Problem 1; solution: step 1: A set Ge with a binary operation & is a group if it satisfies: 1. elosure: For all ab & Gr, axb & Gr. 2. Associatively: (a+b) + e = a*(b*e) 3. Identity Element: There exists e E Ge such that axe = exa = a for all a to. 4. Inverse element: For each a & Ga, there exists a -1 E Go gueh that at Additionally, if a *b = b *a for all a 1b EG, then the group is abelian. step2: Take the set of odd integers 0={--1-3,-1,1,3,5--3 with binary operation + (usual addition) step 3: verify group axioms 1 · closure. odd + odd = Even Example: 3+5=8 (no+odd) Thus, clousure fails

2. Associatively! Addition of integer is associative (a+b)+c= a+(b+e). 3. Identity element: The additive identity in (Zi+) is o But o is not odd - so o has no identity element. X Fails . 9. Inverse element: For an odd integer a, its inverse under addition is -a Example: if 3 EO, inverse is -3, which is also odd. This works ster 9: conclusion: since elosure and identity fail, the set of odd integers with is not a group. Therefore, it cannot be an abelian group either.

Final answer:

The set of odd integers under addition
is not an abelian group because:

(i) it is not elosed (odd todd = even

(i) it does not contain the identity
element (since o is not odd).

Problem 2

6. statement: Let Go be finite and let P be the smallest Prime dividing | Gol. Any subgroup of index P in Go is normal.

Answer: True, bet H have index

p. The action of Gr on costs gives

p: Gr -> Sp. By minimality of p the

image p(or) must have order either

1 or p. transitivity forces order P.

put a subgroup of sp of order

p fixes a coset, so the kernel of

the action equals H. Hence H is

7. Answer: False

in general.

explanation: if a and b commute

then (ab) 6 = ab 6. From b= at we

get bb=(b2) 3 = a 12, so, (ab) = a 18.

There is no reason al 8 = e in general.

Counterexample: in the infinite cyclic

group (g) take a = g, b = g2. Then at

= gq=b2. They commute, but (ab) 6 =

g18 te. The claim needs extra hypo
theses (eig. finite orders forcing

al 8 = e) to hold.

eorneet version; The general true

statement is $w^n! \in H$ for all u E Gr. Reason; The Permutation action

of Gr on the m cosets gives φ : $Gr \to Sn$; the order of $\varphi(u)$ divides n!, so $u^n! \in \ker \varphi = n$ The exponent n is not sufficient

9. Answer: True

why: Let P be the (unique) subgroup

of order pk for each K & n (and

Ph 11 Gel). Then be has a normal

show P-subgroup. Thus P is normal

and is the slowly P-subgroup.

10. True.

why: A & subgroup of order ph is a sylow P-subgroup. By sylow theorems, the number np of such subgroups divides m and satisfies np=1 = 1 'since P/m, the only divisor of m congruent to 1 (mod p) is 1. so. np=1. uniquenss Implies normality.