## **UNIVERSITY OF KARACHI**



# **Probability and Statistical Methods**

BSCS-306

Name of Student: MUHAMMAD AMAS WASEEM

Seat No: B20102077

Class Roll No: 36

Section: A

Semester No: 2<sup>nd</sup>

Submitted to: Dr. Tahseen A. Jilani

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE**

UNIVERSITY OF KARACHI

MUHAMMAD AMAS WASSEM
B20102077

ASSIGNMENT 09

## HYPOTHESIS TESTING: 11

JUESTION : 01

DATA :-

$$n_1 = 12$$
  $m_2 = 12$ 

$$S_1 = 5100$$
  $S_2 = 4900$ 

SOLUTION -

Since 
$$n \ge 30$$
 and equal variances  
 $8p = \left( (n_1 - 1)(s_1)^2 + (n_2 - 1)(s_2)^2 + (n_1 - 1)(s_2)^2 + (n_2 - 2) +$ 

$$= \frac{(12-1)(5100)^2 + (12-1)(4900)^2}{(12-1) + (12-1)}$$

. Proconcin

### Assignment 09

gens = 11 = ( \$1000) 217 21834709473 P- Value approach = 12 + 12 - 2 = 20 (t L) + 0,030) ... = 2 (0.15) - ATTO 1. ttab (0.05 } 22) Now, toal < that -0.930 < 1.71 since the P-value is greater than the level of significance so are can not reject 10. Hence there is insufficient. evidence to suggest difference in the average

near of 2 brands of lines.

UESTION 1 02 DATA 1-- ANA T n. = 12 N. = 85 5, = 4 S2 = 5 d = 0.05 , d/2 = 0.025 Ho 2 ll1 - ll2 = 2. HA 2 U, - U2 > 2. · norwick SOLU RON: -Given that variances are exped and n < 30 SP = (m, -1) 3,2 + (m2-2) 32  $(n_1-1)+(n_2-1)$  $(12-1)(4)^2 + (10-1)(5)^2$ (12-1)(10-1) = 4.477 ted = (x1 - x2) - (11 - 12) Bp / 1/mi + 1/m2 = (85-81) - 2 = 1.043 4.477 /1/2+ 1/10 P\_value approachs P (+ > 1.043) 6.16 > 6.025 gince the P-value is greater than of 30

we'll accept Ho.

LUESTION : 03 DATA :-AW of = 54. d/2 = 0.0251 m = 15 x = 9.24 5 = 19 47 Hon Ul alls. Has U. of Ils SOW ROW teal = 52 - do S /vn = 9.84 \_ 0 18.47/15 tral = 2.06 Probo approach 20 (4 > 2.06) 2 (0.03) 0.06 > 0.025 gince P value is quester than the lovel of eignificance. Perelone we can't reject the All hypothesis.

GUESTION : 04 DATA :n=15 8 = 54.13 Subject di \$8' = 83.002 -67 1 d=0.05, dp=0.025 -33 2 Ho = U = U2 150 HA: Wi + Me 4 . 128 190 SOWTION . -2 6 -56 tial = 7 88 / In 119 = 54.13 -0 = 2.52 -8 9 83.002 ATIS -1 10 79 Probe approach ? 153 12 2P" (t > 2-52) 34 13 2 (0.015) FO1 14 0.03 70.025 15 es

Since the Pralso is greater than the d12 hence we can not reject Ho in Lawour of HA.

Suestion : 05

DATA .-

 $m_1 = 5$   $m_2 = 7$   $m_3 = 7$   $m_4 = 97.4$   $m_5 = 7$   $m_5 = 7$  m

Ho = U2 - U = 10.

Sownon - Nonwood

Since the variances are energy of  $V = \frac{(s_1^2/n_1)^2}{(s_1^2/n_1)^2} + \frac{(s_2^2/n_2)^2}{(s_1^2/n_1)^2} + \frac{(s_2^2/n_2)^2}{(s_1^2/n_1)^2} + \frac{(s_2^2/n_2)^2}{(s_1^2/n_1)^2} + \frac{3(s_1s_1)^2/5}{(s_1s_1)^2/5} + \frac{3(s_1s_1)^2/5}{(s_1s_1)^2} + \frac{3(s_1s_1)^2/5}{$ 

2 7 37 × 7

Probe approach:
P(+ < 1.86)

0.05 20.1

Since the P value is less than of

Question : 06

DATA:
52 = 0.03

M = 10

52 = 0.03  $H_0 = 5^2 = 0.03$   $H_1 = 5^2 = 0.03$ 

s = 10.06

d=0.05 , d/2=0.025

SOLUTION .-

 $a(^{2} = (m-1)3^{2}$   $= (10-1)(0.24)^{2}$  0.03  $y^{2}(a) = 18.12$ 

Probe approach.

2 P(x² > 18.12)

2 (0.025)

6.05 > 0.025

The Prolie is greater ton the level of significance bence we can not reject the in

XUESTION : 07 DATA !n = 12d=0.01 5 = 1.75 Ho = 0 = 1.96 HA2 8 2 > 1.96 SOLUTION = y(2 = (n-1) \$5 = (12-1)(1.25) = 118.75 Probe approach

P(x2 718.75) 0.05 7 0.01

gince the P value is greater tran of &

so we can'not reject the.

luestion . 08

$$m_1 = 20$$
  $\frac{1}{2}$   $m_2 = 20$ 

$$V_1 = 19$$
  $V_2 = 19$ 

$$S_1 = 281.06$$
  $S_2 = 119.394$ 

SOLUTION .-

Flab = f (19,19) = 2.49

Since from > from , we reject the in favour of HA.