

Peer Review

Team Member Conducting Review	Rolando Pacho
Team Member Being Reviewed	Qi Li (Murphy)

This team evaluation consists of seven performance dimensions, defined below. For each element of the seven dimensions, you will be asked to give a score between 1 and 10. A score of 1 indicates only 10% performance on the element (extremely poor), while a score of 10 indicates 100% performance on the element (excellent). The scores you give will be combined with those of other team members to provide a Team Profile Score for a member.

Quality of Work

	Meetings for ideas	- made rele	evant com	ments; sugg	ested new i	deas; asked	l others for	their views	; provided re	easons
(1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

2. Decisions - could interpret and analyze issues; could diagnose the problems; could offer strategic approaches and suggest what to do

\bigcirc	\bigotimes								
	2								



3.	Project de	liverables -	– content r	met all proje	ect requiren	nents; work	reflected p	orofessional	standards			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
4.	Team perf	ormance –	- noticeably	y affected d	ecision-mal	king that im	proved key	deliverable	S			
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	3	<u></u>	5	6	7	<u> </u>	9	(X) 10		
	Quantity of Work											
					Quantity o	f Work						
5.					ideas; took	f Work a fair share ed the proje		sibility to ma	ike sure all			
5.					ideas; took	a fair share		sibility to ma	ake sure all	© 10		
	members'	views wer	re heard an	nd the team A	ideas; took accomplish	a fair share ed the proje 6	ect	0	0			

7.	Project deliverables –	completed a	fair share of	project deliverables
٠.	i i oject deliverables	compicted a	Tall Silaic Of	project deliverables

Promptness of Work

8. Meetings – arrived on time and stayed for the entire meeting

9. Project deliverables -- completed assignments on time

Reliability

10. Meetings – attended all class and outside meetings; informed team if absence was necessary

11.	Communication	between	meetings -	responded to	email and	phone calls
	Communication	DCCVVCCII	111000111153	i coponaca to	Ciliali alla	prioric cans

\bigcirc	\bigotimes								
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

12. Project deliverables -- could be relied on to help solve problems; consistent follow through

\bigcirc	\bigotimes								
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Preparation

13. Meetings – read all relevant material prior to meeting; brought project materials to meetings

\bigcirc	$\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$								
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

14. Decisions – familiar with latest results and ready to offer relevant input on decisions

\bigcirc	\bigotimes								
					6				

15. Energy – displayed enthusiasm for the project, a positive mood, and appropriate humor

\bigcirc	\bigotimes								
								9	

Team Functioning

16. Organiza delivera		ped to orga	anize team	functioning	and accom	plishment c	of decisions	and project	t
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	3	<u></u>	5	6	7	8	9	(X) 10
17. Energy -	- displayed	d enthusias	m for the p	roject, a po	sitive mood	, and appro	priate hum	or	
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	3	<u></u>	5	6	7	8	9	(x) 10
18. Cohesio other m	-	l to build a pplauded s		nnection in	the team; c	offered supp	port and en	courageme	nt to
) 1	<u> </u>	3	<u></u>	5	6	7	х 8	9	10

19. Leadership – took initiative in moving the team to take the next step; fostered solutions during team

 \bigcirc

10

conflicts; kept the team on track during meetings; helped other members as needed



Overall Evaluation

20. I would welcome the opportunity to work with this member in another project team. I	would recommend
this person to anyone seeking a high performing team member.	

\bigcirc	$\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$	\subset							
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10