

Fakultät II: Informatik, Wirtschafts- und Rechtswissenschaften
Department für Informatik
Abteilung: Entwicklung korrekter Systeme

Transformational semantics of the combination π -OZ for mobile processes with data

Masterarbeit

- post version -

Name: Muhammad Ekbal Ahmad

E-Mail: muhammad.ekbal.ahmad@uni-oldenburg.de

Studiengang: Fach-Master Informatik

Erstgutachter: Prof. Dr. Ernst-Rüdiger Olderog

Zweitgutachter: M.Sc. Manuel Gieseking

Datum: 17. Januar 2020

Contents

Li	st of Figures	V
1	Introduction	1
2	$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	3
	2.2 The OZ	7
3	Conclusion and future work	9
Bi	ibliography	11

List of Figures

1 Introduction

In many cases of modern computing it is of interest to describe and model concurrency. Computers no longer just solve a problem by subsequently working off the single tasks of their own, but they decompose and concurrently calculate the problem even together in a network. The increase in the number of CPU cores and more heavily of GPU cores within one single computer convincingly demonstrates how fundamental concurrency is for modern computing. Moreover, the rapidly increasing spread of the Internet is one of the most common examples which shows the importance of networks.

This thesis is divided into five chapters. In Chapter 2 we briefly introduce sequences and properly investigate the π -calculus and its operational semantics (the early transition system [SW01]). Thereby, we investigate its properties and define the refinement based on the trace semantics. Finally, the conclusion in Chapter 3 gives a brief summary of our results and presents ideas for future work.

2 Preliminaries

At the heart of the refinement of π -calculus processes is the theory of sequences. Thus, in this chapter, we recall the model of sequences to gain a formal construct to handle ordered elements.

Furthermore, we introduce the π -calculus and investigate its behavior properly. In particular, we carefully explain the operational semantics of π -calculus processes, since its peculiarities induce the characteristics of the refinement and its properties. Moreover, we discuss why we choose this particular operational semantics for the following work in this thesis and compare it to other semantics.

The majority of those definitions and notions can, for example, be found in [Mil99, SW01].

As mathematical notations, we consider the natural numbers starting with zero $(\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\})$ and use \S as the composition of relations. Furthermore, we denote R^* as the reflexive and transitive closure of a relation R.

2.1 The π -calculus

The π -calculus is a process algebra that can be used to describe the behavior. This section introduces the pure polyadic version of the π -calculus as decriped in [Mil99].

2.1.1 Intuition

To explain the π -calculus inuitively we will use the ion example as in [Mil99]. Let us imagine a positive and a negative ion. When those two ions merge, we get a new construct. The merge operation is called a *reaction*, since an ion acts and the other reacts. This reaction can be seen as communication between two processes. The two processes communicate to share some information. One process is the sender and the other is the receiver. By doing the reaction both processes evolute to some thing new. The reaction, information sharing and evolution concepts are the core of the π -calculus. Using those concepts we can understand the title of

Milner's book communicating and mobile processes: the π -calculus [Mil99]. The word communicating refers to the reaction concept. The word mobile refers to the information sharing and evolution concepts, since the receiver process can use the received information to change its location as we will see in ...

The π -calculus consists of:

- a bunch of names starting with capital case letters like $P, P_1, Q, ... etc$ used to refer to a process directly.
- a bunch of names starting with capital case letters like A, B, C, ...etc used a process identifier. The process identifier will be used to define recursion with parameters.
- a bunch of names starting with lower case letter like a, b, x, y, ...etc used as a channel and message name.
- a bunch of operators like:
 - Parallel composition operator: " | ".
 - Sequential composition operator: ".".
 - Choice operator: " + ".
 - Scope restriction operator: " new ".

So a simple example of a process can be: $\overline{x}\langle y\rangle$.0 this process simply sends the message y via the channel x and stops. The full syntax of π -calculus process is given in Definition 2.1.1. In this thesis starting from this point, when we mention the word *names* we refer to the bunch of names starting with small letters used as channel and message name.

2.1.2 Syntax

Definition 2.1.1 (Process syntax) The syntax of a π -calculus process P is defined by:

$$P ::= \sum_{i \in I} \pi_i.P_i \ \big| \ P_1 \mid P_2 \ \big| \ \underline{\mathtt{new}} \, \vec{y} \, P \ \big| \ A \langle \vec{v} \rangle.$$

where:

• $\sum_{i \in I} \pi_i . P_i$ is the guarded sum.

*

- $P_1 \mid P_2$ is the parallel composition of processes.
- $\underline{\text{new }}\vec{y}$ P is the restriction of the scope of the names \vec{y} to the process P
- $A\langle \vec{v} \rangle$ is a process call.

Guarded sum:

Is the *choice* between multiple guarded processes. If the guard of one process took place, other guarded processes will be discarded. For example, the processes: $x().P_1 + y().P_2$ will evolve to the process P_1 if the guard x() occurred.

Furthermore, The process **0** is called the *stop process* or *inaction* and stands for the process that can do nothing. It can be omitted.

Guard:

Also called action prefix and denoted by π . It's syntax is defined by:

Definition 2.1.2 (Action prefix syntax)

$$\pi ::= x(\vec{y}) \mid \overline{x} \langle \vec{y} \rangle \mid \tau.$$

where:

- $x(\vec{y})$ represents the action: receive \vec{y} via the channel x.
- $\overline{x}\langle \vec{y}\rangle$ represents the action: send \vec{y} via the channel x.
- \bullet τ represents an internal non observable action.

Parallel composition:

The parallel composition operator | represent the concept of concurrency in the π -calculus, where two processes can evolve in concurrent. It represents an interleaving behavior of the concurrency. For example let: $P =_{\text{def}} P_1 \mid (P_2 \mid P_3)$ where: $P_1 =_{\text{def}} x(y).Q_1$, $P_2 =_{\text{def}} \overline{x}\langle y \rangle.Q_2$ and $P_3 =_{\text{def}} x(y).Q_3$. So $P =_{\text{def}} x(y).Q_1 \mid (\overline{x}\langle y \rangle.Q_2 \mid x(y).Q_3)$. Possible evolution cases of P are:

• $P_1 \mid (Q_2 \mid Q_3)$. P_2 sends y via x to P_3 .

• $Q_1 | (Q_2 | P_3)$. P_2 sends y via x to P_1 .

The example above illustrated the privacy nature of the parallel operator in the π -calculus. A process can via a channel communicate with only one process pro time. P_2 cannot communicate with both P_1 , P_3 in the same time, while in Communicating Sequential Processes (CSP) a process can communicate with multiple processes in the same time via the same channel by sending multiple copies of the same message, in other words: in CSP the channel represents a Hub.

Restriction:

The expression $\underline{\text{new}} \vec{y} P$ binds the names \vec{y} to the process P. In other words: the visibility scope of the names \vec{y} is restricted to the process P. It is similar to declaring a private variable in programming languages. Thus the names \vec{y} are not visible outside P and P cannot use them to communicate with outside. For example, let $P =_{\text{def}} P_1 \mid P_2$ where: $P_1 =_{\text{def}} \underline{\text{new}} y \ \overline{y} \langle z \rangle . Q_1$ and $P_2 =_{\text{def}} y(z) . Q_2$. The process P cannot evolute to $Q_1 \mid Q_2$, since the name y in P_1 is only visible inside it, i.e., from the P_2 's point of view P_1 doesn't have a channel called y. This takes us to the definition of the Bound and free names.

Definition 2.1.3 (Free names) are all the restricted names in a process.

*

Definition 2.1.4 (Bound names) are all the name that occur in a process except the bound names.

For example, let $P_1 =_{\text{def}} \underline{\text{new}} x \, \overline{x} \langle y \rangle . P_2$ where $P_2 =_{\text{def}} \underline{\text{new}} z \, \overline{x} \langle z \rangle . P_3$. The name x is bound in P_1 but free in P_2 .

Process call:

Let P be a process and let A be a process identifier. To be able to use the process P recursively we use the process identifier A as follow: $A(\vec{w}) =_{\text{def}} P$. Thus, when we write $A\langle \vec{v} \rangle$ we are using the identifier A to call the process P with replacing the names \vec{w} in P with the names \vec{v} . This replacement is called the α -conversion

For example, let $P =_{\text{def}} \overline{w} \langle y \rangle$. **0** and let $A(w) =_{\text{def}} P$ be the recursive definition of the process P, then $A \langle v \rangle = \overline{v} \langle y \rangle$. **0**

2.2 The OZ

The OZ

3 Conclusion and future work

In this thesis ...

Bibliography

- [Mil99] R. Milner. Communicating and Mobile Systems: the π -Calculus. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1999.
- [SW01] D. Sangiorgi and D. Walker. The π -calculus: a Theory of Mobile Processes. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2001.

Index

Symbols	channel8		
$ \alpha$ -convertibility	choice 1 co-names co-support commitments concatenation congruence relation conjugation		
A	D		
action	denotational semantics 49 ${f E}$		
observable .9 output .10, 20 silent .10 unobservable .10 application substitution process .13	early instantiation 20, 33 early transition system 20 f, 34 empty sequence 6 empty trace 37 equivalence relation 13 extended standard form 16		
trace	${f F}$		
big-step semantics	free name		
bound name	guarded choice		
bound output action20	inaction9		
bound substitution	indexed trace set		
C call11	input action		
call11	achon		

prefix9	parameter
process	polyadic π -calculus 8
input-/output experiments33	prefix8
instantiation	input 9
early	operator6
late33	output8
internal action10	process
invisible big-step	$silent \dots 9$
iteration6	process
T.7	$\tau \dots \dots$
K	algebra1, 7
Kleene star 6	call11
11100110 5001	choice
L	congruence
1	identifier9
late instantiation	input10
late transition system34	output10
length of a sequence 6	parallel
M	prefix9
141	restriction
message	stop9
monadic π -calculus 8	
	\mathbf{R}
N	
name8	recursion-free
bound	recursive definition
free	refinement
	restricted name
process	restriction
substitution	restriction set
	restriction-free11
trace	S
0	5
	scope extrusion
object	semantics
observable action 9	denotational
operational semantics19	operational
output	sequence5
action	concatenation6
prefix8	empty
process	iteration 6
D	length 6
P	silent
parallel composition	action
Paramor composition	0001011

INDEX

prefix9	\mathbf{W}
simulation	
strong32	weak
weak	bisimilar32
standard form	bisimulation 32
extended	processes set
stop process	simulation32
strong	
bisimilar32	
bisimulation 32	
simulation32	
structural congruence15	
subject 10, 38	
substitution	
action	
co-support13	
injective on set	
process	
support13	
trace	
sum9	
summation	
support	
TD.	
T	
trace37	
equivalent	
refinement	
semantics	
transition system	
early	•
late34	
transposition	
\mathbf{U}	
uniqueness of bound names	
process	
trace	
unobservable action	
V	
visible big-step 38	

Erklärung

Hiermit versichere ich, dass ich diese Arbeit selbstständig verfasst und keine anderen als die angegebenen Quellen und Hilfsmittel benutzt habe. Außerdem versichere ich, dass ich die allgemeinen Prinzipien wissenschaftlicher Arbeit und Veröffentlichung, wie sie in den Leitlinien guter wissenschaftlicher Praxis der Carl von Ossietzky Universität Oldenburg festgelegt sind, befolgt habe.

Oldenburg,	den	17.	Januar	2020
(Muhamma	d El		Ahmad	