03-B A QMRT

A Quick Multidimensional Data Modeling Run-Through (QMRT)

- from the article, "A Quick Multidimensional Modeling Run-Through", Haug (2011)
 - this works through a "not-a-real-world" example
 - has <u>No</u> Prescription for integration across MDMs
 - I recommend you use this approach for your M2 Project activities, but you are free to use any other approach that works for you...
 - I suggest you look at the MDM examples in the Kimball books (required and optional) if you want further practice

- this is not really a formal approach as much as my informal description of the common steps taken by many different sources...
 - no real "name" for the approach
 - similar to Kimball's approach but somewhat different
 - similar to traditional OLTP / ERD approaches
 - eight basic steps
 - better suited to our project, examples, and exams than for the real world...
- we will list the steps and then look at each step a little more closely

- 1. Identify Business Process or Business Area
- 2. Identify and Classify Important Concepts
- 3. Further Classify Concepts from #2 as either:
 - FACTs, DATTs, DIMs, etc.
- 4. Identify Grain for Desired Analysis

- 5. Define High-level (coarse) Contextual Detail Grouping [DIMs]
- 6. Define Lower-level (fine) Contextual Detail Groupings [HIERs, LVLs, and PROPs]
- 7. Identify Slowly Changing Dimensions (SCD) and choose strategies for handling them .. Then re-evaluate model.
- 8. Look at OLTP sources for any additional "good" **FACTs, DATTs, DIMs, HIERs, LVLs, etc.**

- 1. Identify Business Process or Business Area
 - usually we know this already, it is merely a formality to document it.
 - Examples:
 - Customer makes a Purchase
 - Sales transaction at a Point of Sale
 - Company makes a Shipment
 - only One Process / Area per Star Schema
 - repeat these 8 steps for each Star Schema
 - Document and capture this in a usable format!

2. Identify Important Concepts / Aspects

- don't worry about levels of abstraction yet
- don't worry about "is this a fact or not?" yet
- don't worry about "where does this go?" yet
- if the concept can "take on a value directly"
 - determine numeric/text
 - determine discrete/continuous
 - determine bounded/unbounded
- we can clarify / complete this analysis in step #3 if necessary
- Document and capture this in a usable format!

2. Identify Important Concepts / Aspects

Example Format -A

#	Cotogory Nome	Concept Name	Concept Type DIM / HIER / LVL	Туре	N	С	В	0	Notes
#	Category Name	Concept Name	MKEY / MNAM / MPROP / FACT	Instance or Larger Concept	—or— T	—or— D	—or— U	—or— K	this can contain any notes you want to add
1	Product	Make	MPROP	or Larger Correcpt	Ť	D	В	K	Example only
2	Product	Packrat			T	D	В	K	Delete the contents
3									If you Fill Down or Copy / Paste
4									Then the Data Validation
5									Will also be available
6									for new rows
7									You can also go to
8									Data->Validation
9									And Change the Validation
10									Details to match what
11									You want to use
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									

2. Identify Important Concepts / Aspects

Example Format -B

			Type	Numeric	Continuous	Bounded	Observed	
#	Category	Concept	or	or	or	or	or	Notes
		-	Instance	Text	Discrete	Unbounded	Knowable	
1	Product	Make	Type	Text	Discrete	Bounded	Knowable	Example only
2	Product	Packrat	Instance	Text	Discrete	Bounded	Knowable	Delete the contents
3								If you Fill Down or Copy / Paste
4								Then the Data Validation
5								Will also be available
6								for new rows
7								You can also go to
8								Data->Validation
9								And Change the Validation
10								Details to match what
11								You want to use
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20				-	_	-		

or create your own format!

- 3. Classify as Observed / Analyzed Data or Contextual Detail
 - should be fairly automatic after steps #1 and #2
 - does not mean we should "stop thinking critically"
 - it is ok to think about AGGs, but AGGs are not FACTs and do not really belong here...
 - obviously this step combined with #2 allows us to categorize concepts as FACTs, DATTs, or "larger concepts"
 - Document and capture this in a usable format!

4. Identify Grain for Desired Analysis

- often refined across multiple steps / iterations
- IOW, we probably need to redefine the grain as we change the DIMs, LVLs, etc. in the MDM
- our Goal in this step is to use as **Explicit** language as possible when identifying the grain
 - for example saying:

"per Minute, per SKU, per Store_ID" is **BETTER THAN** saying:

"by time, product, and location"

Document and capture this in a usable format!

- 5. Define High-level (coarse) Contextual Detail Grouping [DIMs]
 - similar to the way we differentiate Attribute Types and Entity Types in traditional ERD modeling
 - sometimes there will be synonyms here
 - we are **GROUPING** the attributes defined in Step#3
 - **NOT** inventing new **DIMs** / **DATTs** out of thin air!
 - each DATT must be in one and only one DIM
 - each DIM must have at least one DATT
 - each DIM potentially changes the grain from Step#4
 - IOW, revisit Step#4 after this is completed
 - Document and capture this in a usable format!

- 6. Define Lower-level (fine) Contextual Detail Groupings [hierarchies, levels, and member properties]
 - this is similar to the traditional modeling analysis:
 - ERD Attribute Types into Attribute Groups
 - EERD Entity Types into Sub Types and Super Types
 - Functional Dependencies and Normalization
 - each **DIM** has one or more **HIER**
 - each HIER has one or more LVL
 - each LVL has one or more DATT
 - each DATT is in one and only one LVL in a HIER
 - each DATT is in each and every HIER
 - Document and capture this in a usable format!

- 7. Identify Slowly Changing Dimensions (SCD) and choose strategies for handling them ..

 Then re-evaluate model.
 - Document and capture this in a usable format!
 - Document the implications too!
 - revisit Step #4
 - reconsider Step #5 & #6
 - IOW, consider if DIMs need to be merged or split (e.g. Mini-Dimensions)
 - if they do, revisit Step #4, #5, #6, and #7 **YET AGAIN!**

- 8. Look at OLTP sources for any additional "good" **FACTs, DATTs, DIMs, HIERs, LVLs, etc.**
 - but don't go overboard here.
 - be careful! Consider the:
 - Grain
 - Additivity
 - Creeping Featurism
 - Creeping OLTP'isms
 - depending upon what you include
 - Revisit Steps #2, #3, #4, #5, #6, & #7!

