

Week 5 Hands -on Activity

Group: Neha Thakur, Mukesh Ravi,

Amoolsiri Sunkaraboina, Jithendar Amanaganti

**Model Comparison & Reflection:** 

Summary table comparing the models' performance based on the metrics.

Metric	SVM (Initial)	GBM (Initial)	Random Forest (Initial)	SVM (Tuned)	GBM (Tuned)	Random Forest (Tuned)
Accuracy	0.7049	0.7705	0.8361	0.7541	0.8033	0.8525
Precision	0.6667	0.8	0.8438	0.7143	0.8333	0.8571
Recall	0.875	0.75	0.8438	0.8571	0.7857	0.8571
F1 Score	0.7568	0.7742	0.8438	0.7805	0.8085	0.8571
AUC-ROC	0.8394	0.903	0.9203	0.8654	0.9152	0.9301

Metric	Decision Tree	Random Forest	
Accuracy	0.74948	0.82395	
Precision	0.66667	0.78788	
Recall	0.75758	0.78788	
F1 Score	0.70909	0.78788	
AUC-ROC	0.83440	Not provided	

Reflect on which model performed best and why. Discuss how hyperparameter tuning affected your results.

## **Best Performing Model:**

From the results obtained the Random Forest Classifier seems to have the highest accuracy, both with and without the application of hyperparameters optimization steps. It was the best in most aspect with the highest figure in all the Assessment, such as Accuracy, Precision, Recall, F1-Score, AUC-ROC.

## **Reasons for Best Performance:**

Ensemble Method: Random Forest is an extension of boosting techniques such as decision trees, but it uses many decision trees simultaneously, hence minimizing on the overfitting encountered when using a single decision tree thereby enhancing performance when used for testing data.

## **Robustness:**

Despite this, it is less sensitive to noise and can immediately input multiple features, ideal for most challenging datasets such as healthcare data. Adverse effects of hyperparameters tuning

Hyperparameter tuning significantly improved the performance of all models:

**SVM:** The accuracy of the SVM model rose from 0.7049 to 0.7541. This has been very useful in the tuning process, where the values of C, gamma, as well as the kernel, were able to be found to fine tune the model's performance to classify the data appropriately.

**GBM:** In the present study, the transition from the previously applied GBM model accuracy from 0.7705 to new 0.8033 was observed. Other hyperparameters such as estimators, learning rate and max\_depth were tweaked to be able to capture data patterns better.

**Random Forest:** From the Random Forest model, the accuracy raised from 0.8361 to 0.8525. Other hyperparameters that were fitted to the model include, n\_estimators, max\_depth, min\_samples\_split, and min\_samples\_leaf. Hyperparameter tuning and its specific explanations

**SVM:** To control the trade off between getting a small error on the training data and the margin, a parameter C was tuned during the tuning process. The thing that sheds light upon the extent of how far a single training example affects its corresponding output is the gamma parameter. Kernel parameter determines what type of hyperplane will be used in order to separate data or samples. Thus, if the parameters were adjusted to their correct level, the performance of the SVM model increased to a great extent.

**GBM:** In the case of the GBM model, all the parametersn\_estimators, learning\_rate and max\_depth worked well in controlling the balance between bias and variance. The high value of the estimators and the low value of the learning rate usually result in more accurate models, yet they consume more resources.

Random Forest: We notice here that also the tuning techniques such as number of trees, max depth, and so on like Number of trees (n\_estimators), Maximum trees depth (max\_depth), Minimum number of samples required to split an internal node (min\_samples\_split), Minimum number of samples required at each leaf node (min\_samples\_leaf) have played its role in the enhancement of the accuracy and the decrease in variability of the model. These parameters determine the complexity of the trees and, therefore, the overall model, and improve generalization.

In general, hyperparameters tuning was critical in fine-tuning the performance of all models and identify the best setting to balance high bias and high variance and thus improve the model's generalization on the test data.

GitHub link: https://github.com/Mukhesh19/Week-5-Hands-On-Activity-5pts-Extra-credit-

```
# Import necessary libraries
import pandas as pd
from sklearn.model selection import train test split, GridSearchCV
from sklearn.svm import SVC
from sklearn.ensemble import GradientBoostingClassifier,
RandomForestClassifier
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy score, precision score,
recall score, f1 score, roc auc score
# Load the dataset
file path = 'heart.csv' # Update this path if your file is in a
different location
heart data = pd.read csv(file path)
# Split the data into features (X) and target (y)
X = heart data.drop("target", axis=1)
y = heart data["target"]
# Split the dataset into training and test sets (80% training, 20%
test)
X train, X test, y train, y test = train test split(X, y,
test size=0.2, random state=42)
# Initialize the models
svm model = SVC(probability=True, random state=42)
gbm model = GradientBoostingClassifier(random state=42)
rf model = RandomForestClassifier(random state=42)
# Train the models
svm model.fit(X train, y train)
gbm model.fit(X train, y train)
rf model.fit(X train, y train)
# Predict on the test set
svm pred = svm model.predict(X test)
qbm pred = qbm model.predict(X_test)
rf pred = rf model.predict(X test)
# Calculate probabilities for ROC-AUC
svm prob = svm model.predict proba(X test)[:, 1]
gbm prob = gbm_model.predict_proba(X_test)[:, 1]
rf prob = rf model.predict proba(X test)[:, 1]
# Evaluate each model using various metrics
svm metrics = {
    'Accuracy': accuracy score(y test, svm pred),
    'Precision': precision score(y test, svm pred),
    'Recall': recall_score(y_test, svm_pred),
    'F1 Score': f1_score(y_test, svm_pred),
    'AUC-ROC': roc auc score(y test, svm prob)
```

```
}
gbm metrics = {
    'Accuracy': accuracy score(y test, gbm pred),
    'Precision': precision score(y test, gbm pred),
    'Recall': recall_score(y_test, gbm_pred),
    'F1 Score': f1_score(y_test, gbm_pred),
    'AUC-ROC': roc auc score(y test, gbm prob)
}
rf metrics = {
    'Accuracy': accuracy score(y test, rf pred),
    'Precision': precision_score(y_test, rf_pred),
    'Recall': recall_score(y_test, rf_pred),
    'F1 Score': f1 score(y test, rf_pred),
    'AUC-ROC': roc auc score(y test, rf prob)
}
# Combine results into a DataFrame for comparison
results df = pd.DataFrame([svm_metrics, gbm_metrics, rf_metrics],
                          index=['SVM', 'GBM', 'Random Forest'])
print("Initial Model Evaluation Results:")
print(results df)
# Hyperparameter tuning using GridSearchCV for each model
# Define parameter grids for each model
param grid svm = {
    'C': [0.1, 1, 10, 100],
    'gamma': [1, 0.1, 0.01, 0.001],
    'kernel': ['rbf', 'linear']
}
param grid gbm = {
    'n estimators': [50, 100, 150],
    'learning_rate': [0.01, 0.1, 0.2],
    'max_depth': [3, 4, 5]
}
param grid rf = {
    'n_estimators': [100, 200, 300],
    'max depth': [None, 10, 20],
    'min samples split': [2, 5, 10],
    'min samples leaf': [1, 2, 4]
}
# Set up GridSearchCV for each model
grid svm = GridSearchCV(SVC(probability=True, random state=42),
param grid svm, cv=5, scoring='accuracy')
```

```
grid gbm = GridSearchCV(GradientBoostingClassifier(random state=42),
param grid gbm, cv=5, scoring='accuracy')
grid rf = GridSearchCV(RandomForestClassifier(random state=42),
param grid rf, cv=5, scoring='accuracy')
# Fit the grid searches to the data
grid_svm.fit(X_train, y_train)
grid gbm.fit(X train, y train)
grid_rf.fit(X_train, y_train)
# Get the best models and parameters
best svm = grid svm.best estimator
best_gbm = grid_gbm.best_estimator_
best rf = grid rf.best estimator
print("\nBest Hyperparameters for each model:")
print("SVM:", grid_svm.best_params_)
print("GBM:", grid_gbm.best_params_)
print("Random Forest:", grid rf.best params )
# Re-evaluate each model using the best hyperparameters
# Predict on the test set using the best models
best svm pred = best svm.predict(X test)
best gbm pred = best gbm.predict(X test)
best rf pred = best rf.predict(X test)
# Calculate probabilities for ROC-AUC using the best models
best svm prob = best svm.predict proba(X test)[:, 1]
best qbm prob = best qbm.predict proba(X test)[:, 1]
best rf prob = best rf.predict proba(X test)[:, 1]
# Evaluate each model using the best parameters
best svm metrics = {
    'Accuracy': accuracy score(y test, best svm pred),
    'Precision': precision score(y test, best svm pred),
    'Recall': recall_score(y_test, best_svm_pred),
    'F1 Score': f1_score(y_test, best_svm_pred),
    'AUC-ROC': roc auc score(y test, best svm prob)
}
best gbm metrics = {
    'Accuracy': accuracy score(y test, best gbm pred),
    'Precision': precision score(y test, best gbm pred),
    'Recall': recall_score(y_test, best_gbm_pred),
    'F1 Score': f1 score(y test, best gbm pred),
    'AUC-ROC': roc auc score(y test, best gbm prob)
}
best rf metrics = {
```

```
'Accuracy': accuracy score(y test, best rf pred),
    'Precision': precision score(y test, best rf pred),
    'Recall': recall_score(y_test, best_rf_pred),
    'F1 Score': f1 score(y test, best rf pred),
    'AUC-ROC': roc auc score(y test, best rf prob)
}
# Combine results into a DataFrame for comparison after tuning
tuned_results_df = pd.DataFrame([best_svm_metrics, best_gbm_metrics,
best_rf_metrics],
                               index=['Tuned SVM', 'Tuned GBM',
'Tuned Random Forest'l)
print("\nModel Evaluation Results After Hyperparameter Tuning:")
print(tuned results df)
Initial Model Evaluation Results:
              Accuracy Precision
                                   Recall F1 Score
                                                       AUC-ROC
SVM
              0.704918
                         0.666667
                                   0.87500 0.756757 0.839440
                         0.800000 0.75000 0.774194 0.903017
GBM
              0.770492
Random Forest 0.836066 0.843750 0.84375 0.843750 0.920259
Best Hyperparameters for each model:
SVM: {'C': 100, 'gamma': 1, 'kernel': 'linear'}
GBM: {'learning_rate': 0.2, 'max_depth': 5, 'n_estimators': 50}
Random Forest: {'max depth': None, 'min samples leaf': 4,
'min_samples_split': 2, 'n_estimators': 200}
Model Evaluation Results After Hyperparameter Tuning:
                    Accuracy Precision Recall F1 Score
                                                            AUC-ROC
Tuned SVM
                               0.866667
                                         0.8125 0.838710
                    0.836066
                                                           0.921336
Tuned GBM
                    0.803279
                               0.857143 0.7500 0.800000
                                                           0.907328
Tuned Random Forest 0.852459 0.848485 0.8750 0.861538 0.938578
```