



Contents

Objective


Our Problem

- Our Models

 Analysis the Results
- ©7 conclusion

©2 Description of Data

- O4 Pre-Processing
- Parameters Modification
 Analysis the Results






Description of Data


The dataset contains 900 images of jellyfish belonging to six different categories and species:

- 1. Mauve stinger jellyfish
- 2. Moon jellyfish
- 3. Barrel jellyfish
- 4. Blue jellyfish
- 5. Compass jellyfish
- 6. Lion's mane jellyfish

We will apply DL techniques to:

gain insights into jellyfish classification, species identification, and color analysis.





Description of Data

Show some of the image






Our Problem

Our project aims to classify images of jellyfish into different ones and identify jellyfish types based on their physical characteristics. Therefore, the problem boil down to:

- the difficulty of photographing a living organism underwater: there are not enough images to train the model, so we will need to increase the number of images that are different in size and modify and standardize the sizes.
- some of the images are unclear: jellyfish have colors similar to coral reefs and interfere with us, so we need to enlarge the image to clarify the details so that the model can train.



Data Augmentation

```
datagen = ImageDataGenerator(
   rotation_range=30, # Randomly rotate images by 20 degrees
   #brightness range=[0., 0.5], # Adjust brightness between 10% and 200%
   width_shift_range=0.1, # Randomly shift images horizontally by 20% of the width
   height shift range=0.1, # Randomly shift images vertically by 20% of the height
   zoom range=0.3,
                     # Randomly zoom into images
   horizontal_flip=True, # Randomly flip images horizontally
   vertical flip=True, # Randomly flip images vertically
datagen1 = ImageDataGenerator()
train_generator = datagen.flow(X_train, y_train, batch_size=20)
val generator = datagen1.flow(X test, y test, batch size=20)
```




Data Augmentation

Original Image




Augmented Image

Augmented Image







Phase 1

CNN Model

Define the Model

```
from keras.utils import to_categorical
y_train = to_categorical(y_train, num_classes=6)
y_test = to_categorical(y_test, num_classes=6)
```

model.fit(X_train, y_train, validation_data=(X_test,y_test), batch_size=500,epochs=20)

Phase 1

CNN Model

Model Summary

Model: "sequential"				
Layer (type)	Output Shape	Param #		
conv2d (Conv2D)	(None, 224, 224, 16)	208		
max_pooling2d (MaxPooling2 D)	(None, 112, 112, 16)	0		
conv2d_1 (Conv2D)	(None, 112, 112, 32)	2080		
<pre>max_pooling2d_1 (MaxPoolin g2D)</pre>	(None, 56, 56, 32)	0		
conv2d_2 (Conv2D)	(None, 56, 56, 64)	8256		
<pre>max_pooling2d_2 (MaxPoolin g2D)</pre>	(None, 28, 28, 64)	0		
dropout (Dropout)	(None, 28, 28, 64)	0		
flatten (Flatten)	(None, 50176)	0		
dense (Dense)	(None, 255)	12795135		
dropout_1 (Dropout)	(None, 255)	0		
dense_1 (Dense)	(None, 6)	1536		

Total params: 12807215 (48.86 MB)
Trainable params: 12807215 (48.86 MB)
Non-trainable params: 0 (0.00 Byte)

Phase 1

CNN Model


Accuracy

```
Epoch 20/20
y_hat = model.predict(X_test)
  test = model.evaluate(X_test, y_test)
  print('Test Loss = ', test[0], 'Test Accuracy = ', test[1])
 6/6 [======= ] - 1s 197ms/step
 Test Loss = 1.0247085094451904 Test Accuracy = 0.6888889074325562
```

97% - 0.10 68% - 1.02

Training Accuracy - Loss

Testing Accuracy - Loss



AlexNet Model Define the Model

```
# Define and compile AlexNet model
alexnet_model = create_alexnet_model(input_shape=(224, 224, 3), num_classes=6)
alexnet_model.compile(optimizer=Adam(), loss='categorical_crossentropy', metrics=['accuracy'])
# Train AlexNet model
alexnet_history = alexnet_model.fit(X_train, y_train, epochs=20, batch_size=128, validation_data=(X_test, y_test))
```

Model Summary

Model: "sequential_1"				
Layer (type)	Output Shape	Param #		
conv2d_3 (Conv2D)	(None, 224, 224, 16)	208		
<pre>max_pooling2d_3 (MaxPoolin g2D)</pre>	(None, 112, 112, 16)	0		
conv2d_4 (Conv2D)	(None, 112, 112, 32)	2080		
<pre>max_pooling2d_4 (MaxPoolin g2D)</pre>	(None, 56, 56, 32)	0		
conv2d_5 (Conv2D)	(None, 56, 56, 64)	8256		
<pre>max_pooling2d_5 (MaxPoolin g2D)</pre>	(None, 28, 28, 64)	0		
dropout_2 (Dropout)	(None, 28, 28, 64)	0		
flatten_1 (Flatten)	(None, 50176)	0		
dense_2 (Dense)	(None, 255)	12795135		
dropout_3 (Dropout)	(None, 255)	0		
dense_3 (Dense)	(None, 6)	1536		
Total params: 12807215 (48.86 MB) Trainable params: 12807215 (48.86 MB) Non-trainable params: 0 (0.00 Byte)				

Accuracy

```
Best Accuracy: 0.6306 at Epoch 20
Best Validation Accuracy: 0.5667 at Epoch 19
```


```
# Evaluate the model on the test data
 # Print the test loss and test accuracy
 y_hat = alexnet_model.predict(X_test)
 test = alexnet_model.evaluate(X_test, y_test)
 print('Test Loss = ', test[0], 'Test Accuracy = ', test[1])
6/6 [=================== ] - 3s 506ms/step
Test Loss = 1.1494736671447754 Test Accuracy = 0.5555555820465088
```

63% - 0.91 55% - 1.14


Training Accuracy - Loss

Testing Accuracy - Loss


Model Accuracy




Model Loss



Architecture Diagram





ConvNet Model Define the Model

```
# Define and compile ConvNet Tiny model
convnet_tiny_model = create_convnet_tiny_model(input_shape=(224, 224, 3), num_classes=6)
convnet_tiny_model.compile(optimizer='adam', loss='categorical_crossentropy', metrics=['accuracy'])
# Train ConvNet Tiny model
convnet_tiny_history = convnet_tiny_model.fit(X_train, y_train, epochs=15, batch_size=128, validation_data=(X_test, y_test))
```

Model Summary

Model: "sequential"				
Layer (type)	Output Shape	Param #		
conv2d (Conv2D)	(None, 224, 224, 16)	208		
<pre>max_pooling2d (MaxPooling2 D)</pre>	(None, 112, 112, 16)	0		
conv2d_1 (Conv2D)	(None, 112, 112, 32)	2080		
<pre>max_pooling2d_1 (MaxPoolin g2D)</pre>	(None, 56, 56, 32)	0		
conv2d_2 (Conv2D)	(None, 56, 56, 64)	8256		
<pre>max_pooling2d_2 (MaxPoolin g2D)</pre>	(None, 28, 28, 64)	0		
dropout (Dropout)	(None, 28, 28, 64)	0		
flatten (Flatten)	(None, 50176)	0		
dense (Dense)	(None, 255)	12795135		
dropout_1 (Dropout)	(None, 255)	0		
dense_1 (Dense)	(None, 6)	1536		
Total params: 12807215 (48.86 MB) Trainable params: 12807215 (48.86 MB) Non-trainable params: 0 (0.00 Byte)				

Accuracy

Overfitting

Best Accuracy for ConvNet Tiny: 0.9889 at Epoch 15 Best Validation Accuracy for ConvNet Tiny: 0.6667 at Epoch 9


```
# Print the test loss and test accuracy
 y_hat = convnet_tiny_model.predict(X_test)
 test = convnet_tiny_model.evaluate(X_test, y_test)
 print('Test Loss = ', test[0], 'Test Accuracy = ', test[1])
6/6 [======= - - - - - - - 3s 405ms/step
Test Loss = 1.576395034790039 Test Accuracy = 0.6222222447395325
```

98% - 0.08 62% - 1.57


Training Accuracy - Loss

Testing Accuracy - Loss


Model Accuracy




Model Loss



Architecture Diagram





Define the Model

```
# Define another VGGNet model
def create another vggnet model(input shape, num classes):
    model = Sequential([
       Conv2D(filters=32, kernel_size=(3, 3), activation='relu', input_shape=input_shape),
       MaxPooling2D(pool_size=(2, 2)),
       Conv2D(filters=64, kernel_size=(3, 3), activation='relu'),
       MaxPooling2D(pool_size=(2, 2)),
       Conv2D(filters=128, kernel size=(3, 3), activation='relu'),
       MaxPooling2D(pool_size=(2, 2)),
        Flatten(),
       Dense(256, activation='relu'),
       Dense(num_classes, activation='softmax')
    1)
    return model
# Define the input shape and number of classes
input_shape = (224, 224, 3)
num classes = 6
# Create and compile another VGGNet model
another vggnet model = create_another vggnet model(input_shape, num_classes)
another_vggnet_model.compile(optimizer='adam', loss='categorical_crossentropy', metrics=['accuracy'])
# Train the model
another vggnet history = another vggnet model.fit(X train, y train, epochs=15, batch size=128, validation data=(X test, y test))
```

Model Summary

Model: "sequential_3"				
Layer (type)	Output Shape	Param #		
conv2d_11 (Conv2D)	(None, 222, 222, 32)	896		
<pre>max_pooling2d_8 (MaxPoolin g2D)</pre>	(None, 111, 111, 32)	0		
conv2d_12 (Conv2D)	(None, 109, 109, 64)	18496		
<pre>max_pooling2d_9 (MaxPoolin g2D)</pre>	(None, 54, 54, 64)	0		
conv2d_13 (Conv2D)	(None, 52, 52, 128)	73856		
<pre>max_pooling2d_10 (MaxPooli ng2D)</pre>	(None, 26, 26, 128)	0		
flatten_3 (Flatten)	(None, 86528)	0		
dense_7 (Dense)	(None, 256)	22151424		
dense_8 (Dense)	(None, 6)	1542		
Total params: 22246214 (84.86 MB) Trainable params: 22246214 (84.86 MB) Non-trainable params: 0 (0.00 Byte)				

Accuracy

Overfitting


95% - 0.17

56% - 1.37


Training Accuracy - Loss

Testing Accuracy - Loss


Model Accuracy




Model Loss



Architecture Diagram







ANALYSIS THE RESULTS

After seeing the results of the previous models, we can conclude:

- The loss rate in the test set is very high.
- The accuracy rate on the test set in all models is less than 80%.
- In some models, there is a large difference between the accuracy rate of the training set and the accuracy rate of the test set. This means that there is overfitting
- The best model among them is the CNN model.

As a result of trying several models, we did not obtain the expected degree of improvement in the accuracy rate, so we will use another method of improvement.





PARAMETERS MODIFICATION

Another method of improving the model we will use is **parameter modification**. After the previous comparison of the models, we will continue with the CNN model because it gave the best performance results.

The parameter we will modify is epochs. This is because it is considered one of the important parameters during deep learning and has many benefits, including:

- 1. Model convergence
- 2. Improve the performance
- 3. Dealing with complex data sets

Because our data is somewhat complicated due to the similarity of the jellyfish's colors to coral reefs, it can help us overcome this problem and obtain the highest accuracy result.

Define the Model

```
from keras.utils import to_categorical
y_train = to_categorical(y_train, num_classes=6)
y_test = to_categorical(y_test, num_classes=6)
```

```
history = model.fit(train_generator epochs=100, /alidation_data=val_generator)
```

We replaced the number of epochs from 20 to 100

Model Summary

Model: "sequential_1"		
Layer (type)	Output Shape	Param #
conv2d_3 (Conv2D)	(None, 224, 224, 16)	
<pre>max_pooling2d_3 (MaxPoolin g2D)</pre>	(None, 112, 112, 16)	0
conv2d_4 (Conv2D)	(None, 112, 112, 32)	2080
<pre>max_pooling2d_4 (MaxPoolin g2D)</pre>	(None, 56, 56, 32)	0
conv2d_5 (Conv2D)	(None, 56, 56, 64)	8256
<pre>max_pooling2d_5 (MaxPoolin g2D)</pre>	(None, 28, 28, 64)	0
dropout_2 (Dropout)	(None, 28, 28, 64)	0
flatten_1 (Flatten)	(None, 50176)	0
dense_2 (Dense)	(None, 255)	12795135
dropout_3 (Dropout)	(None, 255)	0
dense_3 (Dense)	(None, 6)	1536
Total params: 12807215 (48.86 MB) Trainable params: 12807215 (48.86 MB) Non-trainable params: 0 (0.00 Byte)		

Accuracy


96% - 0.09 87% - 0.46

Training Accuracy - Loss


Testing Accuracy - Loss




Model Accuracy



Model Loss



Architecture Diagram



ANALYSIS THE RESULTS


As shown in the chart:

The accuracy rate on the training set increased significantly when we replaced the number of epochs from 20 to 100.

As shown in the chart:

- Accuracy rate of the test set in the old model was:
 68%
- Accuracy rate of the test set in the model after modification: 87%

After improving the accuracy rate by changing the number of epochs, we faced a problem, which is that the learning time was very long due to the large number of epochs, and several epochs had a high verification loss, so we tried to search for a solution to this problem.



PARAMETERS MODIFICATION

To solve the previous problem, we added a **performance optimization** code that provides many benefits and best practices in training deep learning models, which include:

- Reduce the learning rate
- Monitor and stop early
- Evaluation and visualization
- Optimizer selection

Because our problem is the long learning time due to the large number of epochs and also the high verification loss, this improvement can help us solve this problem.

```
from keras.callbacks import ReduceLROnPlateau
lr scheduler = ReduceLROnPlateau(monitor='val loss', factor=0.1, patience=3, verbose=1, min lr=1e-6)
model.compile(loss='categorical_crossentropy', optimizer='adam', metrics=['accuracy'])
history = model.fit(X_train, y_train, epochs=5, validation_data=(X_test, y_test), callbacks=[lr_scheduler])
model.compile(loss='categorical_crossentropy', optimizer='Nadam', metrics=['accuracy'])
history = model.fit(X train, y train, validation data=(X test, y test), batch size=500, epochs=20, callbacks=[lr scheduler])
y_hat = model.predict(X_test)
test = model.evaluate(X test, y test)
print('Test Loss = ', test[0], 'Test Accuracy = ', test[1])
fig = plt.figure(figsize=(20, 8))
for i, idx in enumerate(np.random.choice(X test.shape[0], size=16, replace=False)):
    ax = fig.add_subplot(4, 4, i + 1, xticks=[], yticks=[])
    ax.imshow(np.squeeze(X test[idx]))
    pred_idx = np.argmax(y_hat[idx])
   true_idx = np.argmax(y_test[idx])
    ax.set_title("{} ({})".format(classes[pred_idx], classes[true_idx]),
                 color=("green" if pred idx == true idx else "red"))
```

92%


Testing Accuracy

0.37


Testing Loss

```
2/2 [=========] - 23s 7s/step - loss: 0.0020 - accuracy: 1.0000 - val loss: 0.2573 - val accuracy: 0.9444 - lr: 0.0010
2/2 [==========] - 17s 6s/step - loss: 0.0012 - accuracy: 1.0000 - val loss: 0.3046 - val accuracy: 0.9278 - lr: 0.0010
2/2 [============== ] - 17s 6s/step - loss: 0.0050 - accuracy: 0.9986 - val_loss: 0.3205 - val_accuracy: 0.9333 - lr: 0.0010
Epoch 4: ReduceLROnPlateau reducing learning rate to 0.00010000000474974513.
2/2 [=========] - 17s 6s/step - loss: 0.0022 - accuracy: 0.9986 - val_loss: 0.4097 - val_accuracy: 0.9222 - lr: 0.0010
2/2 [=================] - 16s 6s/step - loss: 0.0013 - accuracy: 1.0000 - val_loss: 0.4021 - val_accuracy: 0.9222 - lr: 1.0000e-04
2/2 [=========] - 17s 7s/step - loss: 5.5192e-04 - accuracy: 1.0000 - val_loss: 0.3975 - val_accuracy: 0.9222 - lr: 1.0000e-04
Epoch 7/20
2/2 [================== ] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.0018 - accuracy: 1.0000
Epoch 7: ReduceLROnPlateau reducing learning rate to 1.0000000474974514e-05.
2/2 [==========] - 175 6s/step - loss: 0.0018 - accuracy: 1.0000 - val loss: 0.3799 - val accuracy: 0.9278 - lr: 1.0000e-04
2/2 [===========] - 18s 7s/step - loss: 0.0016 - accuracy: 1.0000 - val_loss: 0.3786 - val_accuracy: 0.9278 - lr: 1.0000e-05
2/2 [===============] - 17s 7s/step - loss: 0.0016 - accuracy: 1.0000 - val_loss: 0.3770 - val_accuracy: 0.9333 - lr: 1.0000e-05
Epoch 10: ReduceLROnPlateau reducing learning rate to 1.0000000656873453e-06.
2/2 [==========] - 175 6s/step - loss: 0.0066 - accuracy: 0.9986 - val_loss: 0.3746 - val_accuracy: 0.9278 - lr: 1.0000e-05
2/2 [===============] - 16s 6s/step - loss: 0.0035 - accuracy: 0.9986 - val_loss: 0.3744 - val_accuracy: 0.9278 - lr: 1.0000e-06
2/2 [==========] - 17s 6s/step - loss: 0.0017 - accuracy: 1.0000 - val_loss: 0.3742 - val_accuracy: 0.9278 - lr: 1.0000e-06
2/2 [========= ] - ETA: 0s - loss: 0.0011 - accuracy: 1.0000
Epoch 13: ReduceLROnPlateau reducing learning rate to 1e-06.
2/2 [==========] - 175 6s/step - loss: 0.0010 - accuracy: 1.0000 - val_loss: 0.3739 - val_accuracy: 0.9278 - lr: 1.0000e-06
2/2 [=========] - 17s 7s/step - loss: 5.7488e-04 - accuracy: 1.0000 - val_loss: 0.3737 - val_accuracy: 0.9278 - lr: 1.0000e-06
2/2 [==========] - 17s 6s/step - loss: 0.0045 - accuracy: 0.9972 - val loss: 0.3735 - val accuracy: 0.9278 - lr: 1.0000e-06
2/2 [==========] - 175 7s/step - loss: 7.8765e-04 - accuracy: 1.0000 - val_loss: 0.3734 - val_accuracy: 0.9278 - lr: 1.0000e-06
2/2 [===============] - 17s 6s/step - loss: 0.0016 - accuracy: 1.0000 - val_loss: 0.3733 - val_accuracy: 0.9278 - lr: 1.0000e-06
2/2 [==========] - 175 6s/step - loss: 0.0044 - accuracy: 0.9972 - val_loss: 0.3731 - val_accuracy: 0.9278 - lr: 1.0000e-06
2/2 [==========] - 175 6s/step - loss: 0.0039 - accuracy: 0.9986 - val_loss: 0.3729 - val_accuracy: 0.9278 - lr: 1.0000e-06
Test Loss = 0.37286147475242615 Test Accuracy = 0.9277777671813965
```







Phase 1 CNN Model Before Modification




Learning Rate



Confusion Matrix





Summarize and Analyze Everything

In the preprocessing phase, we augmented the data by:

- Randomly shift images horizontally and vertically.
- Randomly zoom.
- Randomly flip images horizontally and vertically.

Thus we have increased the number of data images.

We used two methods to improve the results of the first phase:

First: Three new models were used, but all of them led to poorly accurate results.

Second: Modifying the parameters:

- We changed the epochs parameter in the CNN model.
- Added improvements to obtain more effective model training, better convergence.
- Improved generalization performance, which leads to more accurate and reliable models.

The accuracy result of our project was improved up to 92%.

