MODERN C++ DESIGN PATTERNS

Mixing C and C++ Code: First Steps

- Problem similar to cobbling together C or C++ program out of object files produced by more than one compiler
 - Size and alignment of ints and doubles
 - Mechanism by which parameters are passed from caller to callee and who orchestrates the passing
- Make sure your C++ and C compilers generate compatible object files
- Then, four other things to worry about

- Name mangling
- □ Initialization of statics
- Dynamic memory allocation
- Data structure compatibility

Name Mangling (1/4)

- □ Necessary in C++ but unnecessary in C
- If you stay within confines of C++, name mangling is not of concern
- But suppose draw_line is C function and you call this function from C++, then what happens?

Name Mangling (2/4)

 You can tell your C++ compiler to suppress name mangling

```
// function implemented in non-C++ language
// and is meant to be imported by C++ linker
extern "C"
void draw_line(int, int, int, int);
```

 You can also tell your C++ compiler to suppress name mangling for certain C++ function names

```
// function implemented in C++ and is to be
// exported to clients using other languages
extern "C"
void draw_line(int, int, int, int);
```

Name Mangling (3/4)

□ You can extern "C" set of functions like this:

```
extern "C" {
   // disable name mangling for following functions
   void draw_line(int, int, int);
   unsigned int twiddle_bits(unsigned int, unsigned int);
   void simulate_rope(int iterations);
}
```

Name Mangling (4/4)

- □ You want extern "C" when compiling for C++ but not for C
- Polyglot header files can be structured like this:

```
// disable C++ name mangling for following functions
#ifdef __cplusplus
extern "C" {
#endif

void draw_line(int, int, int);
unsigned int twiddle_bits(unsigned int, unsigned int);
void simulate_rope(int iterations);

#ifdef __cplusplus
}
#endif
```

- □ Name mangling
- Initialization of statics
- Dynamic memory allocation
- Data structure compatibility

Initialization of Statics (1/4)

- In comparison to C, C++ has lots of code that gets executed before and after main
 - Static initialization occurs before body of main gets executed
 - Objects created thro' static initialization must have their dtors called after main gets executed

Initialization of Statics (2/4)

```
// C++ main looks like this:
int main() {
 // C++ implementation performs
  // static initialization here
  // statements in main go here
 // C++ implementation performs
  // static destruction here
```

Initialization of Statics (3/4)

- □ When mixing C and C++ code, if you can't write main in C++, the program is toast
- □ What if C program is calling C++ functions?
 - main must still be written in C++!!!
 - Rather than rewriting your C code, you could do this neat hack:

Initialization of Statics (4/4)

```
// rename C's main to real-main
extern "C"
int real_main(int argc, char *argv[]);

// write a new main in C++
int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
  return real_main(argc, argv);
}
```

- Name mangling
- □ Initialization of statics
- Dynamic memory allocation
- Data structure compatibility

Dynamic Memory

Simple but consistent rule: C++ parts of program always use new and delete; C parts use malloc [and its variants] and free

- □ Name mangling
- □ Initialization of statics
- Dynamic memory allocation
- Data structure compatibility

Data Structure Compatibility

- Lowest common denominator is what C can do:
 - Can safely exchange normal pointers to C-style objects and pointers to non-member functions or static functions
 - Structures and variables of built-in types can also freely cross C/C++ border

Mixing C and C++ Code: Summary

- Make sure C++ and C compilers produce compatible object files
- Declare functions to be used by both languages with extern "C"
- □ Write main in C++
- Always use delete with memory from new; always use free with memory from malloc
- Limit what you pass between two languages to data structures that compile under C