





Digital Transformation

Assessment 1 – Emerging Technologies Analysis (Report)

DUE DATE AND TIME: 08 April (Wednesday) 2020, 11:59 PM

Description / Requirements

Overview of Assignment 1

You will take on the role of a Digital Transformation consultant and prepare a report for an organisation in which you identify three emerging technologies and they discuss their potential to drive digital transformation by analysing their impact in implementing organizations. For example, you can discuss how the implementation of Blockchain Technology impacted MAERSK process operations.

Requirements of Assignment 1

This report should be 1,500 words (excluding the references and appendices). To complete this report, you should conduct a research of existing organizations that are using or planning to use emerging technologies to transform their current operations. Below you can find a detailed description of the required sections for this report:

- Executive Summary (~100 words): In this section, you need to briefly summarize which emerging technologies and organizational cases you have selected for this report. You should also briefly mention how these technologies helped the organizations transform their current operation.
- Introduction (~250 words): In this section, you need to briefly explain the transformative potential of the emerging technologies and concisely discuss what are the most common aspects of the organizational operations that are impacted by these technologies.

- Discussion (~1000 words): In this section, you need to discuss three emerging technologies and how did they influence the operations of organizations that decided to implement or are planning to implement these technologies. You can choose to either focus on (a) one organization that has implemented three different emerging technologies or (b) three organizations that each implemented one emerging technologies. Your discussion should focus on before and after effects and impacts of emerging technologies. For example, Blockchain Technology streamlined the container booking process in MAERSK that resulted in reducing the associated costs and offering more competitively priced services.
- **Conclusion (~150 words)**: In this section, you should conclude by stating your key conclusions about the transformative impact of emerging technologies.
- **References**: References and citations showing the source of all the information in the report need to be provided (Harvard referencing style). The references used must demonstrate thorough research using quality references such as journal articles, book sections, conference papers, and industry reports with good evidence to support your arguments in the sections above.
- **Appendices:** In this section, you should place figures or tables that illustrate or summarize your key points from the discussion section.

Rubric

GLO1: Discipline-specific knowledge and capabilities

GLO2: Communication GLO5: Problem solving

GLOs	N (0-29)	N (30-49)	P (50-59)	C (60-69)	D (70-79)	HD (80-100)
GLO1&5	0.85 marks	2.35 marks	3.25 marks	3.85 marks	4.45 marks	5.4 marks
Analysis						
(6 marks)	The analysis	Report	Adequate discussion	Good discussion on	Mostly advanced	Advanced
	provided in the	demonstrates	on the impact of the	the impact of the	discussion on the	discussion on the
	report does not	little/no knowledge	emerging	emerging	impact of the	impact of the
	meet the academic standards and	or use of emerging	technologies in a	technologies in a	emerging technologies in a	emerging
	requirements.	technologies knowledge. Little or	specific organizational	specific organizational	specific	technologies in a specific
	roquiromonto.	no (relevant)	context, but analysis	context, but analysis	organizational	organizational
	(0 - 1.7 marks)	research has been	is quite superficial	a little superficial	context, but lacks	context. Advanced,
	,	used to support the	and generic	and generic	originality. Mostly	detailed and highly
		analysis.	arguments are	arguments are	advanced, detailed	relevant research
			presented	presented in a	and relevant	was used to support
		(1.8 - 2.9 marks)	throughout the	several places in the	research was used	the analysis.
			report. Some	report. Mostly	to support the	(4.0. G montes)
			relevant research, but a lot more is	relevant research was used to support	analysis.	(4.8 - 6 marks)
			required to support	the analysis, but	(4.2 - 4.7 marks)	
			the analysis.	more such research.	(4.2 - 4.7 marks)	
			and analysis.	more such resourch.		
			(3 - 3.5 marks)	(3.6 - 4.1 marks)		

GLOs	N (0-29)	N (30-49)	P (50-59)	C (60-69)	D (70-79)	HD (80-100)
GLO2	0.4 marks	1.15 marks	1.6 marks	1.9 marks	2.2 marks	2.7 marks
Clarity of written argument (3 marks)	The arguments presented in this report do not meet the academic standards and requirements. (0 – 0.8 marks)	Inadequate argument in the report because it the argument is unclear, lacks flow and logic, and unnecessarily verbose. (0.9 - 1.4 marks)	Adequate argument in the report, but mostly lacks clarity, brevity and/or logical flow in many places. (1.5 - 1.7 marks)	Good argument through most of the report, but lacks clarity and brevity in a few places in the report, and/or sometimes does not flow in a logical manner. (1.8 – 2.0 marks)	Mostly expert (clear, concise, convincing, logical flow) argument used throughout the report. (2.1 - 2.3 marks)	Expert (clear, concise, convincing, logical flow) argument used throughout the report. (2.4 - 3 marks)
GLO2	0.145 marks	0.395 marks	0.545 marks	0.645 marks	0.745 marks	0.90 marks
Presentation	0.140 marks	0.000 marks	0.545 marks	0.040 marks	0.7 40 marks	0.50 marks
(1 mark)	The report has not been presented in a manner that meets the academic standards and requirements. (0 - 0.29 marks)	Inadequate diagrams, tables, lists, heading formats, short paragraphs, formal writing, grammar/ spelling, reference formatting and/or report structure.	Adequate use of diagrams, tables, lists, heading formats, short paragraphs, formal writing, grammar/ spelling, reference formatting and/or report structure.	Good use of diagrams, tables, lists, heading formats, short paragraphs, formal writing, grammar/ spelling, reference formatting and/or report structure.	Mostly expert use of diagrams, tables, lists, heading formats, short paragraphs, formal writing, grammar/ spelling, reference formatting and report structure.	Expert use of diagrams, tables, lists, heading formats, short paragraphs, formal writing, grammar/ spelling, reference formatting and report structure.
		(0.30 - 0.49 marks)	(0.50 - 0.59 marks)	(0.60 - 0.69 marks)	(0.70 - 0.79 marks)	(0.80 - 1 marks)