

Twisted elliptic  
L-values

David Ang

Introduction

Dirichlet twists

Real values

Modular symbols

Explanations

# Twisted elliptic L-values

Early Number Theory Researchers Workshop 2023

David Kurniadi Angdinata

London School of Geometry and Number Theory

Friday, 25 August 2023

# A tale of two ranks

Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and let  $K$  be a number field.

## Theorem (Mordell–Weil)

*The set of  $K$ -points  $E(K)$  is a finitely generated abelian group.*

In particular,  $E(K) \cong \text{tor}_{E/K} \times \mathbb{Z}^{\text{rk}_{E/K}}$ , where

- $\text{tor}_{E/K}$  is the *torsion subgroup*, and
- $\text{rk}_{E/K}$  is the *(algebraic) rank*.

While  $\text{tor}_{E/K}$  is classified,  $\text{rk}_{E/K}$  remains mysterious.

## Conjecture (Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer, weak form)

*The order of vanishing of  $L_{E/K}(s)$  at  $s = 1$  is equal to  $\text{rk}_{E/K}$ .*

This is called the *analytic rank*.

# L-functions

For any  $G_K$ -representation  $\rho$ , its **local Euler factor** is given by

$$L_{\mathfrak{p}}(\rho, T) := \det(1 - T \cdot \phi_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \rho^{I_{\mathfrak{p}}}),$$

where  $\phi_{\mathfrak{p}} \in G_K$  is a Frobenius and  $I_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq G_K$  is the inertia subgroup. The **(Hasse–Weil) L-function of  $E/K$**  is given by

$$L_{E/K}(s) := \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \frac{1}{L_{\mathfrak{p}}(\rho_{E,\ell}, \text{Nm}(\mathfrak{p})^{-s})},$$

where  $\rho_{E,\ell}$  is the rational  $\ell$ -adic Tate module as a  $G_K$ -representation.

## Example ( $K = \mathbb{Q}$ )

Let  $a_p := 1 + p - \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . Then

$$L_p(\rho_{E,\ell}, p^{-s}) = \begin{cases} 1 - a_p p^{-s} + p^{1-2s} & \text{if } p \nmid \Delta(E), \\ 1 - a_p p^{-s} & \text{if } p \mid \Delta(E). \end{cases}$$

# The BSD conjecture

Conjecture (Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer, strong form)

*The leading term of  $L_{E/K}(s)$  at  $s = 1$  satisfies*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \frac{L_{E/K}(s)}{(s - 1)^{\text{rk}_{E/K}}} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{|\Delta_K|}}{\Omega_{E/K}} = \frac{C_{E/K} \cdot R_{E/K} \cdot \#\text{III}_{E/K}}{\#\text{tor}_{E/K}^2}.$$

Here,

- $\Omega_{E/K}$  is the *global period*,
- $C_{E/K}$  is the *Tamagawa product*,
- $R_{E/K}$  is the *regulator*, where  $R_{E/K} = 1$  if  $\text{rk}_{E/K} = 0$ , and
- $\text{III}_{E/K}$  is the *Tate–Shafarevich group*, conjecturally finite.

If  $\text{rk}_{E/K} = 0$ , the LHS is called the **algebraic L-value**, given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{E/K} := L_{E/K}(1) \cdot \frac{\sqrt{|\Delta_K|}}{\Omega_{E/K}}.$$

# Twisted L-functions

Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$ , and let  $\chi : (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  be a Dirichlet character.

The **(Hasse–Weil) L-function of  $E$  twisted by  $\chi$**  is given by

$$L_{E,\chi}(s) := \prod_p \frac{1}{L_p(\rho_{E,\ell} \otimes \chi, p^{-s})}.$$

Note that

$$L_E(s) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_n}{n^s} \quad \xrightarrow{\chi} \quad L_{E,\chi}(s) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{a_n \chi(n)}{n^s}.$$

By representation theory, there is a factorisation

$$L_{E/K}(s) = \prod_{\chi} L_{E,\chi}(s),$$

where  $\chi : (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  runs over primitive Dirichlet characters.

# A twisted BSD conjecture

## Conjecture (Deligne–Gross)

*The order of vanishing of  $L_{E,\chi}(s)$  at  $s = 1$  is equal to  $\langle \chi, E(K)_{\mathbb{C}} \rangle$ .*

Unfortunately, a twisted version of strong BSD seems difficult.

## Example (Dokchitser–Evans–Wiersema)

Let  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  be elliptic curves given by Cremona labels 307a1 and 307c1, and let  $\chi : (\mathbb{Z}/11\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$  be the primitive Dirichlet character of order 5 and conductor 11 given by  $\chi(2) = \zeta_5$ . Then

$$C_{E_i/K} = R_{E_i/K} = \text{III}_{E_i/K} = \text{tor}_{E_i/K} = 1,$$

for  $K \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{11})^+$ , but

$$\mathcal{L}_{E_1,\chi} = 1, \quad \mathcal{L}_{E_2,\chi} = \zeta_5(1 + \zeta_5^4)^2.$$

# Algebraic twisted L-values

If  $\text{rk}_E = 0$ , the **algebraic twisted L-value** is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{E,\chi} := L_{E,\chi}(1) \cdot \frac{\tau(\bar{\chi})}{\Omega_E},$$

where  $\tau(\bar{\chi})$  is the **Gauss sum**

$$\tau(\bar{\chi}) := \sum_{n \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times} \bar{\chi}(n) \zeta_m^n.$$

In general  $\mathcal{L}_{E,\chi} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ , but some integrality statements are known.

## Theorem (Wiersema–Wuthrich)

If  $E$  is semistable optimal of conductor  $N_E$ , and if  $\chi$  is primitive of order  $k$  and conductor coprime to  $N_E$ , then  $\mathcal{L}_{E,\chi} \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_k]$ .

There are stronger statements under the *Manin constant conjecture*.

# Real algebraic twisted L-values

Assume that  $\text{rk}_E = 0$ , and that  $\chi$  is primitive of order  $k > 2$ .

## Lemma (Kisilevsky–Nam)

Let  $\omega_E$  be the “root number” of  $E$ . Then  $\lambda_\chi \cdot \mathcal{L}_{E,\chi} \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_k]^+$ , where

$$\lambda_\chi := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \omega_E \cdot \chi(-N_E) = 1, \\ \chi(m) - \overline{\chi(m)} & \text{if } \omega_E \cdot \chi(-N_E) = -1, \\ 1 + \omega_E \cdot \overline{\chi(-N_E)} & \text{if } \omega_E \cdot \chi(-N_E) \neq \pm 1, \end{cases} \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

This is called the **real algebraic twisted L-value**  $\mathcal{L}_{E,\chi}^+$ .

## Example ( $k = 3$ )

$$\mathbb{Z} \ni \mathcal{L}_{E,\chi}^+ = \begin{cases} \Re(\mathcal{L}_{E,\chi}) & \text{if } \omega_E \cdot \chi(N_E) = 1, \\ 2\Re(\mathcal{L}_{E,\chi}) & \text{if } \omega_E \cdot \chi(N_E) \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

# Some observations

Let  $\chi : (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  run over primes  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .

## Example (Kisilevsky–Nam)

Let  $E$  be the elliptic curve given by the Cremona label 11a1.

$p$	7	13	19	31	37	43	61	67	73	79	97
$\mathcal{L}_{E,\chi}^+$	5	-10	-10	5	20	5	-10	15	5	15	-30
$\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{E,\chi}^+$	1	-2	-2	1	4	1	-2	3	1	3	-6
$[\mathcal{L}_{E,\chi}^+]_3$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
$[\#E(\mathbb{F}_p)]_3$	1	1	2	$\frac{1}{\zeta_3}$	2	2	2	0	1	0	0
$\chi(N_E)$	$\zeta_3$	$\zeta_3$	1	$\zeta_3$	1	1	1	$\zeta_3$	$\zeta_3$	$\zeta_3$	$\zeta_3$

$p$	103	109	127	139	151	157	163	181	193	199
$\mathcal{L}_{E,\chi}^+$	30	5	15	5	0	0	80	50	-5	-55
$\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{E,\chi}^+$	6	1	3	1	0	0	16	10	-1	-11
$[\mathcal{L}_{E,\chi}^+]_3$	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	2	1
$[\#E(\mathbb{F}_p)]_3$	0	$\frac{1}{\zeta_3}$	$\frac{0}{\zeta_3}$	1	0	0	$\frac{1}{\zeta_3}$	$\frac{1}{\zeta_3}$	1	2
$\chi(N_E)$	$\zeta_3$	$\zeta_3$	$\zeta_3$	$\zeta_3$	$\zeta_3$	$\zeta_3$	$\zeta_3$	$\zeta_3$	1	1

Here,  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{E,\chi}^+ := \mathcal{L}_{E,\chi}^+ / \gcd_{\chi'} \{ \mathcal{L}_{E,\chi'}^+ \}$ .

# Some phenomena

If  $E$  is the elliptic curve given by the Cremona label 11a1,

$$\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{E,\chi}^+ \equiv_3 \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \#E(\mathbb{F}_p) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ 2 & \text{if } \#E(\mathbb{F}_p) \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \text{ and } \chi(N_E) = 1, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

KN computed  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{E,\chi}^+$  modulo 3 for six elliptic curves.

- For 14a1,  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{E,\chi}^+ \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  often occurs.
- For 11a1, 15a1, 17a1,  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{E,\chi}^+ \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  rarely occurs.
- For 19a1, 37b1,  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{E,\chi}^+ \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  never occurs.

## Theorem (A.)

*I can partially explain the DEW and KN phenomena.*

# The modularity theorem

Let  $E$  be a semistable optimal elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of conductor  $N_E$ .

## Theorem (Taylor–Wiles)

*There is an eigenform  $f_E \in S_2(\Gamma_0(N_E))$  with (Hecke) L-function  $L_{f_E}(s) = L_E(s)$ , such that the Hecke operator  $T_p$  has eigenvalue  $a_p$ .*

For any cusp form  $f \in S_k(\Gamma)$ , its L-function is a Mellin transform

$$L_f(s) := \frac{(-2\pi i)^s}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty z^{s-1} f(z) dz.$$

Set  $s = 1$ :

$$L_f(1) = -2\pi i \int_0^\infty f(z) dz =: -\langle \{0, \infty\}, f \rangle.$$

This is a *period* of the *modular symbol*  $\{0, \infty\}$ .

# Classical modular symbols

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the extended upper half plane, and let  $\phi : \mathcal{H} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{H}/\Gamma =: X_\Gamma$ .

A **modular symbol** is a class  $\{x, y\} \in H_1(X_\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$  for any  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ .

- If  $\Gamma \cdot x = \Gamma \cdot y$ , then  $\phi(x \rightsquigarrow y) \in H_1(X_\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$ , and conversely any  $\gamma \in H_1(X_\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$  arises from  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$  in the same  $\Gamma$ -orbit. Define

$$\{x, y\} := \phi(x \rightsquigarrow y).$$

- The map  $H_1(X_\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(S_2(\Gamma), \mathbb{C})$  given by  $\gamma \mapsto \langle \gamma, \cdot \rangle$  extends to  $\psi : H_1(X_\Gamma, \mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(S_2(\Gamma), \mathbb{C})$ . Define

$$\{x, y\} := \psi^{-1} \langle \phi(x \rightsquigarrow y), \phi^*(\cdot) \rangle.$$

Note that

$$\{x, x\} = 0, \quad \{x, y\} = -\{y, x\}, \quad \{x, y\} + \{y, z\} = \{x, z\},$$

$$\langle \{x, y\}, M \cdot f \rangle = \langle \{M \cdot x, M \cdot y\}, f \rangle, \quad M \in \Gamma.$$

# L-values as periods

Let  $p \nmid N_E$ . The Hecke operator  $T_p$  acts on  $H_1(X_\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})$  by

$$T_p \cdot \{x, y\} = \{px, py\} + \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \left\{ \frac{x+n}{p}, \frac{y+n}{p} \right\}.$$

## Lemma (Manin)

$$-\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) \cdot \mathcal{L}_E = \frac{1}{\Omega_E} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \langle \{0, \frac{n}{p}\}, f_E \rangle.$$

### Proof.

Set  $\{x, y\} = \{0, \infty\}$  in the Hecke action and apply the pairing  $\langle \cdot, f_E \rangle$ :

$$\underbrace{(1 + p - a_p)}_{\#E(\mathbb{F}_p)} \cdot \underbrace{\langle \{0, \infty\}, f_E \rangle}_{-L_E(1)} = \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \underbrace{\langle \{0, \frac{n}{p}\}, f_E \rangle}_{???}.$$

Multiply by  $\frac{1}{\Omega_E}$ .

# Twisted L-values as periods

## Lemma (Manin)

$$\mathcal{L}_{E,\chi} = \frac{1}{\Omega_E} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \bar{\chi}(n) \langle \{0, \frac{n}{p}\}, f_E \rangle.$$

### Proof.

For any  $m \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ , the discrete Fourier transform of  $\chi(m)$  is

$$\chi(m) = \frac{1}{\tau(\bar{\chi})} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \bar{\chi}(n) \zeta_p^{mn}.$$

Substitute into  $\sum_m a_m \chi(m) q^m$  and apply the Mellin transform:

$$L_{E,\chi}(1) = \frac{1}{\tau(\bar{\chi})} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \bar{\chi}(n) \underbrace{\langle \{0, \infty\}, M \cdot f_E \rangle}_{\text{apply properties}}, \quad M := \begin{pmatrix} p & k \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}.$$

Multiply by  $\frac{\tau(\bar{\chi})}{\Omega_E}$ .

# A congruence for L-values

Let  $E$  be a semistable optimal elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of conductor  $N_E$ , let  $p \nmid N_E$ , and let  $\chi : (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  be a Dirichlet character. Then

$$\begin{aligned}-\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) \cdot \mathcal{L}_E &= \frac{1}{\Omega_E} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \langle \{0, \frac{n}{p}\}, f_E \rangle, \\ \mathcal{L}_{E,\chi} &= \frac{1}{\Omega_E} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \bar{\chi}(n) \langle \{0, \frac{n}{p}\}, f_E \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

## Corollary (A)

If  $\chi$  has prime order  $k$ , then

$$-\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) \cdot \mathcal{L}_E \equiv \mathcal{L}_{E,\chi} \pmod{1 - \zeta_k}.$$

## Proof.

By integrality, the lemmata, and  $\bar{\chi} \equiv 1 \pmod{1 - \zeta_k}$ . □

# A congruence for cubic twists

Let  $\chi : (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  be a cubic primitive Dirichlet character.

## Corollary (B)

$$\mathcal{L}_{E,\chi}^+ \equiv_3 \#E(\mathbb{F}_p) \cdot \mathcal{L}_E \cdot \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } \omega_E \cdot \chi(N_E) = 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } \omega_E \cdot \chi(N_E) \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof.

By cases of corollary (A). □

## Corollary (C)

$$\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{E,\chi}^+ \equiv_3 \#E(\mathbb{F}_p) \cdot \mathcal{L}_E \cdot \gcd_{\chi'} \{\mathcal{L}_{E,\chi'}^+\} \cdot \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } \omega_E \cdot \chi(N_E) = 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } \omega_E \cdot \chi(N_E) \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof.

By cases of corollary (B). □

## DEW phenomena

Recall that if  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are elliptic curves given by Cremona labels 307a1 and 307c1, and  $\chi : (\mathbb{Z}/11\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  is the primitive Dirichlet character of order 5 and conductor 11 given by  $\chi(2) = \zeta_5$ , then

$$C_{E_i/K} = R_{E_i/K} = \text{III}_{E_i/K} = \text{tor}_{E_i/K} = 1,$$

for  $K \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{11})^+$ , but

$$\mathcal{L}_{E_1, \chi} = 1, \quad \mathcal{L}_{E_2, \chi} = \zeta_5(1 + \zeta_5^4)^2.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{L}_{E_i} = 1$ , and

$$\#E_1(\mathbb{F}_{11}) = 9, \quad \#E_2(\mathbb{F}_{11}) = 16,$$

so corollary (A) says  $\mathcal{L}_{E_1, \chi} \not\equiv \mathcal{L}_{E_2, \chi} \pmod{1 - \zeta_5}$ .

In fact, corollary (A) partially explains all examples in DEW where  $\chi$  is quintic, and fully explains all examples in DEW where  $\chi$  is cubic.

# Insufficiency of congruence

Unfortunately, there are elliptic curves  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , where  $\mathcal{L}_{E_1, \chi} \equiv \mathcal{L}_{E_2, \chi} \pmod{1 - \zeta_5}$ , but  $\mathcal{L}_{E_1, \chi} \neq \mathcal{L}_{E_2, \chi}$ .

## Example (A.)

Let  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  be elliptic curves given by Cremona labels 130b3 and 312c3, and let  $\chi : (\mathbb{Z}/11\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  be the primitive Dirichlet character of order 5 and conductor 11 given by  $\chi(2) = \zeta_5$ . Then

$$C_{E_i/K} = 2, \quad R_{E_i/K} = 1, \quad \text{III}_{E_i/K} \cong \text{tor}_{E_i/K} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2,$$

for  $K \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{11})^+$ , and furthermore  $\#E_i(\mathbb{F}_{11}) = 12$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{E_i} = \frac{1}{2}$ , but

$$\mathcal{L}_{E_1, \chi} = -4\zeta_5^3, \quad \mathcal{L}_{E_2, \chi} = -4\zeta_5,$$

which are not equal but congruent modulo  $(1 - \zeta_5)$ .

Heuristically, the norm of  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{E, \chi}^+$  is the  $\chi$ -component of  $\text{III}_E$ .

# KN phenomena

Recall that if  $E$  is the elliptic curve given by the Cremona label 11a1,

$$\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{E,\chi}^+ \equiv_3 \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \#E(\mathbb{F}_p) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ 2 & \text{if } \#E(\mathbb{F}_p) \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \text{ and } \chi(N_E) = 1, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\mathcal{L}_E \cdot \gcd_{\chi'} \{\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{E,\chi'}^+\} = 1$  and  $\omega_E = 1$ , so corollary (C) says

$$\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{E,\chi}^+ \equiv_3 \begin{cases} 2\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) & \text{if } \chi(N_E) = 1, \\ \#E(\mathbb{F}_p) & \text{if } \chi(N_E) \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

This fully explains the three cases, except for when  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  or  $\chi(N_E) = 1$ . In fact, corollary (C) fully explains  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{E,\chi}^+$  modulo 3 for any elliptic curve  $E$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  where

- $E$  does not have rational 3-isogenies, and
- the 3-division field  $\mathbb{Q}(x(E[3]))$  of  $E$  contains  $\sqrt[3]{N_E}$ .

# The missing piece

## Theorem (A.-Dokchitser)

Assume that

- $E$  does not have rational 3-isogenies, and
- the 3-division field  $\mathbb{Q}(x(E[3]))$  of  $E$  contains  $\sqrt[3]{N_E}$ .

If  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , then  $\chi(N_E) = 1$ .

### Proof.

The assumptions imply that

$$\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(x(E[3]))/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3) \cong S_4.$$

This has a quotient

$$\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{N_E}, \zeta_3)/\mathbb{Q}) \cong S_4/K_4 \cong S_3.$$

If  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , then  $a_p \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , so  $\phi_p^2 = 1$  in  $S_4$ . By group theory,  $\phi_p = 1$  in  $S_3$ , but it acts as  $\chi(N_E)$  on  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{N_E}, \zeta_3)$ . □

## Other KN phenomena

Key idea: understand how  $\phi_p$  acts on  $\mathbb{Q}(x(E[3]))$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{N_E}, \zeta_3)$ .

In general,  $\phi_p \neq 1$  in  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(x(E[3]))/\mathbb{Q}) \leq \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ .

- If  $E$  has rational 3-isogenies, then  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{E,\chi}^+$  modulo 3 is partially explained by how  $\phi_p$  acts on the 9-division field  $\mathbb{Q}(x(E[9]))$ .
- If  $\mathbb{Q}(x(E[3]))$  does not contain  $\sqrt[3]{N_E}$ , then  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{E,\chi}^+$  modulo 3 is fully explained by how  $\phi_p$  acts on  $\mathbb{Q}(x(E[3]), \sqrt[3]{N_E})$ .

The elliptic curves given by Cremona labels 11a1, 15a1, 17a1 are generic, but those given by 14a1, 19a1, 37b1 are special.

### Theorem (A.)

*I understand how  $\phi_p$  acts on  $\mathbb{Q}(x(E[9]), \sqrt[3]{N_E})$ .*

This crucially uses the classification of 3-adic images of Galois for elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$  by Rouse–Sutherland–Zureick-Brown.

# Future work

Here are some potential extensions, listed in increasing difficulty:

- replace  $\mathbb{Q}$  with a global field
- replace  $\chi$  with an Artin representation
- replace  $E$  with the Jacobian of a higher genus curve
- remove the  $\text{rk}_E = 0$  assumption