## The Metric side of Optimal Transport

### Outline

- Preliminaries
  - Disintegration Theorem
  - Dudley Lemma
- 2 A metric for probabilites?
  - The Wasserstein distance
  - Applying what we know to the Wasserstein distance
  - Comparing  $L^2$  norm and Wasserstein distance
  - Wasserstein for normal distributions
- 3 Lifting completeness from X to  $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$ 
  - Iterated Dudley Lemma

## The Disintegration Theorem for Product Spaces

A special case of the Disintegration Theorem we need is the Following. Throughout the talk we assume that all spaces are Polish.

#### **Theorem**

Let X,Y be Polish,  $p: X \times Y \to X$  the projection and  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(X \times Y)$ . Setting  $\mu := p_{\#}(\pi)$  we get the existence of a paramatrized family of probability measures  $\{\pi_x\}_{x \in X} \subset \mathcal{P}(X \times Y)$  such that

- 1. for all  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X \times Y)$  the function  $x \mapsto \pi_x(A)$  is measurable.
- 2.  $\pi(A) = \int_X \pi_x(A) d\mu(x)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X \times Y)$
- 3.  $\pi_x$  lives on  $p^{-1}(x) = \{x\} \times Y$  for  $x \in X$ .

### The Dudley Lemma

In the Literature this is often called Gluing Lemma!

#### Lemma

Let  $(X_1, \mu_1), (X_1, \mu_2), (X_1, \mu_3)$  be Polish and  $\pi^{1,2} \in \Gamma(\mu_1, \mu_2)$  and  $\pi^{2,3} \in \Gamma(\mu_2, \mu_3)$ . Then there exists some  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3)$  such that

$$p_{\#}^{1,2}(\pi) = \pi^{1,2}$$
 and  $p_{\#}^{2,3}(\pi) = \pi^{2,3}$ 

where 
$$p^{1,2}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_1, x_2)$$
 and  $p^{2,3}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_2, x_3)$ .

Proof is essentially just taking the product measures of the Disintegration's of  $\pi^{2,3}$  and  $\pi^{1,2}$  and "Gluing" them together with  $\mu_2$ .

## The Wasserstein distance for finite p

As a reminder, we have for any  $p \in [1, \infty[$ 

$$\mathcal{P}_p(X) := \left\{ \mu \in \mathcal{P}(X) \mid \int_X d^p(x, x_0) \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x) < \infty \right\}$$

and the following will be a metric on this space.

#### Definition

For any  $p \in [1, \infty[$  and  $u, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(X)$ 

$$W_p^p(\mu,\nu) := \min \left\{ \int_{X \times X} d^p(x,y) \mathrm{d}\pi(x,y) \mid \pi \in \Gamma(\mu,\nu) \right\}.$$

#### **Theorem**

 $(\mathcal{P}_p(X), W_p)$  is a metric space!



## What do we already know about this distance?

Firstly, we get that

$$x \mapsto \delta_x$$
 is an isometry.

And, by the Kantorovich-Rubinstein Duality we have

$$W_1(\mu, \nu) = \sup_{(\phi, \psi) \in I_c} \left\{ \int_X \phi d\mu + \int_X \psi d\nu \right\}$$

$$= \sup_{\|\phi\|_{Lip} \le 1} \left\{ \int_X \phi d\mu + \int_X \phi^c d\nu \right\}$$

$$= \sup_{\|\phi\|_{Lip} \le 1} \left\{ \int_X \phi d\mu - \int_X \phi d\nu \right\}$$

# Comparing $L^2$ norm and Wasserstein distance

Let  $\nu \ll \lambda^n$  with Radon Nikodym derivative f such that  $supp(f) \subseteq \overline{B_1}$ .

Additionally, let  $\mu_h \ll \lambda^n$  such that  $f_h(x) = f(x+h)$ . Then we have

$$||f_h - f||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 = 2||f||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2$$

but on the other hand

$$W_2(\mu_h,\mu)=\|h\|_2$$

which for large ||h|| implies

$$W_2(\mu_h,\mu) \gg ||f_h - f||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

In case of small ||h|| we can also find  $W_2(\mu_h, \mu) \ll ||f_h - f||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ .

4 D > 4 D > 4 E > 4 E > E 990

#### Wasserstein distance for normal distributions

For any  $\mu_X, \mu_Y \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $\mathcal{L}(X) = \mu_X$  and  $\mathcal{L}(Y) = \mu_Y$  where X and Y are normally distributed, the Wasserstein distance can be calculated as follows

$$W_2(\mu_X, \mu_Y) = \|m_X - m_Y\|^2 + \operatorname{tr}\left(\Sigma_X - 2 \cdot \left(\Sigma_Y^{1/2} \Sigma_X \Sigma_Y^{1/2}\right)^{1/2} + \Sigma_Y\right)$$

If  $\Sigma_X$  and  $\Sigma_Y$  commute, this simplifies to

$$W_2(\mu_X, \mu_Y) = \|m_X - m_Y\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^d \left(\sqrt{\lambda_i^X} - \sqrt{\lambda_i^Y}\right)^2$$

## Wasserstein distance for $p = \infty$

If we restrict ourselves to  $\mathcal{P}_{\infty}(X)$ , the space of probability measures with bounded support. We can also define  $W_{\infty}$  as the limit of  $W_p$ .

$$\begin{split} \lim_{\rho \to \infty} W_{\rho}(\mu, \nu) &= W_{\infty}(\mu, \nu) = \inf\{\|d(x, y)\|_{L^{\infty}}(\pi) \mid \pi \in \Gamma(\mu, \nu)\} \\ &= \inf_{\pi \in \Gamma(\mu, \nu)} \inf\{C \geq 0 \mid |d(x, y)| \leq C \text{ for } \pi \text{ almost all } (x, y)\} \end{split}$$

and we have

$$(\mathcal{P}_{\infty}(X), W_{\infty})$$
 is a metric space

### Iterated Dudley Lemma

We want to represent a sequence of measures  $(\mu_n)$  as the marginals of some measure in an infinite product space.

For that we need the iterated Dudley Lemma. Note that we also allow  $N=\infty$  in this Lemma, in that case, the  $\leq$  become <.

#### Lemma

Let  $N \geq 3$  and for any  $n \leq N$   $(X_n, d_n)$  Polish,  $\mu_n \in \mathcal{P}(X_n)$  and  $\theta_n \in \Gamma(\mu_{n-1}, \mu_n)$ . Then there exists  $\pi_n \in \mathcal{P}(X_1 \times ... \times X_n)$  for any  $n \leq N$  such that.

- 1.  $p_{\#}^{1,...,n-1}\pi_n = \pi_{n-1}$  for  $2 \le n \le N$
- 2.  $p_{\#}^{i}\pi_{n}=\mu_{i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n \leq N$
- 3.  $p_{\#}^{i-1,i}\pi_n = \theta_i \text{ for } 2 \le i \le n \le N$

Proof is just applying the Dudley Lemma iteratively (hence the name :).

10 / 11

Jonas Müller Wasserstein Metric

# Lifting completeness from X to $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$

For  $N=\infty$  we get a sequence  $(\pi_n)$  and can find a unique measure  $\pi_\infty$  on  $\mathbb{X}=\prod_{i=1}^\infty X_i$  such that

$$p_{\#}^{(1,\ldots,n)}\pi_{\infty}=\pi_{n}.$$

Additionally, we remind ourselves of the metric version of the  $L^p$  spaces.

$$L^p(\Omega,\mathcal{F},P,X) \coloneqq \left\{ f:\Omega o X \mid f ext{ measurable }, \int_\Omega d^p(f,z_0) dP < \infty 
ight\}$$

with

$$d_{L^p}^p(f,g) := \int_{\Omega} d^p(f,g) dP$$

These are not necessarily vector spaces, but one can show that this more general notion of  $L^p$  space is still complete. (Which we will need in the following)

Wasserstein Metric

#### **Theorem**

Jonas Müller

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, then  $(\mathcal{P}_p(X), W_p)$  is complete.

11 / 11