



Faculty of Science



Exact Exponential Algorithms for NP-Hard Optimization Problems

AN INTRODUCTION

Martin Zachariasen

Department of Computer Science
University of Copenhagen

May 21, 2013



Outline

- 1 NP-Hard Optimization Problems
 - Exact and Heuristic Search Algorithms



Outline

- 1 NP-Hard Optimization Problems
 - Exact and Heuristic Search Algorithms
- 2 Exact Exponential Algorithms
 - Preliminaries
 - Dynamic Programming
 - Branch and Reduce



Outline

- 1 NP-Hard Optimization Problems
 - Exact and Heuristic Search Algorithms
- 2 Exact Exponential Algorithms
 - Preliminaries
 - Dynamic Programming
 - Branch and Reduce
- 3 Literature and Exercises



Outline

- 1 NP-Hard Optimization Problems
 - Exact and Heuristic Search Algorithms
- 2 Exact Exponential Algorithms
 - Preliminaries
 - Dynamic Programming
 - Branch and Reduce
- 3 Literature and Exercises



Travelling Salesman Problem (TSP)

Given a set $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}$ of cities and distances $d(c_i, c_j)$ between every pair c_i, c_j of cities, find a **shortest tour** through all the cities, i.e., a permutation π such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d(c_{\pi(i)}, c_{\pi(i+1)}) + d(c_{\pi(n)}, c_{\pi(1)})$$

is minimized.

Equivalently:

Given an edge-weighted graph $G = (V, E)$, find an Hamiltonian cycle with minimum total weight in G .



Exact and Heuristic Search Algorithms

Exact algorithm: Systematically search through the whole search space

Heuristic algorithm: Only visit (hopefully) promising parts of the search space



Exact and Heuristic Search Algorithms

Exact algorithm: Systematically search through the whole search space

Heuristic algorithm: Only visit (hopefully) promising parts of the search space

Exact algorithm (systematic search) is often better suited when ...

- proofs of insolubility or optimality are required,
- time constraints are not critical,
- problem-specific knowledge can be exploited.

Heuristic algorithm (incomplete search) is often better suited when ...

- reasonably good solutions are required within a short time,
- parallel processing is used,
- problem-specific knowledge is rather limited.



Evaluation and Comparison of Search Algorithms

Two interesting parameters:



Evaluation and Comparison of Search Algorithms

Two interesting parameters:

- 1 **Solution quality:** How good are the solutions obtained? (Only really relevant for heuristic algorithms.)



Evaluation and Comparison of Search Algorithms

Two interesting parameters:

- 1 **Solution quality:** How good are the solutions obtained? (Only really relevant for heuristic algorithms.)
- 2 **Running time:** What is the running time of the algorithm as a function of problem instance size?



Evaluation and Comparison of Search Algorithms

Two interesting parameters:

- 1 **Solution quality:** How good are the solutions obtained? (Only really relevant for heuristic algorithms.)
- 2 **Running time:** What is the running time of the algorithm as a function of problem instance size?

Three possible approaches:



Evaluation and Comparison of Search Algorithms

Two interesting parameters:

- 1 **Solution quality:** How good are the solutions obtained? (Only really relevant for heuristic algorithms.)
- 2 **Running time:** What is the running time of the algorithm as a function of problem instance size?

Three possible approaches:

- 1 **Worst-case analysis:** Give a bound on the worst possible performance (quality and/or running time) of the algorithm.



Evaluation and Comparison of Search Algorithms

Two interesting parameters:

- 1 **Solution quality:** How good are the solutions obtained? (Only really relevant for heuristic algorithms.)
- 2 **Running time:** What is the running time of the algorithm as a function of problem instance size?

Three possible approaches:

- 1 **Worst-case analysis:** Give a bound on the worst possible performance (quality and/or running time) of the algorithm.
- 2 **Average analysis:** Assume that the instances (or their respective parameters) are distributed according to a probability function. Compute the average behaviour of the algorithm.



Evaluation and Comparison of Search Algorithms

Two interesting parameters:

- 1 **Solution quality:** How good are the solutions obtained? (Only really relevant for heuristic algorithms.)
- 2 **Running time:** What is the running time of the algorithm as a function of problem instance size?

Three possible approaches:

- 1 **Worst-case analysis:** Give a bound on the worst possible performance (quality and/or running time) of the algorithm.
- 2 **Average analysis:** Assume that the instances (or their respective parameters) are distributed according to a probability function. Compute the average behaviour of the algorithm.
- 3 **Empirical/experimental analysis:** Implement the algorithm and investigate how it performs on a suitable set of problem instances.



Outline

- 1 NP-Hard Optimization Problems
 - Exact and Heuristic Search Algorithms
- 2 Exact Exponential Algorithms
 - Preliminaries
 - Dynamic Programming
 - Branch and Reduce
- 3 Literature and Exercises



Big-O Notation for Exponential Algorithms

In [this lecture](#) we use a slightly modified definition of O -notation, where polynomially bounded factors are suppressed.

Examples:

$$n^3 2^n = O(2^n)$$

$$n^7 \log n 1.01^n = O(1.01^n)$$



Big-O Notation for Exponential Algorithms

In [this lecture](#) we use a slightly modified definition of O -notation, where [polynomially bounded factors are suppressed](#).

Examples:

$$n^3 2^n = O(2^n)$$

$$n^7 \log n 1.01^n = O(1.01^n)$$

Also, we assume that the [objective value](#) of a solution can be computed in [polynomial time](#)

\implies for search algorithms the O -bound is the number of visited/evaluated solutions in the worst case



Size of Problem Instance (n)

In [this talk](#) we simplify input size to a single parameter n :

- For [graph problems](#) n is the number of vertices (e.g. TSP)
- For [set problems](#) n is the number of elements (e.g. Knapsack)
- For [Boolean formula problems](#) n is the number of variables (e.g. SAT)

This definition of size makes it easier to measure the improvement over trivial brute-force algorithms.



Brute-Force Algorithms: Three Classes of Problems

Brute-force algorithms for three classes of problems which cover a wide range of optimization problems:

- **Subset** problems: $O(2^n)$ where n is the number of elements in the ground set
- **Permutation** problems: $O(n!) = O(2^{n \log n})$ where n is the size of the permutation vector
- **Partition** problems: $O(n^n)$ where n is the number of elements in the ground set

Examples of problems from each class?



Outline

- 1 NP-Hard Optimization Problems
 - Exact and Heuristic Search Algorithms
- 2 Exact Exponential Algorithms
 - Preliminaries
 - Dynamic Programming
 - Branch and Reduce
- 3 Literature and Exercises



Dynamic Programming for TSP

For subset $S \subseteq \{c_2, \dots, c_n\}$, $|S| \geq 1$, and $c_i \in S$, define:

$\text{OPT}[S, c_i]$ = minimum length of tour that starts in c_1 ,
visits all cities in S and ends in c_i



Dynamic Programming for TSP

For subset $S \subseteq \{c_2, \dots, c_n\}$, $|S| \geq 1$, and $c_i \in S$, define:

$\text{OPT}[S, c_i]$ = minimum length of tour that starts in c_1 ,
visits all cities in S and ends in c_i

$|S| = 1$: $\text{OPT}[S, c_i] = d(c_1, c_i)$



Dynamic Programming for TSP

For subset $S \subseteq \{c_2, \dots, c_n\}$, $|S| \geq 1$, and $c_i \in S$, define:

$\text{OPT}[S, c_i]$ = minimum length of tour that starts in c_1 ,
visits all cities in S and ends in c_i

$$|S| = 1 : \text{OPT}[S, c_i] = d(c_1, c_i)$$

$$|S| > 1 : \text{OPT}[S, c_i] = \min\{\text{OPT}[S \setminus \{c_j\}, c_j] + d(c_j, c_i) : c_j \in S \setminus \{c_i\}\}$$



Dynamic Programming for TSP

For subset $S \subseteq \{c_2, \dots, c_n\}$, $|S| \geq 1$, and $c_i \in S$, define:

$\text{OPT}[S, c_i]$ = minimum length of tour that starts in c_1 ,
visits all cities in S and ends in c_i

$$|S| = 1 : \text{OPT}[S, c_i] = d(c_1, c_i)$$

$$|S| > 1 : \text{OPT}[S, c_i] = \min\{\text{OPT}[S \setminus \{c_i\}, c_j] + d(c_j, c_i) : c_j \in S \setminus \{c_i\}\}$$

Optimal TSP-tour:

$$\text{OPT} = \min\{\text{OPT}[\{c_2, \dots, c_n\}, c_i] + d(c_i, c_1), i = 2, \dots, n\}$$



Dynamic Programming for TSP: Running Time

The dynamic programming algorithm (by Bellman, Held and Karp) runs in $O(2^n)$ time.

Observation: For each set S of size k there are k^2 pairs of cities c_i, c_j in S .

Total time to compute OPT:

$$O\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} k^2\right) = O\left(n^2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k}\right) = O(n^2 2^n) = O(2^n)$$

Recall that a brute-force algorithm requires $O(n!) = O(2^{n \log n})$ time, so this is a clear improvement.



Outline

- 1 NP-Hard Optimization Problems
 - Exact and Heuristic Search Algorithms
- 2 Exact Exponential Algorithms
 - Preliminaries
 - Dynamic Programming
 - Branch and Reduce
- 3 Literature and Exercises



Branch and Reduce for Independent Set

Maximum Independent Set: Given an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$, find a vertex set $I \subseteq V$ of maximum cardinality such that no pair of vertices in I is adjacent in G .



Branch and Reduce for Independent Set

Maximum Independent Set: Given an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$, find a vertex set $I \subseteq V$ of maximum cardinality such that no pair of vertices in I is adjacent in G .

Key observations:

- 1 If vertex v is in I , then none of its neighbours are in I
- 2 If vertex v is **not** in I , then at least one of its neighbours is



Branch and Reduce for Independent Set

Maximum Independent Set: Given an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$, find a vertex set $I \subseteq V$ of maximum cardinality such that no pair of vertices in I is adjacent in G .

Key observations:

- 1 If vertex v is in I , then none of its neighbours are in I
- 2 If vertex v is **not** in I , then at least one of its neighbours is

That is: For any vertex v and any maximum independent set I , at least one vertex in the closed neighbourhood $N[v]$ is in I . Therefore:

$$\text{OPT}(G) = 1 + \max\{\text{OPT}(G \setminus N[y]) : y \in N[v]\}$$



Branch and Reduce for Independent Set

Maximum Independent Set: Given an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$, find a vertex set $I \subseteq V$ of maximum cardinality such that no pair of vertices in I is adjacent in G .

Key observations:

- 1 If vertex v is in I , then none of its neighbours are in I
- 2 If vertex v is **not** in I , then at least one of its neighbours is

That is: For any vertex v and any maximum independent set I , at least one vertex in the closed neighbourhood $N[v]$ is in I . Therefore:

$$\text{OPT}(G) = 1 + \max\{\text{OPT}(G \setminus N[y]) : y \in N[v]\}$$

Algorithm: Choose v of minimum degree and branch, i.e., solve subproblem $G \setminus N[y]$ for each $y \in N[v]$



Branch and Reduce for Independent Set

Running time of exact algorithm = Number of nodes in search tree T



Branch and Reduce for Independent Set

Running time of exact algorithm = Number of nodes in search tree T

$T(n)$: largest number of nodes in search tree for $n = |V|$

$d(v)$: degree of node v in G

$v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{d(v)}$: neighbours of v



Branch and Reduce for Independent Set

Running time of exact algorithm = Number of nodes in search tree T

$T(n)$: largest number of nodes in search tree for $n = |V|$

$d(v)$: degree of node v in G

$v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{d(v)}$: neighbours of v

$$\begin{aligned}T(n) &\leq 1 + T(n - d(v) - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^{d(v)} T(n - d(v_i) - 1) \\&\leq 1 + (d(v) + 1)T(n - d(v) - 1) \\&\leq 1 + sT(n - s) \\&\leq 1 + s + s^2 + s^3 + \dots + s^{n/s} \\&\leq \frac{s^{n/s+1} - 1}{s - 1} \\&= O(s^{n/s}) = O(3^{n/3}) = O(1.4423^n)\end{aligned}$$



Branching Vector and Branching Factor

Consider a branching rule b that divides a problem of size n into r problems of size $n - t_1, n - t_2, \dots, n - t_r$.

Then $\mathbf{b} = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_r)$ is the **branching vector** of branching rule b .



Branching Vector and Branching Factor

Consider a branching rule b that divides a problem of size n into r problems of size $n - t_1, n - t_2, \dots, n - t_r$.

Then $\mathbf{b} = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_r)$ is the **branching vector** of branching rule b .

Linear recurrence

$$T(n) \leq T(n - t_1) + T(n - t_2) + \dots + T(n - t_r)$$

has solution $T(n) = \alpha^n$ where the **branching factor** $\alpha = \tau(\mathbf{b})$ is the unique positive real root of

$$x^n - x^{n-t_1} - x^{n-t_1} - \dots - x^{n-t_r} = 0$$



Properties of Binary Branching Vectors

Binary branching divides the problem into two subproblems in each step.

Two properties of binary branching vectors:

① $\tau(k, k) \leq \tau(i, j)$ for all $i + j = 2k$



Properties of Binary Branching Vectors

Binary branching divides the problem into two subproblems in each step.

Two properties of binary branching vectors:

- ① $\tau(k, k) \leq \tau(i, j)$ for all $i + j = 2k$
- ② $\tau(i, j) > \tau(i + \epsilon, j - \epsilon)$ for all $0 < i < j$ and $0 < \epsilon < (j - i)/2$



Properties of Binary Branching Vectors

Binary branching divides the problem into two subproblems in each step.

Two properties of binary branching vectors:

- ① $\tau(k, k) \leq \tau(i, j)$ for all $i + j = 2k$
- ② $\tau(i, j) > \tau(i + \epsilon, j - \epsilon)$ for all $0 < i < j$ and $0 < \epsilon < (j - i)/2$

Examples:

$$\tau(3, 3) = \sqrt[3]{2} < 1.2600$$

$$\tau(2, 4) = \tau(4, 2) < 1.2721$$

$$\tau(1, 5) = \tau(5, 1) < 1.3248$$



Addition of Branching Vectors

Branching vectors can be combined (or "added").



Addition of Branching Vectors

Branching vectors can be combined (or "added").

Example

For a given problem the branching vector is $(2, 2)$. But we also know that whenever we follow the left branch we immediately do a $(2, 3)$ branch; when we follow the right branch we immediately do a $(1, 4)$ branch.

The combined branching vector is $(2 + 2, 2 + 3, 2 + 1, 2 + 4) = (4, 5, 3, 6)$.



Addition of Branching Vectors

Branching vectors can be combined (or "added").

Example

For a given problem the branching vector is $(2, 2)$. But we also know that whenever we follow the left branch we immediately do a $(2, 3)$ branch; when we follow the right branch we immediately do a $(1, 4)$ branch.

The combined branching vector is $(2 + 2, 2 + 3, 2 + 1, 2 + 4) = (4, 5, 3, 6)$.

The resulting combined branching vectors may lead to better (or sharper) running time analysis.



Literature and Exercises

Literature

F. V. Fomin, D. Kratsch, *Exact Exponential Algorithms*, Texts in Theoretical Computer Science. An EATCS Series, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2010. [Chapter 1](#)

Exercises

See Absalon.

