

# Selected Problems Chapter 3

## Linear Algebra Done Wrong, Sergei Treil, 1st Edition

Mustaf Ahmed

October 31, 2021

**Problem Uniqueness of Determinant.** Let  $C \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a column vector, i.e.  $C = (c_i)_{i=1, \dots, n}$ .

Show that if  $D : (\mathbb{R}^n)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies

**multi-linearity.** linearity in each argument

**anti-symmetry.** switching arguments induces a sign change

**normalization.**  $D(e_1, \dots, e_n) = 1$

then

$$D(C_1, \dots, C_n) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{k=1}^n c_{\sigma(k)k}$$

*Proof.* Let  $D : (\mathbb{R}^n)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function satisfying the three conditions. For each index  $j$ , we have  $C_j = \sum_i^n c_{ij}e_i$ . Repeatedly applying the multi-linear property we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(C_1, \dots, C_n) &= D\left(\sum_{i_1}^n c_{i_1 1}e_{i_1}, \dots, \sum_{i_n}^n c_{i_n n}e_{i_n}\right) \\ &= \sum_{i_1}^n c_{i_1 1} D\left(e_{i_1}, \dots, \sum_{i_n}^n c_{i_n n}e_{i_n}\right) \\ &= \dots \\ &= \sum_{i_1}^n \dots \sum_{i_n}^n \prod_{k=1}^n c_{i_k k} D(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_n}) \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying the iterated sum, we have

$$= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \prod_{k=1}^n c_{i_k k} D(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_n}).$$

By proposition 3.1,  $D(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_n}) = 0$  whenever any two of its arguments are the same. Thus, all products in the sum contain a determinant that permutes the standard basis. By anti-symmetry and normalization, we must multiply by the sign of the permutation. We have

$$= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{k=1}^n c_{\sigma(k)k}.$$

□

**Problem Determinant of diagonal matrix.** Let  $A$  be the diagonal matrix  $\text{diag}(a_{11}, \dots, a_{nn})$ . Show that  $\det(A) = \prod_{k=1}^n a_{kk}$ .

*Proof.* The  $j$ th column of  $A$  is written as  $A_j = a_j e_j$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A) &= \det(A_1, \dots, A_n) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{k=1}^n a_{\sigma(k)k} \end{aligned}$$

□

Assume that  $\sigma \in S_n$  and  $\sigma(k) \neq k$  for some  $k$ . Then  $\prod_{k=1}^n a_{\sigma(k)k} = 0$  because one of its products will be 0, since it is off the diagonal of  $A$ . Thus the only valid permutation is the identity, which has a sign of 1. We have

$$= \prod_{k=1}^n a_{kk},$$

as desired.

**Problem Determinant of Row Multiplication Elementary Matrix.** Let  $M_i(c) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a row multiplication elementary matrix for row  $i$  defined by

$$M_i(c) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & \\ & & & c & & \\ & & & & 1 & \\ & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Show that  $\det(M_i(c)) = c$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \det(M_i(c)) &= \det(e_1, \dots, c * e_i, \dots, e_n) \\ &= c * \det(e_1, \dots, e_n) \\ &= c * 1 \\ &= c \end{aligned}$$

, as desired.

□

**Problem Determinant of Row Swap Elementary Matrix.** Let  $S_{i,j} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be the row swap elementary matrix for rows  $(i, j)$ . We define  $S_{i,j}$  by its columns. For each  $k$ ,

**$k \neq i$  and  $k \neq j$ .**  $C_k = e_k$ .

**$k = i$ .**  $C_k = e_j$ .

**$k = j$ .**  $C_k = e_i$ .

Prove that  $\det(S_{i,j}) = -1$ .

*Proof.* Since each column of  $S_{i,j}$  is a distinct standard basis vector, each argument in  $\det(S_{i,j})$  is a distinct standard basis vector. The arguments of  $\det(S_{i,j})$  are a permutation of  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  where the  $i$ th standard basis vector is switched with the  $j$ th standard basis vector. By anti-symmetry of the determinant,

$$\begin{aligned}\det(S_{i,j}) &= -\det(e_1, \dots, e_n) \\ &= -1.\end{aligned}$$

□

**Problem Determinant of Row Addition Elementary Matrix.** Let  $A_{i,j} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be the row addition elementary matrix that adds  $c$  times row  $i$  to row  $j$ . We define  $A$  by an identity matrix with  $c$  in the  $(j, i)$  position.

Prove that  $\det(A_{i,j}(c)) = 1$ .

*Proof.* Taking the determinant, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\det(A_{i,j}(c)) &= \det(e_1, \dots, e_i + ce_j, \dots, e_n) \\ &= c * 0 + \det(e_1, \dots, e_j) \\ &= 1\end{aligned}$$

. The first term of the second equality holds because two arguments are  $e_j$ , which allows us to use proposition 3.1.

□