



Multiple points

- Recall that the explicit Euler method only uses information about a single (x_i, y_i) point to calculate f(x, y) used to find (x_{i+1}, y_{i+1})
- But previously calculated points can offer useful information
- The Newton polynomial formulation can be used to fit an interpolating polynomial to multiple points at once
 - effectively, the explicit Euler method uses a 0 order polynomial

Backward difference

Whereas the previous topics have tended to use the forward difference, the ODE problem is best suited to a backward difference — using some "current" point i and n-1 previous points estimate point i+1.

Backward difference

The backward difference uses the ∇ symbol instead of the Δ symbol and steps backward rather than forward:

$$\nabla^{(0)} f_i = f_i$$

$$\nabla^{(1)} f_i = \nabla^{(0)} f_i - \nabla^{(0)} f_{i-1}$$

$$= f_i - f_{i-1}$$

$$\nabla^{(2)} f_i = \nabla^{(1)} f_i - \nabla^{(1)} f_{i-1}$$

$$= (f_i - f_{i-1}) - (f_{i-1} - f_{i-2})$$

$$= f_i - 2f_{i-1} + f_{i-2}$$

$$\nabla^{(3)} f_i = \nabla^{(2)} f_i - \nabla^{(2)} f_{i-1}$$

$$= \dots$$

Backward difference polynomial

The backward difference polynomial is very similar to the forward difference one:

$$P_n(s) = \nabla^{(0)} f_i + s \nabla^{(1)} f_i + \frac{s(s+1)}{2!} \nabla^{(2)} f_i + \frac{s(s+1)(s+2)}{3!} \nabla^{(3)} f_i + \dots$$

Where *s* is defined in the same way as before:

$$s = \frac{x - x_i}{h}$$

Formulation

All Adams-Bashforth methods stem from the same basic derivation from the standard ODE form:

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = f(x, y)$$

$$dy = f(x, y) dx$$

$$\int_{y_i}^{y_{i+1}} dy = \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} f(x, y) dx$$

Replace f(x, y) with y' — the derivative of y with respect to x such that taking the integral yields y:

$$\int_{y_i}^{y_{i+1}} dy = \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} y' dx$$

Formulation

$$\int_{y_i}^{y_{i+1}} dy = \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} y' dx$$

$$y_{i+1} - y_i = \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} y' dx$$

$$y_{i+1} = y_i + \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} y' dx$$

y and y' are unknown, but y' can be replaced with an interpolated polynomial using the backward difference:

$$y_{i+1} = y_i + \int_{x}^{x_{i+1}} (y_i' + s\nabla^{(1)}y_i' + \frac{s(s+1)}{2}\nabla^{(2)}y_i' + \dots)dx$$

0 order approximation

Using $P_0(s) = y_i'$:

$$y_{i+1} = y_i + \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} (y_i') dx$$

Recall the chain rule to change dx to ds:

$$y_{i+1} = y_i + \int_0^1 y_i' h ds$$
$$= y_i + y_i' h$$

Which is just another way of expressing the Euler method.

1st order approximation

Using
$$P_1(s) = y_i' + s\nabla^{(1)}y_i$$
:

$$y_{i+1} = y_i + \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} (y_i' + s\nabla^{(1)}y_i') dx$$

$$y_{i+1} = y_i + \int_{0}^{1} (y_i' + s\nabla^{(1)}y_i') h ds$$

$$= y_i + (y_i's + \frac{s^2}{2}\nabla^{(1)}y_i') \Big|_{s=0}^{1} h$$

$$= y_i + (y_i' + \frac{1}{2}(y_i' - y_{i-1}')) h$$

$$= y_i + (\frac{3}{2}y_i' - \frac{1}{2}y_{i-1}') h$$

2nd order approximation

Example 4

Derive the 2nd order Adams-Bashforth equation by using a 2nd order backward Newton polynomial.

Table of coefficients

All Adams-Bashforth equations take the same general form:

$$y_{i+1} = y_i + (a_1 y_i' + a_2 y_{i-1}' + a_3 y_{i-2}' + ...)h$$

It is not necessary to derive these constants every time, simply read them from a table:

Order	a_1	a_2	a_3	a_4	a_5
0	1				
1	$\frac{3}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$			
2	$\frac{23}{12}$	$-\frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{5}{12}$		
3	$\frac{55}{24}$	$-\frac{59}{24}$	$\frac{37}{24}$	$-\frac{3}{8}$	
4	$\frac{1901}{720}$	$-\frac{1387}{360}$	$\frac{109}{30}$	$-\frac{637}{360}$	$\frac{251}{720}$



Multi-point implicit methods

A similar set of equations can be derived for higher order implicit methods — referred to as Adams-Moulton equations.

But these are outside the scope of the course.

Example

Example 5

Given a first order decay rate of $0.06 \, h^{-1}$ and a starting concentration of 1 M at 0 hours, find the concentration of compound A at 6, 12, 18, and 24 hours using a 2nd order Adams-Bashforth method.

Note, you will have to use lower order methods until you have enough points for the full 2nd order.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}C_A}{\mathrm{d}t} = -0.06C_A$$



A note on error

The Euler method is equivalent to a basic Taylor series expansion and is therefore $O(h^2)$ accurate.

Taylor series expansion:

$$f(x + h) = f(x) + hf'(x) + O(h^2)$$

Euler method:

$$y_{i+1} = y_i + f(x_i, y_i)h + O(h^2)$$

Note that f() in the two equation means different things.

A note on error

- The Euler method is just a 0-order interpolation
 - which corresponds to a local error of $O(h^2)$
- Every increase in polynomial order corresponds to an increase in the order of the local error
 - so a 2nd-order estimate (using 3 points) has a local error of $O(h^4)$
- But the global estimate combines the error of multiple steps, reducing the order of the local error estimate by 1
 - so a 2nd-order estimate (using 3 points) has a global error of $O(h^3)$
 - while the Euler method is O(h) globally

A note on error

Unless told otherwise, assume that any mention of $O(h^n)$ is global!