

Multiojective Decomposition-based Mallows models Estimation of Distribution Algorithm. A case of study for Permutation Flowshop Scheduling Problem

Murilo Zangari^{a,*}, Alexander Mendiburu^b, Roberto Santana^c, Aurora Pozo^a

^aComputer Science Department, Federal University of Paraná (UFPR), Brazil. PO 19081, ZIP Code: 81531-970, Curitiba, Brazil

^bIntelligent Systems Group, Department of Computer Architecture and Technology, University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU), Paseo Manuel de Lardizabal 1, 20080 San Sebastián, Guipúzcoa, Spain

^cIntelligent Systems Group, Department of Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence, University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU), Paseo Manuel de Lardizabal 1, 20080 San Sebastián, Guipúzcoa, Spain

1. Supplementary results

Table 1 present the average *HV* results obtained by MOEA/D and MEDA/D-MK for the 110 Taillard test instances optimizing the objectives Total Flowtime (TFT), and the makespan (C_{max}). For each algorithm configuration and problem instance, we have executed 10 independent runs. Next, we have used the Kruskal-Wallis statistical test. The Kruskal-Wallis test is the main statistical method used in the determination if two samples are from the same population, which is an alternative to the one-way independent-samples Analysis of Variance (ANOVA). Therefore, we applied the Kruskal-Wallis test (at 5% significance level) to check if the results obtained have a statistical difference. Next, the *post-hoc* test *Nemenyi* is applied to check (if they have achieved significant differences) which one(s) have produced the best results. Thus, the best ranked algorithm(s) is(are) highlighted in boldface.

Table 1: Average normalized HV results

Taillard Instance	Weighted Sum		Tchebycheff	
	MOEA/D	MEDA/D-MK	MOEA/D	MEDA/D-MK
Ta_001	0.9020	0.9367	0.8722	0.9276
Ta_002	0.6969	0.7767	0.7280	0.7743
Ta_003	0.7602	0.9107	0.7111	0.8775
Ta_004	0.8541	0.9077	0.8412	0.8944
Ta_005	0.8005	0.8169	0.7440	0.7560
Ta_006	0.7015	0.7371	0.7344	0.7440
Ta_007	0.7619	0.9393	0.7312	0.7681
Ta_008	0.8893	0.9442	0.8256	0.9002
Ta_009	0.7704	0.8242	0.6999	0.7811
Ta_010	0.8058	0.8736	0.7637	0.8116
Ta_011	0.7366	0.8338	0.6702	0.7757
Ta_012	0.7140	0.8043	0.6290	0.7478

Continued on next page

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: murilo.zangari@gmail.com (Murilo Zangari), alexander.mendiburu@ehu.es (Alexander Mendiburu), roberto.santana@ehu.es (Roberto Santana), aurora@inf.ufpr.br (Aurora Pozo)

Table 1 – continued from previous page

Taillard Instance	<i>Weighted Sum</i>		<i>Tchebycheff</i>	
	MOEA/D	MEDA/D-MK	MOEA/D	MEDA/D-MK
Ta_013	0.8347	0.8926	0.6708	0.8009
Ta_014	0.7929	0.8457	0.7121	0.8012
Ta_015	0.7782	0.8668	0.6966	0.8572
Ta_016	0.8290	0.8830	0.7929	0.8553
Ta_017	0.8137	0.8487	0.7704	0.7828
Ta_018	0.8353	0.8925	0.8086	0.9073
Ta_019	0.8345	0.8923	0.7302	0.8448
Ta_020	0.8247	0.9035	0.7432	0.8248
Ta_021	0.6278	0.6860	0.5342	0.6794
Ta_022	0.8160	0.8647	0.6870	0.8121
Ta_023	0.7940	0.8504	0.6598	0.7377
Ta_024	0.8768	0.9357	0.8710	0.9234
Ta_025	0.7830	0.8561	0.6669	0.6970
Ta_026	0.8183	0.8762	0.7463	0.8060
Ta_027	0.7607	0.8578	0.7194	0.8262
Ta_028	0.8082	0.8522	0.6665	0.7503
Ta_029	0.8017	0.8405	0.7442	0.8279
Ta_030	0.7597	0.8500	0.6584	0.7957
Ta_031	0.9057	0.9509	0.8853	0.9178
Ta_032	0.8075	0.8885	0.6935	0.7586
Ta_033	0.9076	0.9589	0.7668	0.8440
Ta_034	0.8505	0.9401	0.6435	0.7883
Ta_035	0.9184	0.9763	0.7974	0.9369
Ta_036	0.8729	0.9330	0.6318	0.7317
Ta_037	0.8334	0.9222	0.7542	0.8375
Ta_038	0.8726	0.8955	0.8630	0.9198
Ta_039	0.7799	0.8972	0.5733	0.6997
Ta_040	0.9010	0.9593	0.7914	0.9082
Ta_041	0.7539	0.8701	0.6074	0.6836
Ta_042	0.6859	0.8281	0.5166	0.6305
Ta_043	0.6902	0.8274	0.5331	0.6028
Ta_044	0.8043	0.8786	0.6765	0.7206
Ta_045	0.7657	0.8601	0.5883	0.6542
Ta_046	0.7645	0.8680	0.5779	0.6524
Ta_047	0.7819	0.8725	0.6172	0.6571
Ta_048	0.7800	0.8497	0.5948	0.6572
Ta_049	0.7795	0.8431	0.6390	0.6996
Ta_050	0.7472	0.8507	0.5243	0.6008
Ta_051	0.7544	0.8551	0.5427	0.6306
Ta_052	0.7552	0.8110	0.5567	0.5448
Ta_053	0.7375	0.8282	0.5507	0.6509
Ta_054	0.7240	0.8767	0.5419	0.6592
Ta_055	0.6776	0.7781	0.4816	0.5904
Ta_056	0.7547	0.8461	0.5525	0.6542
Ta_057	0.7155	0.7632	0.4625	0.6252
Ta_058	0.7552	0.8996	0.6160	0.6876
Ta_059	0.7243	0.8311	0.6033	0.6560

Continued on next page

Table 1 – continued from previous page

Taillard Instance	<i>Weighted Sum</i>		<i>Tchebycheff</i>	
	MOEA/D	MEDA/D-MK	MOEA/D	MEDA/D-MK
Ta_060	0.8181	0.9186	0.7115	0.7778
Ta_061	0.9676	0.9890	0.7784	0.8738
Ta_062	0.8840	0.9041	0.8442	0.8611
Ta_063	0.7648	0.8273	0.6537	0.7101
Ta_064	0.9257	0.9477	0.7808	0.8772
Ta_065	0.9368	0.9655	0.8312	0.9007
Ta_066	0.8028	0.8678	0.7274	0.7580
Ta_067	0.8737	0.9009	0.6841	0.7970
Ta_068	0.7855	0.8625	0.5837	0.7111
Ta_069	0.6688	0.7139	0.6573	0.7187
Ta_070	0.8608	0.8945	0.7112	0.8134
Ta_071	0.7616	0.8283	0.5507	0.6120
Ta_072	0.8054	0.8733	0.6517	0.6811
Ta_073	0.8426	0.8989	0.5272	0.6109
Ta_074	0.7137	0.8223	0.5103	0.5889
Ta_075	0.7476	0.8168	0.5193	0.6018
Ta_076	0.8257	0.9061	0.4714	0.5998
Ta_077	0.7615	0.8201	0.4942	0.5613
Ta_078	0.7622	0.8359	0.4558	0.5715
Ta_079	0.6975	0.7656	0.4514	0.5233
Ta_080	0.6758	0.7021	0.6256	0.7003
Ta_081	0.7755	0.8519	0.4619	0.5377
Ta_082	0.7195	0.8296	0.3618	0.4626
Ta_083	0.6744	0.7889	0.4346	0.5239
Ta_084	0.7114	0.8095	0.4052	0.4657
Ta_085	0.7533	0.8610	0.4838	0.5634
Ta_086	0.7373	0.8349	0.4327	0.4724
Ta_087	0.7429	0.8115	0.4567	0.4783
Ta_088	0.7171	0.8564	0.4414	0.5152
Ta_089	0.7674	0.8891	0.5681	0.5549
Ta_090	0.8332	0.8915	0.5853	0.6159
Ta_091	0.9553	0.9663	0.5965	0.6934
Ta_092	0.8162	0.8747	0.5612	0.5728
Ta_093	0.7256	0.7531	0.5720	0.5916
Ta_094	0.7837	0.8160	0.6746	0.6467
Ta_095	0.8969	0.9153	0.4887	0.5308
Ta_096	0.8871	0.8790	0.5846	0.6101
Ta_097	0.7482	0.7618	0.5677	0.6080
Ta_098	0.7778	0.8102	0.4530	0.5061
Ta_099	0.9074	0.9613	0.5325	0.6161
Ta_100	0.5293	0.5434	0.4528	0.4627
Ta_101	0.7336	0.7892	0.4480	0.4422
Ta_102	0.7577	0.8340	0.3980	0.4649
Ta_103	0.7396	0.8493	0.4586	0.4931
Ta_104	0.7635	0.8410	0.4473	0.5238
Ta_105	0.6989	0.7957	0.3811	0.4438
Ta_106	0.7797	0.8316	0.4561	0.4811

Continued on next page

Table 1 – continued from previous page

Taillard Instance	<i>Weighted Sum</i>		<i>Tchebycheff</i>	
	MOEA/D	MEDA/D-MK	MOEA/D	MEDA/D-MK
Ta_107	0.7402	0.8024	0.3574	0.4036
Ta_108	0.6983	0.7760	0.4738	0.4960
Ta_109	0.7441	0.8242	0.3409	0.3954
Ta_110	0.7056	0.8095	0.4271	0.4321

The results in Table 1 show that, overall, MEDA/D-MK using the scalarizing function *Weighted Sum* (MEDA/D-MK^w) obtain the best results. MEDA/D-MK^w significantly outperforms the other three algorithm configurations in 78 of the 110 test instances. As Miettinen [?] argued, the *Weighted sum* approach is good at convex (concave) bi-objectives problems, while *Tchebycheff* approach is useful when the problem is non-convex. This is also confirmed in our results, in which *Weighted sum* have outperformed *Tchebycheff*. Therefore, due to these results, we have used the *Weighted sum* for the remaining experimental studies.

Moreover, these results contemplate that, MEDA/D-MK significantly outperforms MOEA/D (using the tailored genetic operators for the objectives TFT and C_{max} in most of the test instances.

The execution time analysis was performed on a PC with Intel Xeon E5-620 2.4 GHz processor and 12 GB memory. Table 2 indicates that MEDA/D-MK consumes more CPU time than MOEA/D. This is because the Mallows Model EDA components (e.g., learning and sampling steps) involves more computational overhead than crossover and mutation operators. Regarding that, the computational cost of the sampling in the MEDA/D-MK is $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$. This should explain why the difference between both algorithms increases as the problem size increases.

Table 2: Average CPU time (in seconds) used by MOEA/D^T and MEDA/D^T

Taillard Instance	MOEA/D		MEDA/D-MK	
	mean	std dev	mean	std dev
Ta_001	73.05	8.83	93.15	2.84
Ta_002	72.19	6.59	92.33	1.46
Ta_003	79.95	4.34	91.09	4.05
Ta_004	73.04	5.00	92.89	3.45
Ta_005	74.96	3.14	94.97	4.18
Ta_006	75.73	7.71	91.86	2.42
Ta_007	79.01	3.29	93.39	2.14
Ta_008	72.07	5.40	91.31	2.20
Ta_009	71.93	5.60	93.37	4.30
Ta_010	72.37	4.42	92.02	3.78
Ta_011	89.59	6.89	101.42	1.88
Ta_012	83.29	5.76	102.44	3.13
Ta_013	81.65	3.54	96.30	5.18
Ta_014	84.19	1.60	103.58	2.19
Ta_015	85.60	2.93	103.31	1.50
Ta_016	87.04	3.31	102.10	2.60
Ta_017	87.01	4.94	97.61	3.42
Ta_018	83.59	1.42	98.93	1.70
Ta_019	80.57	3.50	103.25	2.09
Ta_020	88.45	2.90	101.50	2.23
Ta_021	100.51	3.47	117.04	3.62
Ta_022	99.47	2.23	118.18	1.90

Continued on next page

Table 2 – continued from previous page

Taillard Instance	MOEA/D		MEDA/D-MK	
	mean	std dev	mean	std dev
Ta.023	100.36	3.12	121.18	2.53
Ta.024	101.67	2.25	117.09	2.45
Ta.025	105.48	3.75	117.78	2.34
Ta.026	103.58	2.74	115.64	2.25
Ta.027	101.43	2.56	119.57	3.51
Ta.028	99.38	4.37	118.92	3.08
Ta.029	100.44	4.75	118.43	2.62
Ta.030	105.53	3.81	116.99	2.37
Ta.031	217.35	6.43	303.42	5.98
Ta.032	228.03	5.97	312.20	2.89
Ta.033	224.24	6.10	307.18	7.81
Ta.034	221.43	5.89	305.71	4.49
Ta.035	223.08	3.24	309.09	4.70
Ta.036	225.31	6.49	310.94	3.52
Ta.037	222.35	3.13	306.17	4.75
Ta.038	221.72	7.12	309.08	4.10
Ta.039	228.40	6.00	305.58	8.07
Ta.040	223.07	2.63	305.41	4.81
Ta.041	271.91	3.51	368.68	4.41
Ta.042	279.66	6.22	366.26	3.21
Ta.043	277.87	2.01	366.54	2.19
Ta.044	278.06	5.95	366.01	6.25
Ta.045	278.01	4.37	366.33	4.98
Ta.046	282.20	9.51	369.12	5.05
Ta.047	275.67	4.01	362.17	4.25
Ta.048	279.91	3.59	368.40	2.97
Ta.049	279.47	4.64	367.16	4.00
Ta.050	276.11	9.23	367.18	8.11
Ta.051	389.90	3.72	480.24	2.74
Ta.052	393.41	3.12	482.20	2.18
Ta.053	389.67	4.35	478.65	2.48
Ta.054	389.59	4.45	476.73	3.43
Ta.055	399.29	5.85	480.22	4.41
Ta.056	394.70	5.63	481.69	5.48
Ta.057	394.38	5.64	477.36	6.18
Ta.058	392.12	5.31	477.43	3.46
Ta.059	396.09	7.70	478.83	4.29
Ta.060	391.73	3.22	477.30	8.15
Ta.061	548.77	6.86	892.75	9.54
Ta.062	564.76	14.99	880.62	9.46
Ta.063	547.39	6.97	883.68	8.30
Ta.064	549.89	8.52	892.55	13.22
Ta.065	561.64	12.25	867.95	10.37
Ta.066	552.11	5.90	869.71	12.62
Ta.067	549.52	5.24	889.50	5.13
Ta.068	557.51	9.90	874.56	15.09
Ta.069	547.54	6.34	884.94	5.10
Continued on next page				

Table 2 – continued from previous page

Taillard Instance	MOEA/D		MEDA/D-MK	
	mean	std ved	mean	std dev
Ta.070	550.07	9.65	880.50	3.91
Ta.071	775.07	6.93	1118.42	8.04
Ta.072	764.39	7.49	1106.47	6.80
Ta.073	782.00	7.33	1114.78	14.42
Ta.074	762.59	5.81	1114.07	8.07
Ta.075	787.13	9.43	1127.19	5.05
Ta.076	774.33	6.09	1110.00	6.41
Ta.077	772.64	6.65	1125.14	8.99
Ta.078	778.16	4.80	1119.39	10.38
Ta.079	780.24	5.43	1124.94	10.05
Ta.080	765.56	3.09	1123.50	5.14
Ta.081	1241.83	8.96	1586.35	9.08
Ta.082	1242.28	4.55	1586.54	9.09
Ta.083	1243.11	5.30	1598.08	9.31
Ta.084	1232.42	7.14	1573.39	7.75
Ta.085	1251.57	5.90	1589.51	10.26
Ta.086	1246.92	9.88	1585.96	6.85
Ta.087	1232.00	6.84	1575.23	10.91
Ta.088	1253.64	6.89	1593.02	9.83
Ta.089	1245.93	6.68	1586.87	11.24
Ta.090	1241.25	8.28	1587.45	8.55
Ta.091	2491.88	27.21	3789.63	25.26
Ta.092	2477.69	15.23	3760.98	22.98
Ta.093	2492.70	8.00	3786.04	14.15
Ta.094	2457.41	10.34	3787.36	41.81
Ta.095	2482.50	17.15	3749.81	22.27
Ta.096	2480.92	6.24	3739.61	13.52
Ta.097	2461.74	16.93	3792.48	24.49
Ta.098	2471.82	8.56	3731.21	19.35
Ta.099	2450.06	11.49	3724.39	11.58
Ta.100	2469.99	15.26	3754.15	19.43
Ta.101	4293.12	15.47	5639.96	24.15
Ta.102	4311.73	34.07	5584.47	20.77
Ta.103	4286.46	32.44	5571.16	20.14
Ta.104	4244.79	20.87	5544.95	32.86
Ta.105	4295.91	15.80	5600.41	25.71
Ta.106	4289.32	12.25	5578.47	23.18
Ta.107	4260.43	11.62	5582.55	15.94
Ta.108	4313.17	33.16	5597.05	28.62
Ta.109	4294.80	13.40	5614.86	29.65
Ta.110	3501.59	607.55	5562.85	23.67