

Assignment 4 & 5 – Hashing

Dates of work: Aug 30, 2022 & Sep 6, 2022 ;

Submission of complete assignment (code + report of analyses): Sep 23, 2022 ;

Viva: Sep 24, 2022 & Sep 25, 2022 ; Total Points: 140

Questions:

1. Consider the following partial table of an ordered library catalogue:

| Author_ID | Book_ID | Author_Name | Book |
|------------|--------------|------------------------|---|
| An_Ch_0103 | Aest_AC_0103 | Anjan Chatterjee | The Aesthetic Brain |
| An_Da_0104 | Self_AD_0104 | Antonio Damasio | Self Comes to Mind |
| Ca_Sa_0319 | Anim_CS_0319 | Carl Safina | What Animals Think |
| Jo_Ro_1018 | Deat_JR_1018 | Joanne K. Rowling | Deathly Hallows_Harry Potter |
| Jo_Ro_1018 | Fant_JR_1018 | Joanne K. Rowling | Fantastic Beasts and Where to Find Them |
| Jo_Ro_1018 | Gobl_JR_1018 | Joanne K. Rowling | Goblet of Fire_Harry Potter |
| Jo_Ro_1018 | Phil_JR_1018 | Joanne K. Rowling | Philosopher's Stone_Harry Potter |
| Jo_Ro_1018 | Pris_JR_1018 | Joanne K. Rowling | Prisoner of Azkaban_Harry Potter |
| La_Ch_1203 | Mind_LC_1203 | Lars Chittka | The Mind of a Bee |
| Ma_Mi_1313 | Emot_MM_1313 | Marvin Minsky | Emotion Machine |
| Ma_Mi_1313 | Soci_MM_1313 | Marvin Minsky | Society of Mind |
| Pe_Wo_1623 | Aunt_PW_1623 | Pelham G. Wodehouse | Aunts Aren't Gentlemen |
| Pe_Wo_1623 | Wode_PW_1623 | Pelham G. Wodehouse | Wodehouse at the Wicket |
| Vi_Ra_2218 | Emer_VR_2218 | Vilayanur Ramachandran | The Emerging Mind |
| Vi_Ra_2218 | Phan_VR_2218 | Vilayanur Ramachandran | Phantoms in the Brain |

Note: Majority of searches in the catalogue involve Author name and/or Book name

[All codes must be written in C / C++]

| | | |
|-----|--|------|
| Q1. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Choose a primary key for the given table. Reasons for doing so? [5] b. Design a hash function for what you choose as the primary key for the given table. Use the evaluated hash values to work on the following questions [5] c. Comment on the provided codes for book_id and author_id. Do you think these are sufficiently effective? [5] | [15] |
| Q2. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Use extendible hashing with bucket size 4 to design an effective access strategy for the above [5] b. Do you think a different bucket size would have been more effective? Experiment with at least one smaller and at least one larger bucket size to understand the scenario [5+5] c. Experiment using a different data structure, instead of a linear list, for a bucket [5] | [20] |
| Q3. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Choose a global bucket order (n) for the given table. What inspired your choice of 'n'? [5] b. Use linear hashing with a local bucket size of 4 and your chosen value of 'n' to design an effective strategy for the given scenario [5] c. Do you think a different value of 'n' would have been more effective? Experiment with at least one smaller and one larger value - keeping the bucket size unchanged - to understand the scenario [5+5] d. Do you think a different bucket size would be better? Experiment with at least one smaller and one larger value - keeping 'n' constant - to understand the scenario [5+5] e. Experiment using a different data structure, instead of a linear list, for a bucket [5] | [35] |
| Q4. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Choose a value for the number (n) of higher positioned bits that you would like to consider for the distributed hash tree. What inspired your choice of 'n'? [5] b. Use distributed hashing with a bucket size of 4 and your chosen value of 'n' to design an effective strategy for the given scenario [5] c. Do you think a different value of 'n' would have been more effective? Experiment with at least one smaller and one larger value - keeping the bucket size unchanged - to understand the scenario [5+5] d. Do you think a different bucket size would be better? Experiment with at least one smaller and one larger value - keeping 'n' constant - to understand the scenario [5+5] e. Experiment using a different data structure, instead of a linear list, for a bucket [5] | [35] |
| Q5. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Comment on your experiments with all the hashing mechanisms [5] | [35] |

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| | b. If you have to insert a new record → Finding Muchness by Kobi Yamada – which - amongst the 3 mechanisms (bucket size 4) - was the fastest, and why? [10] c. If you have to retrieve a record → What Animals Think by Carl Safina – which - amongst the 3 mechanisms (bucket size 4) - was the fastest, and why? [10] d. If you have to retrieve names of all books by Marvin Minsky, which technique (bucket size 4) was the fastest and why? [10] | |
|--|---|--|

Assessment Rubric for Submitted Work - Evaluation per answer (Viva & Analyses):

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Proper understanding of question and work done accordingly: | 80 - 95% |
| Attempt to work beyond what has been asked, with in-depth understanding: (Definite contender for full score for question) | 95 - 100% |
| Vague understanding, bursts of in-depth answers: | 70 - 80% |
| Vague understanding, bursts of broad conceptual answers: | 50 - 70% |
| Weird hash of work submitted, some understanding: | 40 - 50% |
| No understanding, just work submitted: (Probable plagiarism) | 0 |

Submission Rubric:

| | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Within 2 days of Deadline: | No penalty |
| Within 5 days of Deadline: | 30% penalty |
| Within 7 days of Deadline: | 50% penalty |
| After 7 days of Deadline: | Will not be evaluated |
