# Selected Problems Chapter 5 Linear Algebra Done Right, Sheldon Axler, 3rd Edition

## Mustaf Ahmed

# September 12, 2021

**Problem Example 5.8.** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(F^2)$  is defined by T(w,z) = (-z,w). Find the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of T if  $F = \mathbb{R}$ . Find the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of T if  $F = \mathbb{C}$ 

### Proof. Part(a).

Assume T has eigenvectors and eigenvalues with  $F = \mathbb{R}$ . The equation  $\lambda(w, z) = (-z, w)$  holds and leads to the following system of equations:

$$\lambda w = -z$$
$$\lambda z = w.$$

Solving for  $\lambda$ , we have  $\lambda^2 = -1$ , which only has solutions in  $\mathbb{C}$ . This contradiction means T has no eigenvectors and eigenvalues.

#### Part(b).

In part(a), we showed that the eigenvalues of T must be in the complex numbers. The equation from part(a)  $\lambda^2 = -1$  has the solutions  $\lambda = i$  and  $\lambda = -i$ . The eigenvectors corresponding to  $\lambda = i$  are of the form (w, -iw) for any  $w \in \mathbb{C}$ ; the eigenvectors corresponding to  $\lambda = -i$  are of the form (w, iw).

Problem Theorem 5.10 Linearly Independent Eigenvectors. Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Suppose  $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$  are distinct eigenvalues of T, and  $(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$  are corresponding eigenvectors. Then  $(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$  is a linearly independent list.

*Proof.* For a contradiction, suppose  $(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$  is a linearly dependent list. Then choose  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in F$  where not all are zero such that  $0 = a_1v_1 + \ldots a_nv_n$ . By the linear dependence lemma, choose the smallest j such that  $v_j = \frac{a_1}{a_j}v_1 + \cdots + \frac{a_{j-1}}{a_j}v_{j-1}$ . Applying T, we have

$$\lambda_j v_j = \frac{a_1 \lambda_1}{a_j} v_1 + \dots + \frac{a_{j-1} \lambda_{j-1}}{a_j} v_{j-1}.$$

Subtracting the left side, we have

$$0 = \frac{a_1(\lambda_1 - \lambda_j)}{a_j} v_1 + \dots + \frac{a_{j-1}(\lambda_{j-1} - \lambda_j)}{a_j} v_{j-1}.$$

Each  $(\lambda_k - \lambda_j) \neq 0$  because the eigenvalues are distinct. Since we chose j to be the smallest such that the  $v_j$  is in the span of the preceding vectors,  $a_k = 0$  for  $k = 1, \ldots, j - 1$ . Thus,  $v_j = 0$ , but that is a contradiction because  $v_j$  is an eigenvector.

**Problem Theorem 5.13 Number of Eigenvalues.** Suppose V is finite-dimensional. Then each operator on V has at most dim(V) distinct eigenvalues.

*Proof.* Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Let  $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$  be a list of distinct eigenvalues in F, and let  $(u_1, \ldots, u_m)$  be a corresponding list of eigenvectors. By Theorem 5.10,  $(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$  is a linearly independent list. Choose a basis  $(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$  of V. By Theorem 2.23,  $m \leq n = \dim(V)$ .

# **Problem 5.A.12.** Define $T \in \mathcal{L}(P_4(\mathbb{R}))$ by

$$T(p(x)) = xp'(x)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Find all the eigenvalues and eigenvectors.

Assume T has an eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Choose a non-zero  $p(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \cdots + a_4 x^4 \in P_4(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $T(p(x)) = \lambda p(x)$ . This is equivalent to the system of equations

$$\lambda a_0 = 0$$

$$\lambda a_1 = a_1$$

$$\lambda a_2 = 2a_2$$

$$\lambda a_3 = 3a_3$$

$$\lambda a_4 = 4a_4$$

This is equivalent to

$$\lambda a_0 = 0$$

$$a_1(\lambda - 1) = 0$$

$$a_2(\lambda - 2) = 0$$

$$a_3(\lambda - 3) = 0$$

$$a_4(\lambda - 4) = 0$$

Let  $\lambda \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Then for each  $j \neq \lambda$ 

$$a_j(\lambda - j) = 0$$
$$a_j = 0$$

by diving by  $(\lambda - j)$ . The corresponding eigenvector for  $\lambda$  is then  $p(x) = a_{\lambda}x^{\lambda}$ . Let  $\lambda \notin \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . By diving the coefficient  $(\lambda - j)$  for j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, we have  $a_j = 0$  for each j. Thus, p(x) = 0, which is not an eigenvector.

We've shown that the eigenvalues are  $\lambda = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$  with the corresponding eigenvectors  $c, cx, cx^2cx^3, cx^4$  for  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Problem 5.A.15.** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Suppose  $S \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is invertible.

- (a) Prove that T and  $S^{-1}TS$  have the same eigenvalues
- (b) What is the relationship between the eigenvectors of T and the eigenvectors of  $S^{-1}TS$ ?

# Proof. Part(a)

We must show that  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of T if and only if  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $S^{-1}TS$ . For the forward direction, assume  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of T. Choose  $v \in V$  such that  $Tv = \lambda v$ . Since S is invertible, S is also injective and surjective. We can choose  $u \in V$  such that Su = v. We have

$$(S^{-1}TS)u = (S^{-1}T)(Su)$$

$$= (S^{-1}T)(v)$$

$$= (S^{-1})(\lambda v)$$

$$= \lambda u.$$

For the backward direction, assume  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $S^{-1}TS$ . Choose  $u \in V$  such that  $(S^{-1}TS)u = \lambda u$ . Applying S to  $(S^{-1}TS)u$ , we have

$$(TS)u = T(Su)$$
$$= \lambda(Su)$$

,

as desired.

## Part(b)

If v is an eigenvector of T, then  $S^{-1}v$  is an eigenvector of  $S^{-1}TS$ . if v is an eigenvector of  $S^{-1}TS$ , Sv is an eigenvector of T.

**Problem 5.A.18.** Show that the operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(C^{\infty})$  defined by

$$T(z_1, z_2, \dots) = (0, z_1, z_2, \dots)$$

has no eigenvalues.

*Proof.* Assume that  $T(z_1, z_2, ...) = \lambda(z_1, z_2, ...)$ . This is equivalent to the following system of equations

$$\lambda z_1 = 0$$
$$\lambda z_2 = z_1$$
$$\lambda z_3 = z_2$$

The first equation implies  $\lambda = 0$  or  $z_1 = 0$ . If  $\lambda = 0$ , then  $(z_1, z_2, ...)$  is the zero vector. If  $z_1 = 0$  each  $z_j = 0$ , and thus  $(z_1, z_2, ...)$  is the zero vector. We have that  $(z_1, z_2, ...)$  is the zero vector, which can't be associated with any eigenvalue by definition.

**Problem 5.A.20.** Find all eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the backward shift operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(F^{\infty})$  defined by

$$T(z_1, z_2, \dots) = (z_2, z_3, \dots)$$

Assume  $T(z_1, z_2, ...) = \lambda(z_1, z_2, ...)$  with  $(z_1, z_2, ...)$  being non-zero. The previous relation is equivalent to the system of equations

$$\lambda z_1 = z_2$$
$$\lambda z_2 = z_3$$
$$\lambda z_3 = z_4$$

By substitution of variables, another equivalent form is

$$\lambda z_1 = z_2$$

$$\lambda^2 z_1 = z_3$$

$$\lambda^3 z_3 = z_4$$
...

Thus, all eigenvectors are of the form  $(z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots) = (z_1, \lambda z_1, \lambda^2 z_1, \dots)$  with  $z_1 \neq 0$ . Each  $\lambda \in F$  is an eigen vector.

**Problem 5.A.22.** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  and there exists nonzero vectors v and w in V such that T(v) = 3w and T(w) = 3v. Prove that 3 and -3 are eigenvalues of T.

*Proof.* We have T(v+w)=3(v+w) by linearity. Similarly, =T(v-w)=3(w-v)=-3(v-w).

We must show that (v+w) and (v-w) are not both the zero vector. For a contradiction suppose (v+w)=(v-w)=0. Adding the two vectors, it is clear that v=0.

**Problem 5.A.30.** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , and -4, 5 and  $\sqrt{7}$  are eigenvalues of T. Prove that there exists  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$  such that  $Tx - 9x = (-4, 5, \sqrt{7})$ .

*Proof.* We have Tx - 9x = (T - 9I)x. Thus, it is enough to show that (T - 9I) is surjective. We can show that (T - 9I) is surjective by showing that it is injective by Theorem 3.69.

For a contradiction, assume that (T - 9I) is not injective. By Theorem 3.16, choose a non-zero vector x in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  such that (T - 9I)x = 0. Simplifying the right side, we have

$$Tx - 9x = 0,$$

SO

$$Tx = 9x$$
.

Thus, 9 is an eigenvalue, so we have 4 eigenvalues in total. By Theorem 5.13, there can be at most 3 eigenvalues, which is a contradiction.

**Problem 5.A.32.** Suppose  $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$  is a list of distinct real numbers. Prove that the list  $(e^{\lambda_1 x}, \ldots, e^{\lambda_n x})$  is linearly independent in the vector space of real-valued functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* Let the differentiation operator for real-valued function on  $\mathbb{R}$  be T(f(x)) = f'(x). By Theorem 5.10, it suffices to show that  $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$  are eigenvalues with corresponding eigenvectors  $(e^{\lambda_1 x}, \ldots, e^{\lambda_n x})$ .

Applying the differentiation operator for any j, we have

$$T(e^{\lambda_j x}) = \lambda_j e^{\lambda_j x},$$

as desired.

**Problem 5.B.2.** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  and (T-2I)(T-3I)(T-4I) = 0. Suppose  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of T. Prove that  $\lambda = 2$  or  $\lambda = 3$  or  $\lambda = 4$ .

*Proof.* Choose a non-zero vector  $v \in V$  such that  $Tv = \lambda v$ . Applying (T-2I)(T-3I)(T-4I) to v, we have

$$(T-2I)(T-3I)(T-4I)v = (T-2I)(T-3I)((\lambda - 4)v)$$
  
=  $(T-2I)((\lambda^2 - 7\lambda + 12)v)$   
=  $(\lambda^3 - 9\lambda^2 + 26\lambda - 24)v$ 

The polynomial  $(\lambda^3 - 9\lambda^2 + 26\lambda - 24)$  has the roots  $\lambda = 2$  or  $\lambda = 3$  or  $\lambda = 4$ , as desired.

**Problem 5.B.18.** Suppose V is finite-dimensional complex vector space and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Define a function  $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f(\lambda) = dim(range(T - \lambda I)).$$

Prove that f is not a continuous function.

*Proof.* By the rank-nullity theorem, we have

$$dim(range(T - \lambda I)) = dim(V) - dim(null(T - \lambda I)),$$

so we can write  $f(\lambda) = dim(V) - dim(null(T - \lambda I))$ . Further, If  $\lambda$  is not an eigenvalue of T,  $dim(null(T - \lambda I)) = 0$ ; If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of T,  $dim(null(T - \lambda I)) > 0$ , because  $null(T - \lambda I)$  contains eigenvectors corresponding to  $\lambda$ . Thus, we can write

$$f(\lambda) = \begin{cases} dim(V) - dim(null(T - \lambda I)) & \text{for } \lambda \text{ an eigenvalue of } T \\ dim(V) & \text{for } \lambda \text{ not eigenvalue of } T \end{cases}$$

We want a discontinuity at an eigenvalue of T. The vector space V is finite-dimensional, complex and non-zero, so by Theorem 5.27 there is at least one eigenvalue for T. Choose an eigenvalue  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ .

We want to show a discontinuity at  $\lambda_0$ . Choose  $\epsilon = \frac{dim(null(T-\lambda_0 I))}{2}$ , which is greater than 0. Let  $\delta > 0$ . Choose  $x \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $|x - \lambda_0| < \delta$  and x is not an eigenvalue; we can do this because there are at most dim(V) eigenvalues. We have

$$|f(x) - f(\lambda_0)| = |dim(V) - dim(V) - dim(null(T - \lambda_0 I))|$$

$$= dim(null(T - \lambda_0 I))$$

$$> \frac{dim(null(T - \lambda_0 I))}{2} = \epsilon,$$

as desired.

**Problem 5.C.1.** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is diagonalizable. Prove that  $V = null(T) \oplus range(T)$ .

*Proof.* Since T is diagonalizable, we can write

$$M(T) = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & c_n \end{bmatrix}$$

with respect to a basis  $(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$  of eigenvectors. Let  $(v_{n1}, \ldots, v_{ni})$  be a sublist of eigenvectors associated zero eigenvalue. Let  $(v_{r1}, \ldots, v_{rj})$  be a sublist of eigenvectors associated with non-zero eigenvalue.

 $V = span(v_{n1}, \ldots, v_{nk}) \oplus span(v_{r1}, \ldots, v_{rj})$  because the each sublist is linearly independent and together form a basis for V. It suffices to show two things:  $(v_{n1}, \ldots, v_{nk})$  is a basis for null(T) and  $(v_{r1}, \ldots, v_{rj})$  is a basis for range(T).

Lets show that  $R = (v_{r1}, \ldots, v_{rj})$  is a basis for range(T). Let  $v \in V$ , which can be written as a linear combination of our two sublists. Applying T, we have  $T(v) = b_1 c_{r1} v_{r1} + \cdots + b_j c_{rj} v_{rj}$  because the eigenvectors associated with zero eigenvalue disappear, so  $range(T) \subseteq span(R)$ . Let  $u \in span(R)$ , which can be written as  $u = b_1 v_{r1} + \cdots + b_j v_{rj}$ . Choose  $v = \frac{b_1}{c_{r1}} v_{r_1} + \cdots + \frac{b_j}{c_{rj}} v_{rj}$ . Clearly, Tv = u, so  $span(R) \subseteq range(T)$ .

Now, we must show that  $N=(v_{n1},\ldots,v_{nk})$  is a basis for null(T). Let  $v\in span(N)$ , which can be written as  $v=a_1v_{n1}+\cdots+a_nv_{nk}$ . Applying T to v results in the zero vectors because v is a linear combination of vectors associated with the zero eigenvalue, so  $span(N)\subseteq null(T)$ . Let  $v\in null(T)$ , which can be written as  $v=\sum_{i=1}^k a_iv_{ni}+\sum_{i=1}^j b_iv_{ri}$ . Applying T, we have  $Tv=b_1c_{r1}v_{r1}+\cdots+b_jc_{rj}v_{rj}=0$ . Since  $(v_{r1},\ldots,v_{rj})$  is linearly independent and each eigenvalue is non-zero, each  $v_n=0$ . We have  $v=v_n=1$  independent  $v_n=1$  is  $v_n=1$  independent and each eigenvalue is non-zero, each  $v_n=1$  is  $v_n=1$  independent  $v_n=1$  independent  $v_n=1$  independent  $v_n=1$  is  $v_n=1$  independent  $v_n=1$  independent

**Problem 5.C.2.** Prove or disprove the converse of 5.C.1.

*Proof.* Define the rotation map  $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  by

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} cos(90) & -sin(90) \\ sin(90) & cos(90) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Intuitively, there are no eigenvectors because each non-zero vector is rotated out of alignment, so T can't be diagonalized. Furthermore,  $range(T) = \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $null(T) = \{0\}$ , so  $range(T) \cap null(T) = \{0\}$ . By Theorem 1.45, we have  $\mathbb{R}^2 = null(T) \oplus range(T)$ .