University of Pisa

PAD-FS

Leonardo Gazzarri February 8, 2017

CONTENTS

1	Introduction	2
2	Architecture	2
3	Design choices3.1 Membership and Failure Detection	3 3 4
4	Implementation4.1 Messages	5 5 6
5	Project Structure 5.1 core	6 7 8
6	User Guide 6.1 Installation	8 8 9 9
7	Tests 7.1 Server Service tests	9 9 10

1 Introduction

The *PAD-FS* is a simple distributed key-value data storage system, it is written using *Java 8* and uses *Maven* as build tool. It is *flat* (no hierarchies of directories), user authentication is not present and provides to the user a *client program* implementing a basic CLI interface for the following essential operations:

- get(key), returns to the user the value(s) associated to this key
- put(key,value), put in the system the association key-value
- **remove**(key), removes from the system the association key-value
- list(), returns to the user the set of keys for which exists a value in the system

2 ARCHITECTURE

The fundamental entities that build the system are the *client* and the *PAD-FS node*, an high level representation that shows how they build the system and in which way they interact with each other is shown in figure 2.1.




Figure 2.1: High-level representation of the architecture with four nodes, the client can communicate with every node using TCP

The *client* represents the front-end of the system and permits to the user to read/write items from/to the nodes. The communications client-node use TCP as transport protocol since it is a more reliable protocol than UDP for the Internet.

The *node* is responsible for managing the client requests and storing, versioning and replicating the data. The communications node-node use UDP as transport protocol in order to avoid the overhead of TCP and it is assumed a reliable network inside the cluster.

3 DESIGN CHOICES

PAD-FS is designed to be a distributed and *fault-tolerant* data storage, therefore node and network failures are expected and the system have to deal with them. This section will describe the main design choices that lies behind this faulty nature of our world and how these choices resolve some fault-connected problems (i.e., data inconsistency).

3.1 Membership and Failure Detection

For the membership and failure detection of the nodes is used a simple dynamic gossip protocol implemented as an anti-entropy protocol, i.e. each node regularly chooses another node at random and exchanges contents (its members' view) and resolving differences. Each exchanged message from a node p contains a timestamp information in order to signal its status to the other nodes, when the other nodes doesn't hear updates from x within some cleanup interval the node is considered dead.

3.2 REQUESTS' PROCESSING AND REPLICATION

The replication strategy adopted by *PAD-FS* is a variant of the *passive* one, each client can communicate with every node in order to read/write an item x but only one node (the primary server) can process the request for that item. If the node receiving a request is not the primary server for it, the node forwards the request to the considered primary server. A node individuates a primary server for a given item x through *consistent hashing* with virtual nodes, using the members' view computed by the gossip protocol in order to build the set of buckets. When a primary server fails, the election of another node as a primary is immediate. Figure 3.1 shows the actions taken by the client, the receiving node *Node1* and the primary server *Node4* for a generic client's request (put, get or remove) with key x.key.

After processing the request the primary server sends back the response to the client, eventually traversing the intermediate node (acting as a "proxy" between the client and the primary node) that has forwarded the request from the client. In the case of a remove the primary server multicasts the remove message to all its known members, the remove is handled like a write in the local store in order to manage cases for which a node is temporarily down.

The primary server updates the other nodes in background using a sort of push-pull anti-entropy protocol. A node P periodically picks up $at\ random$ an alive neighbor N and sends to it all the keys present in its local store in one or more push messages (in a single push message are carried multiple keys). For each received key, node N sends back to P

- a *pull* message asking for the value associated to the key if it is not present or not updated in *P*'s local store
- a *reply* message with *N*'s local value if its associated version is more recent than the one associated to the key in the message




Figure 3.1: High-level representation of how it is handled a request in PAD-FS. (1) user made a request, (2) client application builds a proper message and sends it to a node, (3) Node1 checks out who is the master for the key present in the message, (4) Node1 forwards to the primary node Node4 the message with a version, (5) Node4 processes the message updating its local store (in case of a remove it multicasts also to its neighbours the remove message), (6) the response is sent back to Node1, (7) Node1 sends back to the client the same response

When a node *P* receives a *pull* message for some keys from *N* it sends back to *N* one or more *reply* messages carrying the associated values for these keys.

When a node *P* receives a *reply* message for some keys it updates the proper entries in the local store if the carried value's versions are more recent of the local ones (or if the values are concurrent, but in this case both the values are kept).

At the end all the <key,value> pairs will be replicated in all the nodes of the system after some time, in other words the system *converges* after some time. The choice to send periodically to a random node **all** the keys it is good for a faster convergence of the system but it originates more internal traffic (more the keys, more the periodic push messages) and for a system with many nodes and big local stores this should be a overkill in terms of scalability and performances.

3.3 Conflict resolution

Conflicts between replicas are inevitable in a distributed system like *PAD-FS* and therefore it needs some form of conflict-resolution mechanism. Versioning is made by the use of *vector clocks* that facilitate data consistency and conflict-resolution since they are used in order to detect conflicts, meaning updates whose order cannot be determined. The chosen approach to handle these conflicts is to save all the conflicting version. When the client asks for a key that has multiple conflicting values the system returns all of these values.

4 IMPLEMENTATION

This section will describe some implementation details about the system referring to name classes listed in section 5. The basic idea of the implementation is to divide the system in "levels" (services), the *server level* handles the connections with the client, creating a short-living thread for each client request, the *storage level* handles in background the replication of items between nodes and the internal routing of the client messages in order to reach the primary nodes, the *membership level* handles in background membership and failure detection plus partitioning (primary server individuation service). Figure 4.1 shows the associations between some of the principal classes of the project.




Figure 4.1: UML Class Diagram of some of the principal classes of the project

4.1 MESSAGES

Messages are Java objects implementing Serializable interface and they are encapsulated into UDP packets in node-node communications or into TCP packets in client-node communications. Fields that are in common for each kind of message are the following:

- **status** field that identifies the response status (SUCCESS, NOT_FOUND, ERROR,...)
- **type** field that identifies how to process the message

The **type** field influences the successive fields of the message that are different for messages of different types.

4.2 EXECUTION OF CLIENT OPERATIONS

When at the server layer a node receives a client message, the local running ServerManager's instance spawns a new instance of ServerThread that handles the request by invoking the method deliverMessage of the local StorageService. The method returns immediately a response for a LIST-type message (a list of keys owned by the node), otherwise it eventually

forwards with UDP at the storage layer the received message to the primary node and then awaits for a response. The primary node for a key is determined using *consistent hashing* (see getCoordinator method in MembershipService). The thread designated to receive and handle messages at the storage layer is a running instance of StorageManager. When the primary node running instance of StorageManager receives the client message it spawns a ClientHandler instance handling the request, processing it and sending back a response. When the node receives a response by the primary node it returns the response to the server layer that in turn will send back it to the client via the open TCP connection.

4.3 STORAGE PROTOCOL

The replica manager (running instance of ReplicaManager) periodically picks a node from the members list and sends to it all the keys present in the local store (see the runnable UpdateHandler). The keys are sent with one or more PushMessages at the storage layer, for each key there is a list of vector clocks that indicate the times (more conflicting versions can be present) in which the key has been put/removed in/from the system. The storage manager (running instance of StorageManager), as said before, is the thread designated to receive all the messages at the storage layer and when it receives a message, it checks its type and calls the proper handler.

- PushMessage triggers the execution of a PushHandler, this handler for each received
 message's key compares the associated vector clock with the local vector clock stored
 for the same key in the local store. For the *happening after* message's keys, the handler
 builds one or more PullMessages in order to get their effective values, for the *hap- pening before* message's keys the handler builds one or more ReplyMessages in order
 to acknowledge the push's originating node that exist newer versions for some keys.
- PullMessage triggers the execution of a PullHandler, this sends back to the originating node the keys with lists of versioned values through ReplyMessages.
- ReplyMessage triggers the execution of a ReplyHandler, this updates the local store with the message's value if its version is newer than the local existing one. If the version is concurrent the message's value is appended with the existing ones.
- PutMessage, GetMessage and RemoveMessage trigger the execution of a ClientHandler that processes the message in function of the type, in particular it calls the method deliverMessage of the local storage service.

5 Project Structure

PAD-FS is structured in two sub-projects, **core** and **cli** that respectively implements the *node* and the *client*.

5.1 CORE

It contains the code needed to implement the *PAD-FS node*, the structure of the system, the communications and it defines the message types.

- **common**, contains utility classes and the interface IService. java that defines the fundamental methods start, shutdown, isRunning
- **membership**, contains the interfaces and the classes that implements the membership and failure detection
 - Member. java, defines a member in a cluster
 - MembershipService.java, implements a service that provides methods to get the alive members of the cluster and to get a coordinator (the primary server) for a key
- **message**, contains the classes that implement different message types (*e.g.* PushMessage, PullMessage, GetMessage, PutMessage)
- **server**, contains the interfaces and the classes that implement the server level of the system
 - ServerManager. java, implements the server as a thread that listens for new connections and for each connection it spawns an instance of the ServerThread class
 - ServerThread.java, implements an handler for a client's connection and it uses methods offered by the StorageService class in order to provide the a response
- **storage**, contains the interfaces and the classes that implement the storage level of the system
 - local, contains the interfaces and the classes implementing the local store, meaning the local database in which <key,value> pairs are stored
 - runnables, contains the handlers by the StorageManager for each type of message
 - StorageService.java, implements the method deliverMessage offered to the server level in order to provide a service and starts the instances of StorageManager and ReplicaManager
 - StorageManager.java, implements a thread that receive routed client messages or the ones used for the replication protocol and for each type of message call the proper handler
 - ReplicaManager. java, implements a thread that periodically sends push messages to a randomly chosen neighbor node
- transport, contains classes that implement the transport level of the system

- Transport. java, implements send and receive methods and update properly local and message's vector clocks
- Node.java, implements the node service of the *PAD-FS* system as a *container* of different services (MembershipService, ServerService, StorageService)

5.2 CLI

It contains the code needed to implement the *client* of *PAD-FS* system. The principal implemented classes are:

- Client.java, implements the client request and the communication with a server node
- ClientRunnable. java, parses the command line and builds a proper client request

6 USER GUIDE

In order to install and use *PAD-FS* the requirement is to have installed *Java 8* on the running machine. The system can be used either in a multi-threaded version in the same machine (pay attention on binding different local addresses to the threads) or in a cluster.

6.1 Installation

In order to generate the jar executable files for the client and the servers the user can run the following commands:

- git clone https://github.com/Murray1991/PAD-FS.git
- cd PAD-FS
- mvn clean package

After the installation steps all the jar executable files will be in the ./target directory.

6.2 RUN THE SERVER

The syntax to run the server node is the following:

• java -jar target/pad-fs.jar [-b <binding-addr>] [-c <config-file>] [-p <path for the local DB>]

If the binding address is not provided the program will use the address associated to the hostname of the machine (check out your /etc/hosts). If the configuration file is not provided the program will check ./pad_fs.config file if present. If the path for the DB is not provided the program will use/create the DB in current directory

6.3 Run the client

The syntax to run the client is the following:

```
• java -jar target/pad-fs-cli.jar -p <key> [<value>] | -g <key> | -r <key> | -l [-d <dest-addr> | -c <config-file>] [-o <output>]
```

The options -p, -g, -r and -1 respectively refer to the basic operation put, get, remove and list. The option -o specifies the output file in which to store a get response, if it's not provided the response's value will be printed in the standard output. If the destination address or the configuration file is not provided, the program will check ./nodes.conf, a file in which are stored the IP addresses of the PAD-FS nodes.

6.4 Configuration files

In order to run both the client both the server node, configuration files are needed (for the client is optional but recommended, for the server is mandatory). Examples of the configuration files for the client and a server are respectively **nodes.conf** for the client and **pad_fs.conf** for the server, both present in the root directory of the project.

7 TESTS

The tests are located under *core/src/test* directory and covers the main service classes used in *PAD-FS*.

7.1 SERVER SERVICE TESTS

The server layer of the system is tested in ServerServiceTest.java, this file provides two test methods that setup a server service thread (instance of ServerService) and check if it is able to handle client requests. The two test methods differ in the way these requests are generated, in sequentialTest are generated sequentially while in threadTest are concurrent. The server layer in both tests processes the client requests passing them to a dummy service (DummyService) implementing the IStorageService. The dummy service simply puts a delay in the implemented deliverMessage method.

7.2 Membership Service tests

The membership and failure detection service is tested in MembershipServiceTest.java, this file provides several test methods in order to check if the gossip protocol has been configured correctly. All the test methods setup a multi-threaded environment of four MembershipService running instances.

• The method gossipTest checks after some delay time if each membership service knows exactly three neighbors.

- The method gossipTestWithFailures tests if multiple failures are detected by the alive membership service by turning off two of them, moreover it tests if they are added back in the membership list if they are restarted.
- The method coordinatorTest tests the MembershipService's method getCoordinator in different situations.

7.3 STORAGE SERVICE TESTS

The storage protocol is tested in StorageServiceTest.java, this file provides several test methods in order to check if client messages are correctly handled by the node, if the antientropy protocol for replication works properly, if the membership and failure detection service is correctly integrated and how the system behave in the presence of updates or removes for some keys. All the tests setup a multi-threaded environment of four StorageService running instances. Failures are simulated by turning off the membership service of a node.

- The method testWithoutUpdates puts some <key,value> pairs at random nodes and check after some delay time if all the pairs are present replicated in each node. The method
- The method testWithUpdates recall testWithoutUpdates and updates some of the existing keys. Then wait some time and check if the updates have been correctly replicated in all the nodes.
- The method testWithFailuresAndUpdates basically turns off the membership service of a node and makes updates on the existing keys. Then the membership service is restarted and it checks after some delay time if the updates have been correctly replicated.
- The method concurrencyAndRemoveTest turns off for each storage service its membership service, then after some delay time it delivers messages with same keys but different values to two different nodes. Then it restarts the membership services of all nodes and after some delay time it checks (sending get messages and checking if the responses are the expected ones) if both the conflicting versions for a same key are correctly stored in each node. Then the test continues removing some keys from the system (sending remove messages to a node) and checks by sending get messages for the same keys if their responses have status equal to Message.NOT_FOUND.
- The method removeTest tests the situation in which a key is updated in all the nodes, the primary node for the key goes down and the key is removed.