

# Equivalence classes of mesh patterns with a Dominating Pattern

Murray Tannock (murray14@ru.is)



HÁSKÓLINN Í REYKJAVÍK  
REYKJAVÍK UNIVERSITY

May 25, 2016

# Outline

## 1. Introduction

- ▶ Permutations
- ▶ Classical Permutation Patterns
- ▶ Mesh Patterns

## 2. Coincidence Classes

- ▶ Coincidence
- ▶ Summary of Experimental Results
- ▶ Dominating Pattern Rules
- ▶ Special Cases

## 3. Wilf-equivalences

- ▶ Wilf-equivalence
- ▶ Set Partitions
- ▶ Generating Functions

## 4. Conclusions and Future Work

- ▶ Conclusions
- ▶ Future Work
  - ▶ Extensions of rules
  - ▶ Equivalences with different dominating patterns

# Permutations

A *permutation* is a *bijection*,  $\pi$ , from the set  $\llbracket n \rrbracket = \{1, \dots, n\}$  to itself. More intuitively “A *permutation of  $n$  objects* is an arrangement of  $n$  distinct objects in a row” (Knuth [1]).

We write permutations in *one-line notation*, writing the entries of the permutation in order

$$\pi = \pi(1)\pi(2) \dots \pi(n)$$

## Example

The 6 permutations on  $\llbracket 3 \rrbracket$  are

123, 132, 213, 231, 312, 321

We can display a permutation in a *plot* to give a graphical representation. We plot the points  $(i, \pi(i))$  in a Cartesian coordinate system.




Figure: Plot of the permutation 231

In this setting we call the elements of the permutations *points*. The set of all permutations of length  $n$  is  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  and has size  $n!$ . The set of all permutations is  $\mathfrak{S} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{S}_i$ .

# Classical Permutation Patterns

*Classical permutation patterns* capture many interesting combinatorial objects and properties.

## Definition (Order Isomorphism)

Two substrings  $\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots\alpha_n$  and  $\beta_1\beta_2\cdots\beta_n$  are said to be *order isomorphic* if  $\alpha_r < \alpha_s$  if and only if  $\beta_r < \beta_s$ .

## Definition

A permutation  $\pi$  is said to *contain* the *classical permutation pattern*  $\sigma$  (denoted  $\sigma \preceq \pi$ ) if there is some subsequence  $i_1 i_2 \cdots i_k$  such that the sequence  $\pi(i_1)\pi(i_2) \cdots \pi(i_k)$  is order isomorphic to  $\sigma(1)\sigma(2) \cdots \sigma(k)$ .

If  $\pi$  does not contain  $\sigma$  we say that  $\pi$  *avoids*  $\sigma$ .

The set of permutations of length  $n$  avoiding a pattern  $\sigma$  is denoted as  $\text{Av}_n(\sigma)$  and

$$\text{Av}(\sigma) = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \text{Av}_i(\sigma)$$

## Example

The permutation  $\pi = 24153$  contains the pattern  $\sigma = 231$




Figure: Plot of the permutation 24153 with an occurrence of 231 indicated

# Mesh Patterns

*Mesh patterns* are a natural extension of classical permutation patterns.

## Definition


A *mesh pattern* is a pair

$$p = (\tau, R) \text{ with } \tau \in \mathfrak{S}_k \text{ and } R \subseteq [0, k] \times [0, k].$$

We say that  $\tau$  is the *underlying classical pattern* of  $p$ .



## Example

The pattern  $p = (213, \{(0, 1), (0, 2), (0, 3), (1, 0), (1, 1), (2, 1), (2, 2)\}) =$   is contained in  $\pi = 34215$ .






Figure: An occurrence of  $p$  in  $\pi$

## Example

The pattern  $q = (21, \{(0, 1), (0, 2), (1, 0), (1, 1)\}) =$   is contained in  $p = (213, \{(0, 1), (0, 2), (0, 3), (1, 0), (1, 1), (2, 1), (2, 2)\}) =$   as a subpattern.




Figure: An occurrence of  $q$  in  $p$

# Outline

## 1. Introduction

- ▶ Permutations
- ▶ Classical Permutation Patterns
- ▶ Mesh Patterns

## 2. Coincidence Classes

- ▶ Coincidence
- ▶ Summary of Experimental Results
- ▶ Dominating Pattern Rules
- ▶ Special Cases

## 3. Wilf-equivalences

- ▶ Wilf-equivalence
- ▶ Set Partitions
- ▶ Generating Functions

## 4. Conclusions and Future Work

- ▶ Conclusions
- ▶ Future Work
  - ▶ Extensions of rules
  - ▶ Equivalences with different dominating patterns

# Coincidence

## Definition

Two mesh patterns are said to be *coincident* if they are avoided by the same set of permutations at every length.

Distinct classical patterns can never be coincident.

Coincidences of mesh patterns of length 2 are classified.

Aim to establish rules that classify coincidences when we have one mesh pattern and one classical pattern.

We call the classical pattern a *dominating pattern*.

# Experimental Results

	Dominating Pattern			
	231		321	
	12	21	12	21
No Dominating rule	220	220	220	220
First Dominating rule	85	43	220	29
Second Dominating rule	59	39	220	29
Third Dominating rule	56	39	220	29
Experimental class size	56	39	213	29

**Table:** Coincidence class number reduction by application of Dominating rules

# Experimental Results

	Dominating Pattern			
	231		321	
	12	21	12	21
No Dominating rule	220	220	220	220
Experimental class size	56	39	213	29

**Table:** Coincidence class number reduction by application of Dominating rules

# First Dominating rule


## Proposition: First Dominating rule

*Given two mesh patterns  $m_1 = (\sigma, R_1)$  and  $m_2 = (\sigma, R_2)$ , and a dominating classical pattern  $\pi = (\pi, \emptyset)$  such that  $|\pi| \leq |\sigma| + 1$ , the sets  $\text{Av}(\{\pi, m_1\})$  and  $\text{Av}(\{\pi, m_2\})$  are coincident if*

1.  $R_1 \triangle R_2 = \{(a, b)\}$
2.  $\pi \preceq \text{add\_point}(\sigma, (a, b), \emptyset)$

## Example

The following two patterns are coincident in  $\text{Av}(321)$



## Corollary

*All coincidences of classes the form  $\text{Av}(\{321, (21, R)\})$  are fully explained by the First Dominating rule.*

There are 29 coincidences of mesh patterns of the form  $\text{Av}(\{321, (21, R)\})$



# Experimental Results

	Dominating Pattern			
	231		321	
	12	21	12	21
No Dominating rule	220	220	220	220
First Dominating rule	85	43	220	29
Experimental class size	56	39	213	29

**Table:** Coincidence class number reduction by application of Dominating rules

## Second Dominating rule

The patterns

$$m_1 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \text{shaded} & \text{shaded} \\ \hline \bullet & \text{shaded} \\ \hline \text{shaded} & \bullet \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad m_2 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \text{shaded} & \text{shaded} \\ \hline \bullet & \text{shaded} \\ \hline \text{shaded} & \bullet \\ \hline \end{array}$$

are coincident in  $\text{Av}(231)$ .

## Lemma

*Given a mesh pattern  $m = (\sigma, R)$ , where the box  $(a, b)$  is not in  $R$ , and a dominating classical pattern  $\pi = (\pi, \emptyset)$  if  $\pi \preceq \text{add\_ascent}(\sigma, (a, b))$ , then in any occurrence of  $m$  in a permutation  $\varrho$ , the region corresponding to the box  $(a, b)$  can only contain an decreasing subsequence of  $\varrho$ .*

## Example

Considering  $m_1$  again



## Example

Considering  $m_1$  again



## Example

Considering  $m_1$  again



## Example

Considering  $m_1$  again



This is  $m_2$ .


## Proposition: Second Dominating rule

*Given two mesh patterns  $m_1 = (\sigma, R_1)$  and  $m_2 = (\sigma, R_2)$ , and a dominating classical pattern  $\pi = (\pi, \emptyset)$  such that  $|\pi| \leq |\sigma| + 2$ , the sets  $\text{Av}(\{\pi, m_1\})$  and  $\text{Av}(\{\pi, m_2\})$  are coincident if*

1.  $R_1 \triangle R_2 = \{(a, b)\}$
2. 2.1  $\pi \preceq \text{add\_ascent}(\sigma, (a, b))$  and
  - 2.1.1  $(a+1, b) \in \sigma$  and  $(a+1, b-1) \notin R$  and
  $(x, b-1) \in R \implies (x, b) \in R$  (where  $x \neq a, a+1$ ) and
  $(a+1, y) \in R \implies (a, y) \in R$  (where  $y \neq b-1, b$ ).
  - 2.1.2 ...
- 2.2 ...
  - 2.2.1 ...
  - 2.2.2 ...



## Example



**Figure:** If the conditions of The Second Dominating rule are satisfied the box  $(a, b)$  can be shaded.

## Corollary

*All coincidences of classes the form  $Av(\{231, (21, R)\})$  are fully explained by applying the First Dominating rule, then applying the Second Dominating rule.*

There are 39 coincidences of mesh patterns of the form  $Av(\{231, (21, R)\})$

# Experimental Results

	Dominating Pattern			
	231		321	
	12	21	12	21
No Dominating rule	220	220	220	220
First Dominating rule	85	43	220	29
Second Dominating rule	59	39	220	29
Experimental class size	56	39	213	29

**Table:** Coincidence class number reduction by application of Dominating rules

# Third Dominating rule

The patterns


$$m_1 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \text{shaded} & \bullet \\ \hline \bullet & \text{shaded} \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad m_2 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \text{shaded} & \bullet \\ \hline \bullet & \text{shaded} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

are coincident in  $\text{Av}(231)$ . Neither of the previous two rules explain this.


## Example




## Example



## Example



## Example





## Example



### Proposition: Third Dominating rule

*Given two mesh patterns  $m_1 = (\sigma, R_1)$  and  $m_2 = (\sigma, R_2)$ , and a dominating classical pattern  $\pi = (\pi, \emptyset)$ , the sets  $\text{Av}(\{\pi, m_1\})$  and  $\text{Av}(\{\pi, m_2\})$  are coincident if*

1.  $R_1 \triangle R_2 = \{(a, b)\}$
2.  $\text{add\_point}((\sigma, R_1), (a, b), D)$  where  $D \in \{N, E, S, W\}$  is coincident with a mesh pattern containing an occurrence of  $(\sigma, R_2)$  as a subpattern.

## Corollary

*All coincidences of classes the form  $\text{Av}(\{231, (12, R)\})$  are fully explained by applying the First Dominating rule, the Second Dominating rule, and then the Third Dominating rule.*

There are 56 coincidences of mesh patterns of the form  $\text{Av}(\{231, (21, R)\})$

# Experimental Results

	Dominating Pattern			
	231		321	
	12	21	12	21
No Dominating rule	220	220	220	220
First Dominating rule	85	43	220	29
Second Dominating rule	59	39	220	29
Third Dominating rule	56	39	220	29
Experimental class size	56	39	213	29

**Table:** Coincidence class number reduction by application of Dominating rules

# Special Cases

There are 7 coincidences of the form  $Av(321, m)$  that are not explained by the rules.

## Example

$$m_1 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \text{shaded} & \text{shaded} \\ \hline \bullet & \bullet \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad m_2 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \text{shaded} & \text{shaded} \\ \hline \bullet & \bullet \\ \hline \end{array}$$

This coincidence is explained by mathematical induction on the number of points in the region corresponding to the middle box. We call this number  $n$ .

## Example

**Base Case ( $n = 0$ ):** The base case holds since we can freely shade the box if it contains no points.


## Example

**Base Case ( $n = 0$ ):** The base case holds since we can freely shade the box if it contains no points.

**Inductive Hypothesis ( $n = k$ ):** Suppose that we can find an occurrence of the second pattern if we have an occurrence of the first with  $k$  points in the middle box.

## Example

**Inductive Step ( $n = k + 1$ )** Suppose that we have  $(k + 1)$  points in the middle box. Choose the bottom most point in the middle box, this gives the mesh pattern




Now we need to consider the box labelled  $X$ . If this box is empty then we have an occurrence of  $m_2$  and are done.



## Example

**Inductive Step ( $n = k + 1$ ) (cont.)** If this box contains any points then we gain some extra shading on the mesh pattern due to the dominating pattern



The two highlighted points form an occurrence of  $m_1$  with  $k$  points in the middle box, and thus by the Inductive Hypothesis we are done.

# Outline

## 1. Introduction

- ▶ Permutations
- ▶ Classical Permutation Patterns
- ▶ Mesh Patterns

## 2. Coincidence Classes

- ▶ Coincidence
- ▶ Summary of Experimental Results
- ▶ Dominating Pattern Rules
- ▶ Special Cases

## 3. Wilf-equivalences

- ▶ Wilf-equivalence
- ▶ Set Partitions
- ▶ Generating Functions

## 4. Conclusions and Future Work

- ▶ Conclusions
- ▶ Future Work
  - ▶ Extensions of rules
  - ▶ Equivalences with different dominating patterns

# Wilf-equivalence

## Definition

Two patterns are said to be *Wilf-equivalent* if the set of avoiders of the patterns is the same size at every length.

All coincident pattern classes are Wilf-equivalent.

The permutations in  $\text{Av}(231)$  of length  $n$  are counted by the Catalan numbers.


$$C_0 = 1, \text{ and } C_{n+1} = \sum_{i=0}^n C_i C_{n-i} \quad (1)$$

This gives the sequence

1, 1, 2, 5, 14, 42, 132, 429, 1430, 4862, ...

# Set Partitions

## Note

The avoiders of the pattern  $q = (231, \{(1, 0), (1, 1), (1, 2), (1, 3)\})$ , , in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  are in one-to-one correspondence with partitions of  $\llbracket n \rrbracket$ . (Claesson [4])

## Example

Given the permutation  $\pi = 542139687$  this corresponds to the partition  $\{\{5, 4, 2, 1\}, \{3\}, \{9, 6\}, \{8, 7\}\}$ .

All permutations in  $\text{Av}(231)$  are also in  $\text{Av}(q)$ .

Set Partitions can be used to explain certain Wilf-equivalences.

### Example

The following patterns are experimentally Wilf-equivalent up to length 10 in  $\text{Av}(231)$

$$m_1 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \text{shaded} & \text{shaded} \\ \hline \text{shaded} & \bullet \\ \hline \bullet & \text{shaded} \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad m_2 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \text{shaded} & \text{shaded} \\ \hline \text{shaded} & \bullet \\ \hline \bullet & \text{shaded} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Consider containers of the patterns in  $\text{Av}(231)$ .

Set Partitions can be used to explain certain Wilf-equivalences.

### Example

The following patterns are experimentally Wilf-equivalent up to length 10 in  $\text{Av}(231)$

$$m_1 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \text{shaded} & \text{shaded} \\ \hline \bullet & \bullet \\ \hline \text{shaded} & \text{shaded} \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad m_2 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \text{shaded} & \text{shaded} \\ \hline \text{shaded} & \bullet \\ \hline \text{shaded} & \bullet \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Consider containers of the patterns in  $\text{Av}(231)$ .

There can only ever be a single occurrence of  $m_1$ . The shading shows us that this is a partition of  $k$  elements into two non-overlapping parts by the first element and the last element.

Set Partitions can be used to explain certain Wilf-equivalences.

### Example

The following patterns are experimentally Wilf-equivalent up to length 10 in  $\text{Av}(231)$

$$m_1 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \text{shaded} & \text{shaded} \\ \hline \bullet & \bullet \\ \hline \text{shaded} & \text{shaded} \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad m_2 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \text{shaded} & \text{shaded} \\ \hline \text{shaded} & \bullet \\ \hline \text{shaded} & \bullet \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Consider containers of the patterns in  $\text{Av}(231)$ .

There can only ever be a single occurrence of  $m_2$ . The shading shows us that this is a partition of  $k$  elements into two non-overlapping parts, where the split in parts is determined by the value of the last element in the permutation.

Set Partitions can be used to explain certain Wilf-equivalences.

### Example

The following patterns are experimentally Wilf-equivalent up to length 10 in  $\text{Av}(231)$

$$m_1 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \text{shaded} & \text{shaded} \\ \hline \bullet & \bullet \\ \hline \text{shaded} & \text{shaded} \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad m_2 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \text{shaded} & \text{shaded} \\ \hline \bullet & \bullet \\ \hline \text{shaded} & \text{shaded} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Consider containers of the patterns in  $\text{Av}(231)$ .

Therefore for each of these patterns a container of length  $k$  consists of a decreasing sequence of length  $k - 2$  split into two parts. There are  $k - 1$  ways to perform such a split. So the number of avoiders of length  $k$  is

$$K_k = C_k - (k - 1), K_0 = 1$$



# Generating FUNctions

“A generating function is a clothesline on which we hang up a sequence of numbers for display.” (Herbert Wilf, *generatingfunctionology* [2])

## Definition

The *ordinary generating function (OGF)* of a sequence  $(A_n)$  is the formal power series

$$A(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n x^n \quad (2)$$

The right hand side is the Taylor expansion of the left hand side at 0.

### Example

The generating function for the constant sequence  $\{1, 1, 1, 1, \dots\}$  is


$$f(x) = \frac{1}{1-x}$$

## Example

The generating function for the avoiders of the permutation 231 satisfies

$$C(x) = 1 + xC^2(x) \quad (3)$$

This can be seen structurally ( $\mathcal{C}$  is the set of 231 avoiders)




## Example

The generating function for the avoiders of the permutation 231 satisfies

$$C(x) = 1 + xC^2(x) \quad (3)$$

This can be seen structurally ( $\mathcal{C}$  is the set of 231 avoiders)




## Example

The generating function for the avoiders of the permutation 231 satisfies

$$C(x) = 1 + xC^2(x) \quad (3)$$

This can be seen structurally ( $\mathcal{C}$  is the set of 231 avoiders)





Solving for  $C(x)$  and taking the Taylor expansion at 0 gives


$$1 + x + 2x^2 + 5x^3 + 14x^4 + 42x^5 + 132x^6 + 429x^7 + 1430x^8 + \dots$$


Now we consider one of the Wilf-equivalences between mesh patterns in  $\text{Av}(231)$ .


$$m_1 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \bullet \\ \hline \bullet & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad m_2 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \bullet & \\ \hline & \bullet \\ \hline \end{array}$$


First we consider the avoiders  $\mathcal{M}_1$  of  $m_1 =$ 

in  $\text{Av}(231)$ .


$$\mathcal{M}_1 = \varepsilon \sqcup$$


Where  $\mathcal{M}'_1$  is a permutation avoiding 231,  $m_1$  and .


First we consider the avoiders  $\mathcal{M}_1$  of  $m_1 =$ 

in  $\text{Av}(231)$ .


$$\mathcal{M}_1 = \varepsilon \sqcup$$



Where  $\mathcal{M}'_1$  is a permutation avoiding 231,  $m_1$  and . Now considering a permutation in  $\mathcal{M}'_1$

$$\mathcal{M}'_1 = \varepsilon \sqcup$$






Next we consider the avoiders  $\mathcal{M}_2$  of  $m_2 =$ 

in  $\text{Av}(231)$ .


$$\mathcal{M}_2 = \varepsilon \sqcup$$


Where  $\mathcal{M}'_2$  is a permutation avoiding 231,  $m_2$  and .

Next we consider the avoiders  $\mathcal{M}_2$  of  $m_2 =$   in  $\text{Av}(231)$ .

$$\mathcal{M}_2 = \varepsilon \sqcup$$


Where  $\mathcal{M}'_2$  is a permutation avoiding 231,  $m_2$  and . Now considering a permutation in  $\mathcal{M}'_2$

$$\mathcal{M}'_2 = \varepsilon \sqcup$$


Both of these sets therefore have generating function  $M(x)$  satisfying

$$M(x) = 1 + xM(x)M'(x) \quad (4)$$

$$M'(x) = 1 + x(M(x) - 1)M'(x) \quad (5)$$

Both of these sets therefore have generating function  $M(x)$  satisfying

$$M(x) = 1 + xM(x)M'(x) \quad (4)$$

$$M'(x) = 1 + x(M(x) - 1)M'(x) \quad (5)$$

Solving 5 for  $M'(x)$  and substituting into 4 gives us that the generating function for  $M(x)$  satisfies

$$M(x) = xM^2(x) - x(M(x) - 1) + 1 \quad (6)$$

Both of these sets therefore have generating function  $M(x)$  satisfying

$$M(x) = 1 + xM(x)M'(x) \quad (4)$$

$$M'(x) = 1 + x(M(x) - 1)M'(x) \quad (5)$$

Solving 5 for  $M'(x)$  and substituting into 4 gives us that the generating function for  $M(x)$  satisfies

$$M(x) = xM^2(x) - x(M(x) - 1) + 1 \quad (6)$$

Evaluating coefficients of  $M(x)$  then gives the sequence

$$1, 1, 1, 2, 4, 9, 21, 51, 127, 323, 835, \dots$$

This is an offset of the Motzkin numbers.

# Outline

## 1. Introduction

- ▶ Permutations
- ▶ Classical Permutation Patterns
- ▶ Mesh Patterns

## 2. Coincidence Classes

- ▶ Coincidence
- ▶ Summary of Experimental Results
- ▶ Dominating Pattern Rules
- ▶ Special Cases

## 3. Wilf-equivalences

- ▶ Wilf-equivalence
- ▶ Set Partitions
- ▶ Generating Functions

## 4. Conclusions and Future Work

- ▶ Conclusions
- ▶ Future Work
  - ▶ Extensions of rules
  - ▶ Equivalences with different dominating patterns

# Conclusions

- ▶ Automatic coincidence classification is difficult.
  - ▶ Completely classified for length 2 mesh patterns and length 3 classical patterns.
  - ▶ Gets harder with larger dominating patterns.
- ▶ There are a number of Wilf-classes that give interesting enumerations.
  - ▶ Would be interesting to try and connect these sets to different objects.

## Future Work: Extensions of rules

One can consider application of the Third Dominating rule, as well as a simple extension, without any dominating pattern. This can capture the special case described by Claesson, Tenner, and Ulfarsson in [3], that was unexplained by the general results proved in that paper.

$$m_1 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \text{shaded} & \text{shaded} \\ \hline \text{shaded} & \text{shaded} \\ \hline \end{array}, \text{ and } m_2 = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \text{shaded} & \text{shaded} \\ \hline \text{shaded} & \text{shaded} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

We cannot apply the first, or second, rule without a dominating pattern. We can also consider taking sets of mesh patterns, or sets of dominating patterns.



## Future Work: Equivalences with different dominating patterns


It would be interesting to consider Wilf-equivalences amongst classes where 321 is the dominating pattern.

It is also interesting to consider Wilf-equivalence when we have different dominating patterns. We can show that the sets  $\mathcal{T} = \text{Av}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \text{3} & \text{2} & \text{1} \\ \text{1} & \text{2} & \text{3} \end{smallmatrix}, 231\right)$  and  $\mathcal{U} = \text{Av}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \text{3} & \text{2} & \text{1} \\ \text{1} & \text{3} & \text{2} \end{smallmatrix}, 321\right)$  are Wilf-equivalent.

## Future Work: Equivalences with different dominating patterns

It would be interesting to consider Wilf-equivalences amongst classes where 321 is the dominating pattern.

It is also interesting to consider Wilf-equivalence when we have different dominating patterns. We can show that the sets  $\mathcal{T} = \text{Av}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \text{diagonal lines} \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix}, 231\right)$  and  $\mathcal{U} = \text{Av}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \text{diagonal lines} \\ \bullet \end{smallmatrix}, 321\right)$  are Wilf-equivalent.





[1] D. Knuth,  
*The Art of Computer Programming: Volume 1*, 1997.



[2] H. Wilf,  
*generatingfunctionology*, 1994.  
<https://www.math.upenn.edu/~wilf/gfology2.pdf>



[3] A. Claesson, B. E. Tenner, and H. Ulfarsson  
Coincidence among families of mesh patterns  
*CoRR*, 2014. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1412.0703>



[4] A. Claesson  
Generalized pattern avoidance  
*Eur. J. Comb*, 2001 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/eujc.2001.0515>