#### AUTO & DECLTYPE

### Plan for Today

- □ How does auto work
- ☐ How does decltype work

### auto: The Basics (1/7)

■ We write code like this all the time ...

```
std::vector<int> vi;
// ...

for (std::vector<int>::const_iterator cit = std::cbegin(vi);
        cit != std::cend(vi);
        ++cit) {
        std::cout << *cit;
}</pre>
```

### auto: The Basics (2/7)

□ Next, consider this code ...

```
std::vector<int> vi;
// ...
for (std::vector<int>::iterator it = std::begin(vi);
    it != std::end(vi);
    ++it) {
    std::cin >> *it;
}
```

Quite annoying for programmer to explicitly write type of variable it when compiler knows type it should be!!!

### auto: The Basics (3/7)

- Since C++11, language shares with programmer type of initializing expression std::begin(vi)
- Since C++11, you can declare variable
   without specifying its type by using auto

```
std::vector<int> vi;
// ...
for (auto it = std::begin(vi); it != std::end(vi); ++it) {
   std::cin >> *it;
}
```

### auto: The Basics (4/7)

```
double f(); // f() returns value of type double
auto d \{f()\}; // f() and d have type double
auto i {42};  // 42 and i have type int
auto flag{false}; // false and flag has type int
// additional qualifiers are allowed
static auto pi = 3.1428;
std::vector<std::string> vs;
// using auto is especially useful where the type
// is a pretty long and/or complicated expression
// pos has type std::vector<std::string>::iterator
auto pos = std::begin(vs);
```

### auto: The Basics (5/7)

auto doesn't change fact that C++ is strongly typed

```
double f();
auto d {f()}; // f() and d have type double
```

- Variable d has result type of expression in initializer, and this type will never change afterward
- In Python, variable d will have dynamic type: an assignment to d can change type of d to that of assigned expression

### auto: The Basics (6/7)

 Initializer is required since type of variable declared with auto is deduced from its initializer

### auto: The Basics (7/7)

Can qualify auto with const and/or reference qualifiers:

```
auto i{2*7}; // i is int variable initialized to 14

const auto ci {i}; // ci's type deduced as int const
auto const ci2 {i}; // ci2's type deduced as int const

auto& ri {i}; // ri's type deduced as int&
auto const& rci {i}; // rci's type deduced as int const&
const auto& rci2 {i}; // same as rci
```

### auto: Beyond The Basics (1/4)

#### Consider this example of using auto:

Since declared type of rcx is int const& and initializing expression for something is rcx, you might think that type of something is int const&.

Not so.

It turns out type of something is deduced as int.

### auto: Beyond The Basics (2/4)

#### Consider more scenarios that use auto:

```
char str[6] {"hello"}; // str is array of 6 char elements

auto str2 {"hello"}; // what is type of str2?
auto str3 = "world"; // what is type of str3?
auto str4 {str}; // what is type of str4?
auto ia {1,2,3,4}; // what is type of ia?
```

Both "hello" and "world" are of type char const [6] which evaluates to char const\*; therefore str2 and str3 are both deduced to have type char const\*. str evaluates to type char\*; therefore str4 has type char\*. With braced initializers {1,2,3,4}, ia's type is deduced as std::initializer\_list<int>.

### auto: Beyond The Basics (3/4)

■ What about expressions with auto&&?

```
double f(int x, int y) { return static_cast<double>(x+y); }
template <typename T> T& g(T& x) { return ++x; }
// which of following declaration statements compile
// and if they compile, what is type of variable?
auto i {42}; // i has type int
auto&& rri {f(i, i)}; // what is type of rri?
auto&& rri2 {42}; // what is type of rri2?
auto&& rri3 {i};  // what is type of rri3?
auto&& rri4 \{g(42)\}; // what is type of rri4?
auto&& rri5 {g(i)}; // what is type of rri5?
```

### auto: Beyond The Basics (4/4)

■ What about expressions with auto&&?

```
double f(int x, int y) { return static_cast<double>(x+y); }
template <typename T> T& g(T& x) { return ++x; }
// which of following declaration statements compile
// and if they compile, what is type of variable?
auto i {42};
             // i has type int
auto&& rri {f(i, i)}; // rri deduced as rvalue reference
auto&& rri2 {42};  // rri2 deduced as rvalue reference
auto&& rri3 {i};  // rri3 deduced as lvalue reference
auto&& rri4 \{g(42)\}; // error: 42 is not an Lvalue
auto&& rri5 {g(i)}; // rri5 deduced as Lvalue reference
```

# How to Understand auto Declarations? (1/6)

- In previous examples, we saw that auto type specifier is used in number of places to deduce type of variable from its initializer
- auto type deduction is template type deduction
- There is direct algorithmic transformation from template type deduction to auto type deduction

# How to Understand auto Declarations? (2/6)

```
auto x {42}; // what is type deduced for x??? const auto cx {x}; // what is type deduced for cx??? const auto& rx {x}; // what is type deduced for rx???
```

□ To deduce types for x, Cx, and rx in these examples, compilers act as if there were a function template for each declaration as well as a call to that template with corresponding initializing expression

# How to Understand auto Declarations? (3/6)

Type deduced for identifier is type deduced for param through template type deduction

```
// function template declaration
template < typename T > void f( ParamType param );
// call f with some f( expr);
```

type specifier with auto identifier = initializer;

## How to Understand auto Declarations? (4/6)

# How to Understand auto Declarations? (5/6)

```
x \{42\}; // what is type deduced for x???
auto
template <typename T>
                                // conceptual template for
void func_for_x(T param);
                                // deducing x's type
func_for_x(42);
                                // conceptual call: param's
                                 // deduced type is x's type
const auto cx {x}; // what is type deduced for cx???
template <typename T>
                          // conceptual template for
void func_for_cx(const T param); // deducing cx's type
                                // conceptual call: param's
func for cx(x);
                                 // deduced type is cx's type
```

# How to Understand auto Declarations? (6/6)

```
x \{42\}; // what is type deduced for x
auto
template <typename T>
                     // conceptual template for
void func_for_x(T param);  // deducing x's type
func for x(42);
                              // conceptual call: param's
                               // deduced type is x's type
const auto cx {x}; // what is type deduced for cx
                    // conceptual template for
template <typename T>
void func_for_cx(const T param); // deducing cx's type
                        // conceptual call: param's
func for cx(x);
                               // deduced type is cx's type
const auto& rx {x}; // what is type deduced for rx
template <typename T> // conceptual template for
void func_for_rx(const T& param); // deducing rx's type
func for rx(x);
                     // conceptual call: param's
                               // deduced type is rx's type
```

#### auto Type Deduction: Cases

- Just as with template type deduction, auto type deduction has three cases to consider:
  - type specifier is pointer or reference type
  - type specifier is neither a pointer nor reference
  - type specifier is forwarding reference

```
// function template declaration
template < typename T > void f( ParamType param );

// call f with some expression
f( expr );
```

type specifier with auto identifier = initializer;

### auto Type Deduction: Examples (1/2)

- □ Three cases to consider:
  - type specifier is pointer or reference type
  - type specifier is neither a pointer nor reference
  - type specifier is forwarding reference

```
// case 2
auto x {27}; // x: ???
auto const cx {x}; // cx: ???
// case 1
auto const& rx {x}; // rx: ???
// case 3
auto&& ref1 {x};  // ref1: ???
auto&& ref2 {cx}; // ref2: ???
auto&& ref3 {27}; // ref3: ???
```

# auto Type Deduction: Examples (2/2)

```
// arrays
char const name[] {"hello"};
auto arr1 {name};  // arr1: ???
auto& arr2 {name};  // arr2: ???
// functions
void func(int, double);
auto fun1 {func};  // fun1: ???
```

## auto Type Deduction: Exception (1/5)

- auto works like template type deduction
- Except for one way they differ
- Start with observation that if you want to initialize an int
  - □ C++98 gives 2 choices
  - □ C++11 gives 4 choices

```
// C++98 initialization syntax
int x1 = 27;
int x2 (27);
```

```
// C++11 initialization syntax
int x1 = 27;
int x2 (27);
int x2 = {27};
int x2 {27};
```

# auto Type Deduction: Exception (2/5)

We can initialize ints in 4 ways but replacing int with auto is not always equivalent!!!

```
// C++11 initialization syntax
int x1 = 27;
int x2 (27);
int x3 = {27};
int x4 {27};

// All declarations will compile after
// substitution of int with auto
auto x1 = 27;
auto x2 (27);
auto x3 = {27};
auto x4 {27};
```

# auto Type Deduction: Exception (3/5)

When initializer for auto-declared variable is enclosed in braces, deduced type is std::initializer\_list

# auto Type Deduction: Exception (4/5)

Treatment of braced initializers is only way in which auto type deduction and template type deduction differ!!!

```
// we know x's type is deduced as std::initializer_list<int>
auto x = \{11, 23, 9\};
// template equivalent to x's declaration
template <typename T> void f(T param);
f({11, 23, 9}); // error: cannot deduce type for T
// template for which template type deduction will work
template <typename T> void g(std::initializer_list<T> param);
g({11, 23, 9}); // ok: T deduced as int and
                // param's type is initializer_list<int>
```

# auto Type Deduction: Exception (5/5)

- So only real difference between auto and template type deduction is that auto assumes braced initializer represents std::initializer\_list but template type deduction doesn't!!!
- Remember that if you declare a variable using auto and you initialize it with braced initializer, deduced type will always be std::initializer list

# Prefer auto Over Explicit Type Declarations (1/9)

#### Less verbose declarations

```
// print values of all elements in range [b, e)
template <typename It>
void print(It b, It e) {
  while (b != e) {
    typename std::iterator_traits<It>::value_type val = *b;
    std::cout << val << ' ';
    ++b;
  std::cout << "\n";</pre>
std::vector<std::string> vs{"a","b","c","d"};
print(std::begin(vs), std::end(vs));
std::deque<int> di{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6};
print(std::begin(di), std::end(di));
```

## Prefer auto Over Explicit Type Declarations (2/9)

#### Less verbose declarations

```
// print values of all elements in range [b, e)
template <typename It>
void print(It b, It e) {
  while (b != e) {
    auto val = *b;
    std::cout << val << ' ';</pre>
    ++b;
  std::cout << "\n";</pre>
std::vector<std::string> vs{"a","b","c","d"};
print(std::begin(vs), std::end(vs));
std::deque<int> di{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6};
print(std::begin(di), std::end(di));
```

# Prefer auto Over Explicit Type Declarations (3/9)

#### Prevents uninitialized variables

```
int i1;  // potentially uninitialized
auto i2;  // error: initializer required
auto i3 {0}; // ok: i3's value is well defined
```

```
// use of auto prevents uninitialized local variables
template <typename It>
void print(It b, It e) {
  while (b != e) {
    auto val = *b;
    std::cout << val << ' ';
    ++b;
  }
  std::cout << "\n";
}</pre>
```

# Prefer auto Over Explicit Type Declarations (4/9)

Prevents "type shortcuts"

```
std::vector<std::string> vs{"a","b","c","d"};
// fairly common type shortcut that might cause
// problems on 64-bit machines ...
unsigned sz = vs.size();
// programmer should have used explicit type ...
std::vector<std::string>::size_type sz2 = vs.size();
// however, many programmers are unaware of the official
// return type of vs.size() ...
// using auto ensures you don't have to spend time
// remembering official return type and understanding
// 32-bit vs 64-bit issues ...
auto sz3 = vs.size(); // sz3's type is official return type ...
```

# Prefer auto Over Explicit Type Declarations (5/9)

Prevents "type mismatches"

```
std::map<std::string,int> m { {"tagged",6}, {"a",1}, {"this",4} };
// insert lots more key/value pairs into m
// let's print all key/value pairs ...
for (std::pair<std::string,int> const& p : m) {
  std::cout << p.first << ' ' << p.second << '\n';</pre>
// there's a problem in the loop - do you see it?
// unintentional type mismatches can be autoed away!!!
for (auto const& p : m) {
  std::cout << p.first << ' ' << p.second << '\n';</pre>
// not only is the loop more efficient, it's also easier to type!!!
```

# Prefer auto Over Explicit Type Declarations (6/9)

 Necessary to represent types known only to compilers

```
// Lam is lambda that returns true when x is even integer
auto lam = [](int x) -> bool { return x%2 == 0; };
bool flag = lam(33); // flag is false
```

## Prefer auto Over Explicit Type Declarations (7/9)

□ Necessary for deducing return type ...

```
// return type depends on template type parameters ...
template <typename T1, typename T2>
??? sum(T1 t1, T2 t2) {
  return t1 + t2;
}

auto s1 = sum(1, 1.1); // type of s1 must be double
auto s2 = sum(1.1, 1); // type of s2 must be double
auto s3 = sum(1.f, 1); // type of s3 must be float
auto s4 = sum(1UL, 2U); // type of s4 must be unsigned long
```

# Prefer auto Over Explicit Type Declarations (8/9)

 C++14 permits use of auto to indicate function's return type should be deduced using template type deduction

```
// since C++14, return type deduced by
// template type deduction ...
template <typename T1, typename T2>
auto sum(T1 t1, T2 t2) {
 return t1 + t2;
auto s1 = sum(1, 1.1); // type of s1 is double
auto s2 = sum(1.1, 1); // type of s2 is double
auto s3 = sum(1.f, 1); // type of s3 is float
auto s4 = sum(1UL, 2U); // type of s4 is unsigned long
```

# Prefer auto Over Explicit Type Declarations (9/9)

- auto prevents uninitialized variables
- auto declarations are less verbose
- □ auto prevents "type shortcuts"
- auto prevents "type mismatches"
- auto necessary to represent types known only to compilers [closures or lambdas]
- auto necessary to deduce return type using template type deduction

#### auto Type Specifier: Summary

- auto type deduction is usually same as template type deduction, but auto type deduction assumes braced initializer represents std::initializer\_list while template deduction doesn't
- auto in a function return type implies template type deduction, not auto type deduction

#### decltype Specifier

- auto avoids need to write out type of variable, but it doesn't allow use of type of that variable
- decltype allows us to express precise type of an expression or declaration

```
auto
   i{0};

decltype(i)   j{i}; // j has type ???
decltype((i)) k{i}; // k has type ???
```

## decltype Specifier: The Basics (1/5)

- If e is name of entity [variable, function, enumerator, class member] decltype(e) yields declared type of that entity
- Otherwise, if e is expression, decltype(e) produces type that reflects expression's type and value category
  - If e is Ivalue of type T, decltype(e) produces T&
  - □ If e is prvalue of type T, decltype(e) produces T
  - □ If e is xvalue of type T, decltype(e) produces T&&

### decltype Specifier: The Basics (2/5)

Given name or expression, decltype tells you type
 of name or expression [without evaluating expression]

```
auto i{0}, &ri{i}, *pi{&i};
auto const ci{0}, &cj{ci};
// what decltype tells you is exactly what you'd predict
decltype(i) j{0}; // j has type ???
decltype((i)) k{i}; // k has type ???
decltype(ri) m{j}; // m has type ???
decltype(ci) x{0}; // x has type ???
decltype(cj) y{j}; // y has type ???
decltype(ci+10) z{11}; // z has type ???
decltype(std::move(i)) n{12}; // n has type ???
decltype(cj) xx(10); // xx has type ???
decltype(ri+10) yy; // yy has type ???
decltype(*pi) zz{i}; // zz has type ???
```

### decltype Specifier: The Basics (3/5)

```
// decltype parrots back exact type of name or expr you give it
int const i{};
                   // decltype(i) is int const
struct Point {
 int x, y;
                     // decltype(Point::x) is int
                       // decltype(Point::y) is int
Point p;
                   // decltype(p) is Point
bool f(Point const& s); // decltype(s) is Point const&
                       // decltype(f) is bool(Point const&)
if (f(p)) ...
                      // decltype(f(p)) is bool
std::vector<int> v;  // decltype(v) is std::vector<int>
if (v[0] == 0) ... // decltype(v[0]) is int&
```

# decltype Specifier: The Basics (4/5)

decltype looks like a function and returns type of an expression

```
// ok: use template type deduction
template <typename T>
auto incr(T x) {
  return x+1;
// ok: x has type int
decltype(incr(10)) x {11};
// ok: y has type unsigned int
decltype(incr(10U)) y = 10;
```

#### decltype Specifier: The Basics (5/5)

```
void g(std::string&& s) {
 // check the type of s:
 std::is_lvalue_reference<decltype(s)>::value; // true or false
 std::is rvalue reference<decltype(s)>::value; // true or false
 std::is_same<decltype(s), std::string&>::value; // true or false
 std::is same<decltype(s), std::string&&>::value; // true or false
 // check value category of s used as expression:
 std::is lvalue reference<decltype((s))>::value; // true or false
 std::is_rvalue_reference<decltype((s))>::value; // true or false
  std::is_same<decltype((s)), std::string&>::value; // true or false
 std::is_same<decltype((s)), std::string&&>::value; // true or false
}
```

# decltype Specifier: Deducing Function Return Types (1/3)

Primary use of decltype in C++11 is declaring function templates where function's return type depends on its parameter types

```
// will this compile?
template <typename T1, typename T2>
decltype(x+y) Add(T1 x, T2 y) {
  return x+y;
}
```

# decltype Specifier: Deducing Function Return Types (2/3)

```
// before C++14, it is only possible to let compiler
// determine return type by making function's
// implementation part of its declaration ...
template <typename T1, typename T2>
auto Add(T1 x, T2 y) -> decltype(x+y) {
 return x+y;
int x = 10; double y = 20.2;
auto z = Add(x, y); // type of z ???
std::string sx = "hello"; char sy[]="world";
auto sz = Add(sx, sy); // type of sz ???
```

## decltype Specifier: Deducing Function Return Types (3/3)

decltype is important in places where explicit type is required

```
// how to get this code fragment to compile?
std::vector<int> v1{1, 2, 3, 4};
std::vector<double> v2{1.1, 2.2, 3.3, 4.4, 5.5};
std::vector<????> v3 = v1+v2;
// now v3 is {2.1, 4.2, 6.3, 8.4}
```

# decltype Specifier: Deducing Function Return Types (4/5)

Suppose we'd like to write function that takes container that supports indexing and returns result of indexing operation:

```
// std::vector<T>::op[] returns T&
// std::deque<T>::op[] returns T&
// unlike other containers,
// std::vector<bool>::op[] doesn't return bool&
template <typename Cont, typename Index>
auto access(Cont& c, Index i) {
  return c[i];
// incorrect since with auto return type,
// compilers employ template type deduction!!!
```

# decltype Specifier: Deducing Function Return Types (5/5)

decltype is required for correct definition:

```
// std::vector<T>::op[] returns T&
// std::deque<T>::op[] returns T&
// unlike other containers,
// std::vector<bool>::op[] doesn't return bool&
template <typename Cont, typename Index>
auto access(Cont& c, Index i) -> decltype(c[i]) {
   return c[i];
}
```

#### decltype(auto) Specifier (1/2)

- □ Since C++14, we can declare auto variables that have same type as with decltype
  - auto specifies that type is to be deduced
  - decltype says that decltype rules should be used during the deduction

#### decltype(auto) Specifier (2/2)

#### decltype(auto) Specifier: Deducing Function Return Types (1)

```
// std::vector<T>::op[] returns T&
// std::deque<T>::op[] returns T&
// unlike other containers,
// std::vector<bool>::op[] doesn't return bool&
template <typename Cont, typename Index>
auto access(Cont& c, Index i) -> decltype(c[i]) {
   return c[i];
}
```

```
// auto specifies that type is to be deduced
// decltype says decltype rules to be used
// during the deduction
template <typename Cont, typename Index>
decltype(auto) access(Cont& c, Index i) {
  return c[i];
}
```

## decltype(auto) Specifier: Deducing Function Return Types (2)

■ Want this to work for both Ivalues and rvalues

```
// auto speciifes that type is to be deduced
// decltype says decltype rules to be used
// during the deduction
template <typename Cont, typename Index>
decltype(auto) access(Cont& c, Index i) {
  return c[i];
}
```

```
template <typename Cont, typename Index>
decltype(auto) access(Cont&& c, Index i) {
  return std::forward<Cont>(c)[i];
}
```

#### decltype Specifier: Edge Case

□ Something to worry about since C++14:

```
decltype(auto) f1() {
  int x = 0;
  return x;
decltype(auto) f2() {
  int x = 0;
  return ((x));
```

#### decltype Specifier: Summary

- decltype almost always yields type of variable or expression without any modifications
- For Ivalue expressions of type T other than names, decltype always reports type of T&
- Since C++14, decltype(auto) deduces type from its initializer, but it performs type deduction using decltype rules