Manufacturing Visibility: Problem Assessment & Analysis

Document Purpose: This document captures my current understanding of the manufacturing visibility challenges based on our discussion. Please review and provide feedback to ensure accuracy before solution design begins.

---

1. ASSESSMENT OVERVIEW

Primary Business Challenge: Manufacturing company lacks real-time visibility across order management, inventory, production capacity, and supply chain, leading to delayed customer responses, unreliable commitments, and operational inefficiencies.

Based on our discussion, I have identified three critical visibility gaps:

1. **Order-to-Commitment Visibility Gap:** Slow, manual process for determining if new orders can be fulfilled
2. **Inventory-Production Synchronization Gap:** Disconnect between component availability and production scheduling
3. **Supply Chain Risk Visibility Gap:** External factors not integrated into planning, causing reactive firefighting

Assessment Methodology: Analysis based on our conversation about typical manufacturing operations, common pain points in similar organizations, and the specific scenarios you described.

---

2. CURRENT STATE ANALYSIS

2.1 Order Feasibility Determination Process

As-Is Workflow:

Sales Receives Order → Check SAP/Oracle for Customer History → Manual Coordination Begins
↓
[Parallel Manual Processes]
↓
1. Contact Production Planning → Check printed/Excel schedules
2. Contact Inventory Management → Check separate inventory system
3. Contact Procurement (if needed) → Check supplier lead times
↓
Consolidate information via email/meetings → Provide tentative date to customer

Key Characteristics:

- Duration: 2-5 business days for non-standard orders
- Teams Involved: Sales, Production Planning, Inventory Management, Procurement
- Systems Consulted: SAP/Oracle CRM, Separate Inventory Database, Excel Schedules, Email
- Information Flow: Manual, sequential, dependent on individual availability

2.2 Supply Chain Monitoring Approach

Current Monitoring Method:

- Primary Tracking: Logistics portals for shipment status
- Risk Monitoring: Ad-hoc (news, supplier calls, email updates)
- Alert Mechanism: Reactive discovery, then email/phone coordination
- Response Time: Typically 24-72 hours after disruption begins

Critical Limitation: External factors (weather, port congestion, market prices) are not systematically monitored or integrated into decision-making.

---

3. IDENTIFIED CORE PROBLEMS

3.1 Problem A: Manual Data Triangulation Bottleneck

Description: Determining order feasibility requires manual coordination across multiple departments, each with separate systems.

Specific Issues:

1. **Data Silos:** No real-time synchronization between SAP/Oracle, inventory systems, and production schedules
2. **Human Dependency:** Process relies on specific personnel being available and responsive
3. **Information Freshness:** Data gathered manually becomes stale quickly due to ongoing operations
4. **Error Prone:** Manual data transfer increases risk of mistakes

Example Scenario:
Sales receives $500K order for 1,000 specialized tires.

- Production says: "Line capacity available in 2 weeks"
- Inventory says: "We have 15,000 kg of rubber in stock"
- Hidden Reality: 12,000 kg of that rubber is already allocated to existing orders
- **Result:** Company commits → Later discovers shortage → Misses deadline → Pays penalty

3.2 Problem B: Theoretical vs. Actual Capacity Gap

Description: Production schedules reflect machine availability but not real-time component availability or order interdependencies.

Specific Issues:

1. **BOM-Inventory Disconnect:** Bill of Materials not linked to real-time component stock levels
2. **Component Substitution:** No automated system for alternative component usage
3. **Hidden Dependencies:** Critical component conflicts between different orders not visible
4. **Static Planning:** Schedules don't dynamically adjust to inventory changes

Critical Question Not Answered: "Can we produce this specific order with what we actually have by this specific date?"

3.3 Problem C: External Risk Blindness

Description: Supply chain decisions made without considering real-time external factors.

Specific Issues:

1. **Weather Disruptions:** Discovered after shipments are already delayed
2. **Port Congestion:** 7-10 day delays not factored into procurement planning
3. **Market Volatility:** Commodity price spikes not anticipated
4. **Geopolitical Factors:** Regional issues impacting suppliers not monitored

Vulnerability Example:
A hurricane in the South China Sea could disrupt 40% of rubber supply, but this risk isn't discovered until shipments are already 5 days late.

---

4. BUSINESS IMPACT ASSESSMENT

4.1 Financial Impact Analysis

Based on typical manufacturing industry metrics and our discussion:

Impact Category	Estimated Effect	Annual Impact (Assuming $50M Revenue Company)
Lost Sales Opportunities	8-12% of large deals lost to slow response	$4M - $6M
Expedited Shipping Costs	3-5x standard rates during crises	$150K - $300K
Excess Inventory Carrying Costs	20-25% "safety stock" above optimal	$1M - $1.25M (on $5M inventory)
Missed Delivery Penalties	3-5% of order value for late deliveries	$600K - $1M (on $20M deliveries)
Managerial Inefficiency	15-20 hours/week coordinating across departments	$75K - $100K productivity loss

4.2 Strategic & Competitive Impact

Customer & Market Impacts:

1. Customer Trust Erosion: Late deliveries damage key account relationships

2. Brand Reputation Risk: Seen as unreliable compared to competitors with real-time systems
3. Missed Market Opportunities: Cannot quickly respond to bulk orders or spot demand
4. Poor Capital Allocation: Excess inventory ties up funds that could be used for R&D/expansion

Operational Risks:

1. Single Point Failures: Manual processes vulnerable to key personnel absence
2. Decision Lag: Slow response times in fast-moving markets
3. Reactive Operations: Constantly firefighting instead of proactive planning

4.3 Risk Exposure Assessment

Risk Type	Likelihood	Impact Severity	Current Mitigation	Effectiveness
Over-commitment to Customers	High	Critical	Manual verification	Low
Supply Chain Disruption Impact	Medium	High	Reactive response	Low
Inventory Stock-outs	Medium	High	Excess buffer stock	Costly
Data Transfer Errors	High	Medium	Double-checking	Time-consuming

5. ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

Symptom Observed	Underlying Root Cause	Technical Equivalent
Slow order response times	Manual data gathering across system silos	No real-time API integration between systems
Inaccurate delivery promises	Static capacity planning disconnected from inventory	No dynamic BOM-inventory linkage
Surprise supply chain disruptions	No systematic external data monitoring	Lack of weather/logistics/market API integration
High inventory carrying costs	Overcompensation for uncertainty	No predictive demand forecasting

Fundamental Issue Identified:
The company has invested in transactional systems (SAP for orders, separate inventory DB) but lacks an intelligent decision system that synthesizes data from all sources into actionable insights.

---

6. KEY ASSUMPTIONS FOR THIS ASSESSMENT

Please validate these assumptions:

6.1 Business Process Assumptions:

1. Orders require multiple components from inventory to be assembled in production
2. Different products have different Bills of Materials (BOMs)
3. Production capacity is limited by both machine time and component availability
4. Suppliers have varying lead times and reliability scores

6.2 Technical Assumptions:

1. Data exists in SAP/Oracle (orders), separate inventory systems, and production schedules
2. These systems have some API or data export capability
3. Historical order and fulfillment data is available for analysis
4. External data sources (weather APIs, shipping APIs) are accessible

6.3 Organizational Assumptions:

1. The primary goal is to increase reliable order acceptance and on-time delivery rate
2. A solution would need to serve multiple stakeholders (Sales, Planning, Procurement, Executive)
3. Any system would provide recommendations rather than fully automated commitments initially

---

Solution Approach Direction:

The proposed solution would address all three problem layers:

- Data Layer: Unify disparate systems into a single source of truth
- Intelligence Layer: Apply optimization algorithms and predictive models
- Decision Layer: Provide clear recommendations with risk scoring