



## Assignment #1

### Comparisons:

Tests	Array Size	Randomized Divide-Conquer				Deterministic Selection				Naïve Solution			
		Run1	Run2	Run3	Avg	Run1	Run2	Run3	Avg	Run1	Run2	Run3	Avg
test1	1000	0	1	1	0.66667	2	1	0	1	3	3	3	3
test2	10,000	4	3	4	3.66667	6	5	5	5.33333	10	11	12	11
test3	100,000	10	10	13	11	18	15	21	18	47	43	36	42
test4	1,000,000	75	84	62	73.6667	57	56	74	62.3333	360	342	350	350.667
test5	1,000,000	68	39	56	54.3333	74	88	81	81	384	354	353	363.667
test6	10,000,000	349	383	356	362.667	475	633	577	561.667	4530	4572	4548	4550
test7	10,000,000	555	393	281	409.667	593	544	497	544.667	4737	4541	4672	4650

### Observation:

1. Naïve solution is so bad compared to the other two Algorithms.
2. Randomized Divide-Conquer is better than Deterministic Selection in many cases.
3. Randomized Divide-Conquer Runs vary from each other. That means that it's not a consistent algorithm.
4. It's good to have a solution like Deterministic Selection, although Randomized Divide-Conquer runs faster than him in many cases, but Deterministic Selection gives as a deterministic run time not an expected one.