

# Problem Set 4

---

---

---

---



**Q-1**

$$u(c) = \frac{c^{-\frac{1}{6}} - 1}{1 - \frac{1}{6}}$$

First note that at  $c=1$ , we get  $\infty$   
 which is tractable indeterminate form, so,

Take derivative w.r.t,  $c$

$$\frac{\frac{d}{dc} \left( c^{-\frac{1}{6}} - 1 \right)}{\frac{d}{dc} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{6} \right)} = \frac{-\frac{1}{6} c^{-\frac{5}{6}}}{-\frac{1}{6}}$$

Now taking  $c \rightarrow 1$ ,  $\ln(c)$ .

$$u'(c) = \frac{-\frac{1}{6}}{c} \quad (b)$$

$$u''(c) = -\frac{1}{6} \frac{\frac{1}{6} - 1}{c^{\frac{1}{6} - 1}}$$

$$u'''(c) = \frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{1}{6} + 1 \right) \frac{-\frac{1}{6} - 2}{c^{\frac{1}{6} - 2}}$$

$$-\frac{u''(c)}{u'(c)} c = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma} + 1\right) \frac{c}{c} = \frac{1}{\sigma} + 1$$

This measure strength of precautionary savings and decrees with  $\sigma$ .

2(a)

We can write sequence problem

$$\max_{c_1, c_2} \frac{c_1}{1-\gamma} + \beta E \frac{c_2}{1-\gamma}$$

$$\text{s.t. } c_1 + \frac{c_2}{1+\gamma} = y_0 + \frac{y_1}{1+\gamma}$$

$$d = \frac{c_1}{1-\gamma} + \beta E \frac{c_2}{1-\gamma} + L \left[ \frac{y_0 + y_1}{1+\gamma} - c_1 - \frac{c_2}{1+\gamma} \right]$$

$$\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial c_1} = -\frac{1}{\beta} - \lambda = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial c_2} = \beta E c_2 - \frac{\lambda}{1+r} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (1+r) \beta E c_2 = \lambda = c_1$$

$$\Rightarrow c_1 = (1+r) \beta E c_2$$

$$\Rightarrow u'(c_1) = (1+r) \beta E[u'(c_2)]$$

which is our usual Euler equation.

If  $c_1 > 0$  and  $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$ , then LHS

goes to 1, then it has to be that

$$1 = (1+r)\beta.$$

as if  $c_2$  has to stay positive, then  
this must be the case -

(C)

Since it has to be true that

$$c_0^{-1}\delta = (1+r)\beta E[c_1^{-1}\delta]$$

and also

$$c_0 + \frac{c_1}{1+r} = y_0 + \frac{y_1}{1+r}$$

$$c_1 = (1+r)(y_0 - c_0) + y_1$$

For this budget constraint, whatever

$$\text{Savings, } c_1 = (1+r)(y_0 - c_0) + y_1^L$$

$$\text{or } c_1 = (1+r)(y_0 - c_0) + y_1^H$$

depending on realization of  $y_1$ .

### PART - 3

$$C_0 = \frac{1}{1 + \beta^6 (1+r)^{6-1}} y_0$$

$$a) \frac{\partial C_0}{\partial (1+r)} = - \frac{y_0}{[1 + \beta^6 (1+r)^{6-1}]^2} \times \beta^6 (6-1) (1+r)^{6-2}$$

If  $\delta > 1$ , then a decrease in  $r$  leads to increase in  $C_0$ . Decrease in  $r$  means consumption tomorrow is expensive and hence you consume more today.

when  $\delta > 1$ , individual is very elastic and substitution effect dominates. Hence, if  $\delta < 1$ , the income effect dominates and individual saves even more to have more

income homom. Both effects cancel

at  $\beta = 1$

(c)

$$c_1 = (1+\gamma)[y_0 - c_0] = \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + \beta^{\sigma}(1+\gamma)^{\sigma-1}}\right)y_0 (1+\gamma)$$

$$c_1 = \frac{\beta^{\sigma} (1+\gamma)^{\sigma}}{1 + \beta^{\sigma} (1+\gamma)^{\sigma-1}} y_0$$

(d)

$$\frac{\partial c_1}{\partial (1+\gamma)} = \frac{\sigma \beta^{\sigma} (1+\gamma)^{\sigma-1}}{[1 + \beta^{\sigma} (1+\gamma)^{\sigma-1}]}$$

$$y_0 = \frac{\beta^{\sigma} (1+\gamma)^{\sigma} (\sigma-1) \beta^{\sigma} \gamma^{\sigma-1}}{[1 + \beta^{\sigma} (1+\gamma)^{\sigma-1}]^2}$$

Simplification would give something like

$$\frac{\partial c_1}{\partial (1+\gamma)} = \frac{\sigma \beta^{\sigma} (1+\gamma)^{\sigma-1}}{[1 + \beta^{\sigma} (1+\gamma)^{\sigma-1}]^2} y_0$$

In  $\ell_0$  you are forward looking. When  $\gamma$  changes you do adjust  $c_0$  but either income or substitution effect dominates. It does not depend on  $\delta$ . When  $\delta > 1$  substitution effect dominates & you save less when  $\gamma$  goes down and consume less  $c_1$ . However, even if when  $\delta \leq 1$  and income effect dominates which is you decrease  $c_0$  when  $\gamma \downarrow$  and save more but still not to fully affect reduction in  $c_1$  as  $\gamma$  also goes down & you end up less in period 2.

$$\max_{\{c_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t)$$

$$w_{t+1} = R(w_t - c_t)$$

a) We are not given initial condition of  $w$  & we have to assume  $w_0 > 0$ . We are assuming you start with wealth  $w_0$  and consume out of it. I think only slightly non-sensible thing is that  $R$  is fixed. It is eat the pie because you have  $w_0$  & you use  $R$  to shift it across states, you consume pie over time.

(b)

You start with some wealth  $w$

and end up with  $v(R(w-c))$  after

consume  $c$ . So,

$$v(w) = \sup_{c \in [0, w]} \{ u(c) + \beta v(R(w-c)) \}$$

The wealth process is completely

deterministic & depends only on starting

state  $w$ . Also,  $R$  is fixed across time. So, need to know calendar time but just initial wealth.

(C)

Let  $u$  &  $f$  be two functions s.t

$$u(c) \geq f(c)$$

Then

$$(Bu)(c) \geq (Bf)(c)$$

because  $\beta V(R(w-c))$  is some &  
other part is  $u$  or  $f$

$$u(c) \geq f(c)$$

$$u(c) + \beta V(R(w-c)) \geq \overset{+}{f(c)} \beta V(R(w-c))$$

$$\sup \left\{ u(c) + \beta V(R(w-c)) \right\} \geq \sup \left\{ f(c) + \beta V(R(w-c)) \right\}$$

$$(Bu)(c) \geq (Bf)(c)$$

For any  $v$ ,

$$\beta(f+a)(c) = \sup \{ u(c) + \beta f(\beta(v-c) + \beta a) \}$$

so it satisfies second condition as well.

Boundedness is necessary because otherwise supremum may not exist and Bellman operator would not be defined.

Blackwell says we can start with an arbitrary operator  $V$  guess and once start iterating eventually we will reach fixed point which will be our value function.

(d)

$$\text{if } \gamma = 1, \quad u(c) = \ln(c)$$

$$\phi + \psi \ln(w) = \sup_{c \in [0, w]} \left\{ \ln(c) + \beta \left[ \phi + \psi \ln(R(w-c)) \right] \right\}$$

Supremum is resolved when we use  
optimal choice of  $c$  & here,

$$\frac{\phi + \ln w}{1-\beta} = \ln(1-\beta) + \ln(w) + \beta \left\{ \phi + \frac{1}{1-\beta} (\ln(\beta R) + \ln w) \right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi = \frac{\ln(1-\beta) + \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \ln(\beta R)}{1-\beta}$$

When  $\gamma \neq 1$ ,

$$\varphi \frac{w^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} = \sup_{c \in [0, w]} \left\{ \frac{c^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} + \beta \varphi \frac{R(w-c)}{1-\gamma} \right\}$$

Again using optimal choice of  $c$ ,

$$\varphi \frac{w^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} = \frac{\left( \varphi \frac{w}{1-\gamma} \right)^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} + \beta \varphi \frac{[R(w - \varphi \frac{w}{1-\gamma})]^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi = \left( 1 - \beta \frac{R^{\gamma}}{R^{\gamma}-1} \right)^{-\gamma}$$

(c)

when  $\gamma=1$ , income & substitution effects  
always cancel out and therefore  
the price ( $P$ ) does not matter.

## Q-3 (a)

Households lifetime wealth is derived by integrating wealth differential Equation,

$$a_t - r t a_t = y_t - c_t$$

Natural borrowing constraint says that any point, net present value of income

$$\int_t^{\infty} e^{-rs} y_s ds$$

and you can only borrow against it  
i.e

$$a_t \geq - \int_t^{\infty} e^{-r(s-t)} y_s ds$$

This is also equivalent to non-Ponzi

Scheme when you apply transversality condition.

So you cannot be so low in debt that even if you consume zero after time  $t$  you cannot pay it back.

(b)

We can solve ODE for wealth to get

$$\dot{a}_t - r a_t = y_t - c_t$$

$$a_t e^{\int_0^t r s ds} \Big|_0^t = \int_0^t (y_s - c_s) ds$$

$$a_t = e^{-\int_0^t r_s ds} - a_0 = \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r_s ds} (y_s - c_s) ds$$

( > 0 in the limit  $t \rightarrow \infty$  )

$\Rightarrow$

$$a_0 + \int_0^\infty e^{-\int_0^s r_s ds} y_s ds = \int_0^\infty e^{-\int_0^s r_s ds} c_s ds$$

So, initial lifetime wealth is

$$W(a_0, \{r_t\}, \{y_t\}) = a_0 + \int_0^\infty e^{-\int_0^s r_s ds} y_t dt$$

$$dW = da_0 + \int_0^\infty e^{-\int_0^s r_s ds} dy_t dt + \int_0^\infty e^{-\int_0^s r_s ds} y_t dr_t dt$$

(d)

The calendar time captures  $y_t$  &  $\bar{y}_t$  which are exogenous time dependent processes.

HJB!

$$PV_t(a) = \frac{\partial V_t(a)}{\partial t} + \max_c \left\{ U(c) + \underbrace{\frac{\partial V_t(a)}{\partial a}}_{\gamma a + \bar{y} - c} \right\}$$

(e)

$$\text{FOC: } U'(c) - \frac{\partial V_t(a)}{\partial a} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow U'(c) = \frac{\partial V_t(a)}{\partial a}$$

Envelope:-

$$P \frac{\partial V_t(a)}{\partial a} = \frac{\partial^2 V_t(a)}{\partial t \partial a} + \frac{\partial^2 V_t(a)}{\partial a^2} \dot{a} + \gamma \frac{\partial V_t(a)}{\partial a}$$

$$(P - r) \frac{\partial V_t(a)}{\partial a} = \frac{\partial^2 V_t(a)}{\partial t \partial a} + \frac{\partial^2 V_t(a)}{\partial a^2} \dot{a} \quad \textcircled{a}$$

Taking time derivative of FOC,

$$U'(c) \frac{dc}{dt} = \frac{\partial^2 V_t(a)}{\partial t^2} \dot{a} + \frac{\partial^2 V_t(a)}{\partial a \partial t}$$

But this is RHS of Envelope (a)

$$(P - r) U'(c) = U''(c) \frac{dc}{dt}$$

$$(P - r_t) dt = \frac{U'(c)}{U''(c)} dc$$

(f)

We know growth rate of marginal utility is  $f - \gamma_k$ , so we can

write marginal utility as

$$u'(c_t) = c_0 e^{\int_s^t (f - \gamma_k) dK}$$

$$u'(c_s) = c_0 e^{\int_s^t (f - \gamma_k) dK}$$

Since  $t > s$ , we can write

$$u'(c_t) = \underbrace{c_0 e^{\int_s^t (f - \gamma_k) dK}}_t \cdot e^{\int_s^t (f - \gamma_k) dK}$$

$$u'(c_t) = u'(c_s) e^{\int_s^t (f - \gamma_k) dK}$$

$$u'(c_t) = u'(c_s) \cdot e^{f(t-s)} e^{\int_s^t \gamma_k dK}$$

$$\text{OR } u'(cs) = u'(ct) e^{-\int_c^t f(t-s) dt} - \int_c^t r_k dK$$

$$u'(cs) = u'(ct) e^{-\int_c^t f(t-s) dt} R_{c,t}$$

(5)

So in CRRRA, MU is  $\frac{-\gamma}{c}$

$$c_s = c_t e^{-\gamma - \int_c^t f(t-s) dt} R_{s,t}$$

Now,  $\text{Supp } \mu \cap S = \emptyset$

$$c_0 e^{-\gamma - \int_c^t f(t-s) dt} \perp = \bar{c}_t$$

$$c_t = c_0 \left[ e^{-\gamma t} R_{0,t} \right]^{1/\gamma}$$

(h)

Now lifetime consumption is

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-\int_0^t r_s ds} c_t dt = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\int_0^t r_s ds} C_0 e^{-\frac{f}{r} t} \cdot R_{0,t} dt$$
$$= C_0 \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{f}{r} t} \times R_{0,t} dt$$
$$= C_0 \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{f}{r} dt} \frac{1-r}{r} R_{0,t} dt$$

The first term  $\frac{1-r}{r}$  gives PV of consumption in utility terms while  $R_{0,t}$  takes effect of

interest (kind of income effect)

$y_S$  impacts  $c_t$  only through  $c_0 \circ S_0$

$$\frac{\partial c_t}{\partial y_S} = \frac{\partial c_0}{\partial y_S} \left[ e^{-\lambda t} R_{0,t} \right]^r = \frac{\partial c_0}{\partial y_S} \left[ e^{\frac{r-\lambda}{r} t} \right]$$

$c_0$  is given by

$$c_0 = w \left[ \int_0^\infty e^{\frac{r-\lambda(1-r)}{r} t} dt \right]$$

$$\frac{\partial c_0}{\partial y_S} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_S} \left[ \int_0^\infty e^{\frac{r-\lambda(1-r)}{r} t} dt \right]$$

But  $y_S$  adds following present  
discounted value into wealth,

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial y_S} = e^{-\lambda S}$$

$$\frac{\partial C_0}{\partial y_S} = e^{-\gamma S} \int_0^{\infty} e^{\frac{f-\lambda(1-\gamma)}{\gamma} t} dt$$

$$\frac{\partial C_t}{\partial y_S} = e^{\frac{s-f}{\gamma} t - \gamma S} \int_0^{\infty} e^{\frac{f-\lambda(1-\gamma)}{\gamma} t} dt$$

assuming that  $\frac{f-\gamma(1-\gamma)}{\gamma} < 0$  so that integral converges, we get

$$\frac{\partial C_t}{\partial y_S} = e^{\frac{s-f}{\gamma} t - \gamma S} \xrightarrow{\gamma} f - \lambda(1-\gamma)$$

**Q-4**

$$Af = \frac{\partial f}{\partial a} [sa_t - ct] + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial a^2} \sigma^2 a^2$$

Since  $\sigma$  is fixed, after controlling for  $a$ , we don't need any other time dependent information. Here,

$$fV(a) = \max_c \left\{ u(c) + \frac{dv}{dt} \right\}$$

Using general & diffusion process of

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = V'(a) [sa_t - ct] + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 a^2 V''(a)$$

So,

$$fV(a) = \max_c \left\{ u(c) + v'(a) [ra - c] + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 a^2 v''(a) \right\}$$

Since we have only one state variable,  
 this is 2nd order partial differential  
 equation.

(c)

$$c(a) = fa$$

Since utility is  $\log(c)$ ,  $v(a)$  will be  
 log linear,

$$v(a) = A + B \log(a)$$

$$v'(a) = B/a$$

$$v''(a) = -B/a^2$$

$$fA + fB \log(a) = \log(fa) + B(x-f) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \left( -\frac{B}{a^2} \right) x a^2$$

$$\Rightarrow B = \frac{1}{f}$$

$$fA = \log(f) + B(x-f) - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} B$$

$$fA = \log(f) + \frac{x-f}{f} - \frac{\sigma^2}{2f}$$

$$VA = \frac{1}{f} \log(f) + \frac{x-f}{f^2} - \frac{\sigma^2}{2f^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow VA = \frac{1}{f} \log(fa) + \frac{x-f}{f^2} - \frac{\sigma^2}{2f^2}$$

Q-5 (a)

Since current marginal utility is non-random, household trades it off with an extra unit investment in any of  $j$  assets tomorrow. But for optimality, it must hold current MU for present discount expected marginal utility from investing in asset  $j$ .

$$\text{For CRRA, } u'(c) = c^{-\gamma}$$

$$\text{So, } \frac{u'(c_{t+1})}{u'(c_t)} = \left(\frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t}\right)^{-\gamma} = e^{\ln\left(\frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t}\right)^{-\gamma}}$$
$$= e^{-\gamma \ln(c_{t+1}/c_t)} = e^{-\gamma \ln c_{t+1}}$$

So,

$$1 = e^{-\rho} E \left[ e^{\ln R_{t+1}^i - r \Delta \ln c_{t+1}} \right]$$

$$1 = E \left[ e^{r_{t+1}^i - \rho - r \Delta \ln c_{t+1}} \right]$$

Using,  $R_{t+1}^i = e^{r_{t+1}^i + \sigma \varepsilon_{t+1}^i - \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^i)^2}$

our equation become,

$$1 = E \left[ e^{r_{t+1}^i + \sigma \varepsilon_{t+1}^i - \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^i)^2 - \rho - r \Delta \ln c_{t+1}} \right]$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}$   
 $x_t$

Since this power ( $x_t$ ) is sum of two normal, it is also normal

$$E[X_t] = \alpha_{t+1}^j - \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_j^i)^2 - \rho \gamma u_{C,t}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(X_t) &= (\sigma_j^i)^2 + \gamma^2 \sigma_{C,t}^2 - \sigma_j^i \gamma \text{Cov}(\varepsilon_{t+1}, \Delta \ln C_{t+1}) \\ &= (\sigma_j^i)^2 + \gamma^2 \sigma_{C,t}^2 - 2\sigma_j^i \gamma \sum_{j \in C} \sigma_{C,t} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $X_t$  is Normally distributed,

$$E[e^{X_t}] = e^{-\rho + \alpha_{t+1}^j - \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_j^i)^2 - \gamma u_{C,t} + \frac{1}{2} \text{Var}_t (\sigma_j^i - \gamma \Delta \ln C_{t+1})}$$

$$\log(I) = 0 \quad \text{So,}$$

$$0 = -\rho + \alpha_{t+1}^j - \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_j^i)^2 - \gamma u_{C,t} + \frac{1}{2} \text{Var}_t (\sigma_j^i - \gamma \Delta \ln C_{t+1})$$

$$\text{when } \sigma_j^i = 0 \quad (\text{d})$$

$$0 = -P + \delta_{t+1}^f - \gamma u_{c,t} + \frac{1}{2} \gamma^2 \sigma_{c,t}^2$$

$$\delta_{t+1}^f = P + \gamma u_{c,t} - \frac{1}{2} \gamma^2 \sigma_{c,t}^2$$

(e)

$$\pi_{t+1}^E = -\frac{1}{2} (\bar{\epsilon}_t^E)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\epsilon}_t^F)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( 2 \text{Cov}(\bar{\epsilon}_{t+1}^E, \Delta u_{t+1}) \right) \times \gamma$$

$$\pi_{t+1}^E = \gamma \sigma_{c,E}$$



Q-6

$$\frac{dQ}{Q} = \mu_Q dt + \sigma_Q dB$$