# **Enhancing Sentiment Analysis Using POS Tagging Report**

## Introduction

Sentiment analysis is a valuable application of natural language processing (NLP) that aims to determine the sentiment of a given text. In this report, I have explored the development of a sentiment analysis model by initially creating a POS tagger and improvising it. The primary focus is to compare the performance of a baseline model that does not utilize POS (Part-of-Speech) tags with an enhanced model that incorporates POS tag features.

## Part 1: POS Tagger

The first part of my analysis involved the development of a POS tagger based on transition and emission probabilities. The Treebank corpus, available through NLTK, was used for my training and extracting these probabilities.

This process included:

- Counting the occurrences of tag transitions and tags in each sentence.
- Calculating transition and emission probabilities based on these counts.
- Storing the resulting probabilities in dictionaries ('transition prob' and 'emission prob').
- Developing the Viterbi algorithm from scratch and using it to predict the POS of a random sentence.

## Part 2: Vanilla Sentiment Analyzer

In the second part, I implemented a Vanilla sentiment analysis model using the Movie Reviews dataset.

Steps I followed:

- → Loading the dataset and structuring it as pairs of words and their corresponding labels (positive or negative).
- → Splitting the dataset into training, validation, and test sets.
- → Performing TF-IDF vectorization on the textual data.
- → Training a Multinomial Naive Bayes classifier on the TF-IDF features and labels from the training set.
- → Evaluating the classifier on both the validation and test sets

#### Part 3: Improved Sentiment Analyzer with POS Tags

The third part of my analysis is dedicated to enhancing the sentiment analysis model by incorporating POS tag features. Here, I outline the steps taken:

- Created a function to extract POS tags from tagged documents.
- ❖ Performed TF-IDF vectorization on the words in the dataset, similar to Part 2 task.
- Combined the TF-IDF word embeddings and standardized POS tag features using NumPy's `np.hstack`. This resulted in a combined feature matrix that includes both word-level and POS tag-level information.
- Preparing target variables for training, validation, and test sets.
- Trained a Multinomial Naive Bayes classifier on the combined feature matrix and labels from the training set.
- Evaluated the classifier on both the validation and test sets.

# **Comparison and Observations**

#### **Classification Reports:**

#### POS-tag-enhanced model

```
test predictions = classifier.predict(X test combined)
print("Test Accuracy:", accuracy_score(y_test, test_predictions))
print(classification report(y test, test predictions))
Test Accuracy: 0.702
             precision recall f1-score
                                            support
                          0.46
        neg
                 0.93
                                     0.61
                                                258
                           0.96
                                     0.76
        pos
                  0.62
                                                242
                                     0.70
                                                500
   accuracy
  macro avq
                  0.78
                           0.71
                                     0.69
                                                500
                           0.70
                  0.78
                                     0.68
                                                500
weighted avg
```

#### **Baseline Vanilla Sentiment Analyser:**

```
test_predictions = classifier.predict(X_test)
print("Test Accuracy:", accuracy score(y test, test predictions))
print(classification_report(y_test, test_predictions))
Test Accuracy: 0.732
            precision recall f1-score
                                           support
                 0.89
                         0.55
                                    0.68
                                               258
                 0.66
                           0.93
                                    0.77
                                               242
        pos
                                    0.73
                                               500
   accuracy
                 0.78
                           0.74
                                    0.72
                                               500
  macro avg
weighted avg
                 0.78
                           0.73
                                    0.72
                                               500
```

- From the Reports we can see our POS enhanced model performs nearly equal to the baseline model!.
- The reason for this might be the effectiveness of POS tags depends on their quality and relevance to the specific sentiment analysis task.
- The baseline model, which relies solely on TF-IDF word embeddings, provides decent sentiment analysis results.
- The enhanced model, incorporating POS tag features, offers an opportunity to capture syntactic information.

This report serves as a comprehensive overview of my work and provides insights into the development of sentiment analysis models with and without POS tag enhancements.

Thank you