# LIGHTWEIGHT MULTILINGUAL SOFTWARE ANALYSIS

Damian M. Lyons<sup>1</sup>, Anne Marie Bogar<sup>1</sup> and David Baird<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Computer & Information Science, Fordham University, New York NY USA <sup>2</sup>Bloomberg L.P., New York NY USA

{dlyons,abogar}@fordham.edu, <u>dbaird16@bloomberg.net</u>

The authors acknowledge the contributions of Bruno Vieira, Sunand Raghapathi and Nicholas Estelami in building MLSA Tools

The authors are partially supported by grant DL-47359-15016 from Bloomberg L.P.

#### Motivation

#### Companies with a large software base:

- have to manage software architectures and libraries
- By different developers (in & out house)
- Over many decades
- In different languages
- To enforce
  - security,
  - efficiency, and
  - quality metrics


# Why is Multilingual Software a Problem?

- A multilingual codebase gives rise to many software engineering issues, including
  - Redundancy, e.g., procedures in several different language libraries for the same functionality, necessitating refactoring (Strien, Kratz, & Lowe, 2006).
  - Debugging complexity as languages interact with each other in unexpected ways (Hong & al, 2015).
  - Security issues relating to what information is exposed when one language procedure is called from another (Lee, Doby, & Ryu, 2016).


#### Approaches to the problem

- Development tools tend to be language specific, with some crossplatform functionality. (e.g., *Checkmarx* offers static analysis (Christakis & Bird, 2016) for a wide range of languages individually).
- One approach is to instead use a versatile monolingual environment (Heering & Klint, 1985).
- 'Reverse engineering' approach: leverage a metalanguage, e.g., Rascal (van der Storm & Vinju, 2015).
- Proposed Approach: Multilingual will always be with us. Develop lightweight tools to process multilingual codebase into unified common representations such as callgraphs, flowgraphs, etc.

# MultiLingual Software Analysis (MLSA) Architecture



#### Example



All intermediate data store in the form of CSV "table" files

#### MLSA Architecture

#### Modularity:

- Adding extra languages just requires adding new monolingual filters for the language.
- Modifying analyses just requires reconfiguration of the analysis pipeline.
- New analysis filters can build on existing filters (and their tabular CSV output)

#### Computational efficiency:

 The policy of dividing the analysis into pipelines was chosen with the objective of making parallelism and dependency explicit to allow for mapping to a cluster/cloud.

## Call Graphs

- Call graph analysis (CGA) is a useful software engineering tool (Ali & Lhotak, 2012).
- In particular, for multilingual code, the call graph can be used to investigate the boundary line between languages, a boundary that is opaque in many tools.
- Example: a C program PC may call a Python PP procedure in addition to many C procedures.
  - All of PC's calls are reviewed and safe.
  - However PP may opaquely call procedures from PC; these may or may not be safe.

## Interoperability API

- How calls from one language are made from another
- Consider the C/Python boundary API (Python.h).
   Python code can be called from C non-interactively in the following ways (each of which have several variants and may require setup code):
  - PyRun\_SimpleString(pyCodeString)
  - PyRun\_SimpleFile(filePtr, fileName)
  - PyObject\_CallObject(pFunc,pArgs)

## Terminology

- Program  $S_c$  is a set of  $(\ell,b)$  basic block b with line number  $\ell$ .
- The set B of elementary statements includes a procedure call statement, and for  $(\ell,b)$ ,  $b \in B$  procedure call, we define:
  - target(b): name of the called procedure
  - $arg(b)=a_0,...,a_n$ : arguments of the call
  - $RDA(p,X,\ell) = \{(x,\ell') | x \in X\}$  is the line number  $\ell'$  of the last assignment in procedure p for each variable x.

# The PyRun\_SimpleFile API call

```
If (\ell,b), b \in B, target(b) = PyRun_SimpleFile

For (x,\ell') \in RDA(p,\{a_0\},\ell), with arg(b) = a_0,...,a_n

Calculate y = Eval(x, \ell'), and if y \neq \emptyset,

Add (y,\emptyset) to V_C and ((p,\alpha),(y,\emptyset)) to E_C
```

# The PyObject\_CallObject API


```
If (\ell,b), b \in B, target(b) = PyObject\_CallObject


For (x_i, \ell') \in RDA(p, \{a_0\}, \ell), with arg(b) = a_i i = 0...n

Calculate y_i = Eval(x_i, \ell'), and if all y_i \neq \emptyset,

Add (y_0, y_1, ..., y_n) to V_C, ((p, \alpha), (y_0, y_1, ..., y_n)) to E_C
```

# Example





#### Status

- Filter modules
  - Call Graph Generators for C,C++ Python, Javascript
     Status: Non OO calls only, but OO calls statically identified
  - Interoperability filters: PyViaC/C++, PyViaJs, JsViaPy Status: One or two API calls implemented for each; none complete.
  - Flow Graph Generators for C/C++ Status: Partial implementation.
  - Assignment Collector/Static Evaluator for C Status: Only evaluates literal assignments
  - Reaching Definitions Module Status: Tested on C but is language independent

#### Collaboration

- MLSA is open-source and freely available at
  - TWIKI URL please fill these in
  - GitHUB url
  - Email address
- We are actively seeking open source collaborators and participants
- There are videos available at XXXX showing
  - How to download and install MLSA
  - How to test on the test folders provided
  - How to add to MLSA

#### Conclusion & Future Work

- We propose an architecture comprised of monolingual filter programs that analyse single language AST and identify the cross-language boundary. The filters generate language independent information in CSV format. Additional multilingual filters operate on the CSV files in pipelines. This architecture has advantages of modularity and efficiency and is open-source friendly.
- Future work includes
  - extension of the RDA analysis for more complex interoperability APIs, including use of DATALOG for analysis.
  - More extensive testing and comparisons of the CGs generated with those for existing CG tools