-specific lexicon to detect mentions of products, services, or competitors.
- Used to assign categories to emails, documents, or complaints based on the presence of lexicon terms.

A Real-World Application of Lexicons: Customer Review Analysis

Scenario: Data Scientists at your organization construct the following sentiment lexicon:

Word	Sentiment: S
"excellent"	+1
"good"	+1
"bad"	-1
"terrible"	+1
"fast"	+1

Task: If a customer posted: "The delivery was fast but the product is terrible", what can be concluded about this review?

The total sentiment is $S_{\mathrm{tot}} = S(\text{``fast''}) + S(\text{``terrible''}) = (+1) + (-1) = 0$. Thus, we conclude that we have a mixed review.

Bag of Words (BoW) Representation

- The Bag of Words (BoW) model is a text representation technique where a piece of text (sentence, paragraph, document) is represented as a collection of its words, ignoring grammar, word order, and context, but keeping word frequency.
- It is one of the most fundamental feature extraction methods in NLP.
- Let $V = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}$ be a vocabulary of size n. Suppose we want to document a sequence of d words. Then, the representation vector is

$$\mathbf{x}_d = [f(w_1, d), f(w_2, d), \dots, f(w_n, d)]$$

where $f(w_i, d)$ is the frequency of word w_i in document d.

Example of BoW

Scenario : Suppose that your company wants to analyze customer reviews.

- Review 1: "The product is excellent".
- **Review 2:** "The service is excellent".

The vocabulary is $V = \{\text{the, product, is, excellent, service}\}$.

Task: Construct the representation vectors.

The representation vectors are:

$$\mathbf{x}_{d_1} = [1, 1, 1, 1, 0], \quad \mathbf{x}_{d_2} = [1, 0, 1, 1, 1].$$

Now these vectors can be fed into ML models for sentiment analysis, clustering, or recommendation systems.

Pros and Cons of BoW

Advantages

- Simple and fast to implement.
- Good for traditional ML algorithms (Naïve Bayes, SVM, Logistic Regression).

Disadvantages

- Ignores word order. For example, "not good" and "good not" look the same.
- High dimensionality, large vocabulary implies long vectors.
- No semantic understanding. For example, "excellent" \neq "great".

BoW is like a shopping list of words – It only cares about what words are present and how many times, not how they are arranged.

Cosine Similarity

- Cosine similarity is a metric used to measure how similar two vectors are, by calculating the cosine of the angle between them.
- In NLP, once text is represented as vectors (via Bag of Words, TF-IDF, or embeddings), cosine similarity tells us how close in meaning two texts are.
- Mathematically, given two vectors A and B, the cosine similarity between them is given by

$$\cos \theta = \frac{\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}}{||\mathbf{A}|| ||\mathbf{B}||} = \frac{\sum_{i} A_{i} B_{i}}{\left(\sqrt{\sum_{i} A_{i}^{2}}\right) \left(\sqrt{\sum_{i} B_{i}^{2}}\right)}$$

- Interpretation:
 - If $\cos \theta = 1$, you have identical direction (perfect similarity).
 - If $\cos \theta = 0$, you have orthogonality (no similarity).
 - If $\cos \theta = -1$, you have opposite directionality (rare in text, since frequencies are non-negative).

Example of Cosine Similarity

Given the corpus composed of two documents:

- **Document 1:** "I love data science".
- Document 2: "I love machine learning".

The vocabulary is

 $V = \{I, Iove, data, science, machine, learning\}.$

Task: Calculate the cosine similarity between the two documents.

The representation vectors for each document are

$$\mathbf{A} = [1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0], \quad \mathbf{B} = [1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1].$$

■ The numerator in the cosine similarity equation is

$$\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} = (1)(1) + (1)(1) + (1)(0) + (1)(0) + (0)(1) + (0)(1) = 2.$$

The magnitudes of the representation vectors are

$$||\mathbf{A}|| = \sqrt{(1)^2 + (1)^2 + (1)^2 + (1)^2 + (0)^2 + (0)^2} = 2,$$

$$||\mathbf{B}|| = \sqrt{(1)^2 + (1)^2 + (0)^2 + (0)^2 + (1)^2 + (1)^2} = 2.$$

Thus, the cosine similarity is

$$\cos \theta = \frac{2}{2 \times 2} = 0.5.$$

■ This means that document 1 and document 2 are 50% similar.



Why is Cosine Similarity Used in NLP?

- Unlike Euclidean distance, cosine similarity is scale-invariant (it does not matter if one document is much longer than another).
- It is excellent for comparing text in:
 - Document clustering (e.g., grouping news articles).
 - Recommendation systems (e.g., finding similar products from descriptions).
 - Plagiarism detection.
 - Semantic search engines.

(TF-IDF)

- **TF-IDF** is a numerical statistic used to reflect how important a word is in a document relative to a collection of documents (corpus).
- **TF** (**Term Frequency**): Measures how often a word appears in a document.
- **IDF** (Inverse Document Frequency): Reduces the weight of common words and increases the weight of rare but important words.
- Together, TF-IDF balances local importance (within a document) and global importance (across the corpus).

How is TF-IDF Calculated?

Recall, the term frequency (TF) was calculated as

$$TF(t,d) = \frac{f_{t,d}}{\sum_{k} f_{k,d}}$$

where $f_{t,d}$ is the raw count of term t in document d, and the denominator captures the total number of terms in document d.

■ The inverse document frequency (IDF) term is calculated as

$$|IDF(t,D) = \log\left(\frac{N}{1 + |\{d \in D | t \in d\}\}|}\right)|$$

where N is the total number of documents, and the denominator contains the number of documents containing t terms.

■ Together, these form the TF-IDF, which is given by

$$TF - IDF(t, d, D) = TF(t, d) \times IDF(t, D)$$

Example TF-IDF Calculation

Scenario: Suppose that the corpus is composed of three documents:

- **Document 1:** "I love data science".
- **Document 2:** "I love machine learning".
- **Document 3:** "Data science and machine learning'.

Task: Calculate TF-IDF for the word "data" in document 1.

■ The TF is

$$TF(\text{``data''}, \text{ document 1}) = \frac{1}{4} = 0.25.$$

Now, N=3 (3 documents), and so we have that

$$IDF(\text{``data''}) = \log\left(\frac{3}{1+1}\right) = \log(3/2) \approx 0.4055.$$

■ Thus, the TF-IDF score is

$$TF - IDF = 0.25 \times 0.4055 = 0.1014.$$

Why Use TF-IDF?

- Solves Bag of Words issue: Instead of treating all words equally, it gives more importance to unique terms.
- Removes bias of frequent words: Words like "the", "is", "and" do not dominate.
- Helps in search/recommendations: Matches rare but meaningful words better.

Lab 5

To apply the concepts learned in Applied Low-Tech NLP to solve real-world business problems.