

1) RSA and Elliptic curve cryptography (ECC)

RSA relies on the difficulty of factoring large integers. No known classical algorithms can do this efficiently.

ECC relies on the difficulty of the Elliptic curve Discrete Logarithm Problem (ECDLP) - finding the scalar k given p and uP on an elliptic curve.

- RSA and ECC are considered computationally secure; solving their underlying math problems would take thousands of years.

- Potential impacts:
 1. HTTPS; VPN's; Email - encryption

2. Quantum key distribution (QKD) play a fundamental role in the vision of future proof, quantum-safe cryptographic systems.

- confidentiality: No third party can learn the key without being detected.
- security is guaranteed by quantum mechanics, not by the hardness of math.
- Quantum channel: Alice sends qubits encoded with random bit values in randomly chosen bases.
- Measurement: Bob measures the incoming qubits using randomly chosen bases.
- Classical channel: They communicate publicly to compare which measurement

bases matched.

- key sifting: from the matching measurement, they extract a raw shared key.
- trusted-node networks: QKD can link via "trusted" nodes in a less secure network, but lacks the long-distance efficiency of public-key systems.
- Hybrid-crypto systems: ideal for defense, national security and critical infrastructure requiring long-term confidentiality.
- Distance: current QKD systems are limited to ~ 100 - 200 km without repeaters.
- Hardware requirements: Needs specialized quantum communication

3. Quantum Resistance is a must

• RSA:

- Not quantum-resistant,
- Shor's algorithm efficiently factors large integers on a quantum computer, breaking RSA.

• Lattice-Based crypto:

Currently believed to be resistant to quantum attacks.

- No known quantum algorithm efficiently solves lattice problems like SVP or LWE.

- They have prime candidates for post-quantum cryptography.

 Security foundations: RSA security

- depends on the difficulty of factoring integers, a single specific

mathematical problem

• Lattice-based schemes:

• security reduces to worst-case hardness of lattice problems, meaning breaking the scheme is as hard as solving the hard instances of certain lattice problems, often give stronger theoretical security guarantees.

• NTRU: practical lattice-based encryption. RSA and other classical crypto systems are broken by powerful quantum computers.

All RSA and Elliptic curve cryptography

(ECD).

RSA relies on the difficulty of factoring large integers. No known efficient algorithm can do this efficiently.

ECD relies on the difficulty of the elliptic curve discrete logarithm problem (ECDLP) - finding the scalar k given P and $Q = kP$. But this curve has a finite number of points.

- RSA and ECD curve considered.
- computationally secure: solving them underlying math problems would take thousands of years.

- potential impacts:
 1. HTTPS, VPN's, Email - encryption

• 7. Hill 811: Abn. 13' Eukong. 1.500 col. Abn.
• 60 young turb. abuza. than ampas. 10°
- monus wifum. f9. solid ground with
wheat wifum. f9. solid ground with
Abn. 13' Eukong. 1.500 col. Abn.

• Wuatsks. drydon road Q. R. M. A. H. •

• PP 3. 210 T.S. no hypn

2. Quantum key distribution (QKD) plays a fundamental role in the vision of future proof quantum safe crypto-

graphic systems

- Confidentiality: No third party can learn the key without being detected.
- Security is guaranteed by quantum mechanics, not by the hardness of math.

Quantum channel: Alice sends qubits

- encoded with random bit values in random chosen bases
- measurement: Bob measures the incoming qubits using random chosen bases

- classical channels: it's communication publicly to compare which measurement

bases matched

- key sifting: from the matching measurement, they extract a raw shared key

- Trusted-node networks: QKD can link via "trusted" nodes in a secure network, but lacks the long-distance efficiency of public-key systems.

- Hybrid-crypto systems:

ideal for defense, national security and critical infrastructure requiring long-term confidentiality

- Distance: current QKD systems are limited to ~ 100 -200 km without repeaters.

- Hardware requirements: needs specialized quantum communication

3. Quantum Resistance:

- RSA:

- Not quantum-resistant.
- Shor's algorithm efficiently factors large integers on a quantum computer, breaking RSA.

- Lattice-Based crypto:

- Currently believed to be resistant to quantum attacks.
- No known quantum algorithm efficiently solves lattice problems like SVP or LWE.
- They have prime candidates for post-quantum cryptography.

Security foundations: RSA security depends on the difficulty of factoring integers, a single specific

mathematical problem

- Lattice-based schemes:

- security reduces to worst-case hardness of lattice problems, meaning breaking the scheme is as hard as solving the hard instances of certain lattice problems, and often have stronger theoretical security guarantees.

- NTRU: practical lattice-based encryption. RSA and others classical crypto systems are broken by powerful quantum computers.

Strengths of NTRU:

[(v) Step-1: Design a custom PRNG]

```
class MyPRNG:
    def __init__(self, seed: int = 123456789):
        self.state = seed
        self.a = 1664525
        self.c = 1013904223
        self.m = 2**32
        self.next = float

    def next(self) > float:
        self.state = (self.a * self.state + self.c) % self.m
        x = self.state
        x1 = (x > 11) & 0x1 < 11
        x2 = (x < 7) & 0x1 < 7
        x3 = (x < 15) & 0x1 < 15
        x4 = (x > 18) & 0x1 < 18
        return (x, self.m) / self.m if x1 else
        def generate(self, n: int) > list:
            return [self.next() for _ in range(n)]
```

return [self].next() for i in range(n)

Step: 2 Analyze the PRNGi-Uniformity

Dependency:

import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

import seaborn as sns

import numpy as np

from my_PRNG import myPRNG

def analyzePRNG():

Prng = myPRNG(seed=42)

nums = Prng.generate(n=10000)

plt.figure(figsize=(10, 4))

sns.kwplot(nums, bins=50, xde=True,

state="density")

plt.title("PRNG Output Distribution (uniformity check)")

plt.ylabel("Density")

returning [self].next() for i in range(n)

Step: 2 Analyze the PRNG - Uniformity
Dependence of

import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

import seaborn as sns

import numpy as np

from my-PRNG import MyPRNG

def analyze_PRNG():

Prng = MyPRNG(seed=42)

nums = Prng.gene_rate(n=10000)

plt.figure(figsize=(10, 4))

sns.kstestplot(nums, blint=50, kde=True)

state = "density")

plt.title("PRNG - Output Distribution Uniformity Check")

plt.ylabel("Density")

```
plt.savefig("uniformity.png")
```

```
plt.show()
```

```
plt.figure(figsize=(6, 6))
```

```
plt.plot(nums[1:17], nums[1:17],
```

```
alpha=0.5)
```

```
plt.title("Lag plot (Dependency check")
```

```
plt.xlabel("xn")
```

```
plt.savefig("dependency.png")
```

```
plt.show()
```

```
if name == ".main":
```

```
    analyze_Pring()
```

Step-3: create the GitHub Repo structure

```
mkdir my-Pring
```

```
cd my-Pring
```

```
touch my-Pring.py analyze_Pring.py
```

```
touch requirements.txt
```

```
README.md
```