Predicting DNA sequences

Muhirwa Salomon[a]

[a]*African Masters In Machine Intelligense, , AIMS, Senegal, , July 2023*

Abstract

Transcription factors (TFs) play a crucial role in regulating gene expression by binding to specific DNA sequences called transcription factor binding sites (TFBS). Identifying TFBS is essential for understanding gene regulation and its implications in various biological processes. In this study, we introduce a machine learning approach to predict whether a DNA sequence region serves as a binding site for a specific transcription factor, treating it as a sequence classification task.

Keywords: Transcription factors (TFs), DNA sequence, Machine learning, Classification, Feature engineering

1. Introduction

This project focuses on brain tumor classification using the ResNet-50, efficient- Net, architecture and data augmentation techniques. By leveraging deep learn- ing, we aim to accurately identify tumor types from MRI scans. The ResNet-50 model's depth and skip connections enable effective feature extraction, and data augmentation enhances model robustness. Our approach includes class-specific weights for balanced training and early stopping to prevent overfitting. The project's objectives encompass implementing the pipeline, evaluating accuracy, and contributing to medical image analysis advancements.

2. Datasets

To develop and evaluate our model, we use a labeled dataset consisting of DNA sequences and their corresponding labels indicating whether they are TFBS or non-TFBS regions. We are provided with a 2-class labelled dataset with DNA sequences of 2000 and 1000 training and testing dataset. DNA sequences are composed of four nucleotides: adenine (A), cytosine (C), guanine (G), and thymine (T).

2.1. Feature Engineering

To preprocesse the data (DNA sequences) into numerical feature vectors representing that can be used as input for our machine learning model. We extracted features from the dataset using the k-mer counting technique. A k-mer is a substring of length k extracted from the DNA sequence. For example, with k=3, the sequence "ATCG" will be transformed into the k-mer list ['ATC', 'TCG']. This way, we capture local patterns in the DNA sequence.

3. Methods

The two principal methods we use in this work are:

- **Support Vector Machines (SVM) with Kernel:** SVM is a widely used machine learning algorithm for classification tasks. In our application to DNA sequences classification, we employ a kernel, specifically the spectrum kernel, for feature extraction and classification.

- **Kernel Ridge Regression:** Kernel ridge regression is another method used in this work. It's a regression technique that utilizes kernels for mapping data into a high-dimensional feature space. In the context of DNA sequence classification, we use this method for binary classification, with Ridge serving as the decision boundary.

- **Weighted Kernel Logistic Regression (WKLR):** WKLR is employed for classification tasks in a linearly separable feature space. It combines the power of kernel methods with logistic regression to make predictions.

For our application to DNA sequences classification, we specifically use a simple string kernel known as the "spectrum kernel." The spectrum kernel captures local patterns in the DNA sequences.

The k-spectrum of an input sequence is the set of all k-length subsequences it contains. We count the occurrences of each k-mer in the input sequence to create the feature vectors.

In more detail:

- For SVM, we solve a dual quadratic programming problem to optimize the classification.

- For ridge regression, binary classification is performed with Ridge acting as the decision boundary.

- For logistic regression, we employ the weighted kernel logistic regression (WKLR) method for making predictions.

Additionally, we utilize cross-validation techniques to find the optimal parameter, denoted as C, for the Support Vector Machine (SVM).

4. Mathematical Formulas

In this section, we present the mathematical formulas used in the kernel methods approach.

4.1. The Spectrum Kernel Formula

The spectrum kernel is defined as the dot product of two strings represented as binary vectors of length k, where k is the length of the subsequences (k-mers). The formula for the spectrum kernel is as follows:

$$K(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{4^k} x_i \cdot y_i$$

Here:

$K(x, y)$ is the spectrum kernel between sequences x and y.
x_i, y_i represent the elements of the binary vectors for sequences x and y.

4.2. SVM Classification Objective

In Support Vector Machines (SVM), the objective function for binary classification is to maximize the margin while minimizing classification error. The objective function is defined as follows:

$$\min_{w,b,\xi} \frac{1}{2}w^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \xi_i$$

Subject to:

$$y_i(w \cdot \Phi(x_i) + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i$$

$$\xi_i \geq 0$$

Here: w is the weight vector.
b is the bias term.
ξ: are slack variables.
$\Phi(x_i)$: is the feature representation of data point x_i.
N: is the number of data points.
C: is the regularization parameter.

4.3. Kernel Ridge Regression

Kernel ridge regression is a kernelized version of ridge regression. The objective is to minimize the regularized loss function:

$$\min_{\alpha} \frac{1}{N}\alpha^T K\alpha - 2\alpha^T y + \lambda\alpha^T K\alpha$$

Here: α is the vector of coefficients.
K: is the kernel matrix.
y: is the target values.
N: is the number of data points.
λ: is the regularization parameter.

5. Summary

In this study, we tackled the task of predicting DNA transcription factor binding sites (TFBS) using a machine learning approach. TFBS identification is pivotal in unraveling the intricacies of gene regulation and its impact on diverse biological processes. To accomplish this, we leveraged a labeled dataset containing DNA sequences and corresponding labels indicating TFBS or non-TFBS regions. Our dataset consisted of 2,000 training sequences and 1,000 testing sequences.

To transform DNA sequences into numerical feature vectors suitable for machine learning, we employed the k-mer counting technique. This involved extracting k-mers (substrings of length k) from the DNA sequences, allowing us to capture local sequence patterns effectively.

We explored two primary methods for our classification task: Support Vector Machines (SVM) with a spectrum kernel, kernel ridge regression, and the weighted kernel logistic regression (WKLR) in a linearly separable feature space. We also conducted cross-validation to determine the optimal parameter C for SVM

5.1. conclusion

In this study, we presented a machine learning approach to predict DNA transcription factor binding sites. By transforming DNA sequences into numerical features and employing the Support Vector Machine model, we demonstrated the potential to accurately classify TFBS regions. Additionally, we highlighted the importance of hyperparameter optimization to finetune the model and achieve better performance. Identifying TFBS is crucial in genomics and has implications in understanding gene regulation and various biological processes. Our approach opens up pos- sibilities for further research and application of machine learning in genomics and bioinformatics. Note that our model performance is around 0.62 accuracy in test set, we need finding better way in feature engineering of the dataset to would yield maximum accuracy.

notebook Link: Github

References

[1] Kaggle. Kernel Methods AMMI 2023 Competition. Available online: https://www.kaggle.com/competitions/kernel-methods-ammi-2023.

[2] Leslie, C., Eskin, E., Noble, W. S. "The Spectrum Kernel: A String Kernel for SVM Protein Classification." Department of Computer Science, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027.

[3] Cortes, C., Haffner, P., Mohri, M. "Rational kernels: Theory and algorithms." Journal of Machine Learning Research, 5, 1035–1062, 2004.

[4] CPLEX Optimization Incorporated, Incline Village, Nevada. "Using the CPLEX Callable Library," 1994.

[5] Duda, R. O., Hart, P. E., Stork, D. G. "Pattern classification." John Wiley Sons, second edition, 2001.

[6] G¨artner, T., Flach, P. A., Wrobel, S. "On graph kernels: Hardness results and efficient alternatives." In B. Sch¨olkopf and M. K. Warmuth, editors, Proc. Annual Conf. Computational Learning Theory. Springer, 2003.

[7] Haussler, D. "Convolutional kernels on discrete structures." Technical Report UCSCCRL-99 - 10, Computer Science Department, UC Santa Cruz, 1999.

[8] Jaakkola, T. S., Diekhans, M., Haussler, D. "A discriminative framework for detecting remote protein homologies." J. Comp. Biol., 7, 95–114, 2000.

[9] Joachims, T. "Making large–scale SVM learning practical." In B. Sch¨olkopf, C.J.C. Burges, and A.J. Smola, editors, Advances in Kernel Methods — Support Vector Learning, pages 169–184, Cambridge, MA, 1999. MIT Press.

[10] Kashima, H., Tsuda, K., Inokuchi, A. "Marginalized kernels between labeled graphs." In Proc. Intl. Conf. Machine Learning, Washington, DC, United States.