# Selected Problems Chapter 5 Linear Algebra Done Right, Sheldon Axler, 3rd Edition

#### Mustaf Ahmed

August 23, 2021

**Problem Example 5.8.** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(F^2)$  is defined by T(w,z) = (-z,w). Find the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of T if  $F = \mathbb{R}$ . Find the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of T if  $F = \mathbb{C}$ 

Proof. Part(a).

Assume T has eigenvectors and eigenvalues with  $F = \mathbb{R}$ . The equation  $\lambda(w, z) = (-z, w)$  holds and leads to the following system of equations:

$$\lambda w = -z$$
$$\lambda z = w.$$

Solving for  $\lambda$ , we have  $\lambda^2 = -1$ , which only has solutions in  $\mathbb{C}$ . This contradiction means T has no eigenvectors and eigenvalues.

## Part(b).

In part(a), we showed that the eigenvalues of T must be in the complex numbers. The equation from part(a)  $\lambda^2 = -1$  has the solutions  $\lambda = i$  and  $\lambda = -i$ . The eigenvectors corresponding to  $\lambda = i$  are of the form (w, -iw) for any  $w \in \mathbb{C}$ ; the eigenvectors corresponding to  $\lambda = -i$  are of the form (w, iw).

Problem Theorem 5.10 Linearly Independent Eigenvectors. Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Suppose  $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$  are distinct eigenvalues of T, and  $(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$  are corresponding eigenvectors. Then  $(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$  is a linearly independent list.

*Proof.* For a contradiction, suppose  $(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$  is a linearly dependent list. Then choose  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in F$  where not all are zero such that  $0 = a_1v_1 + \ldots a_nv_n$ . By the linear dependence lemma, choose the smallest j such that  $v_j = \frac{a_1}{a_j}v_1 + \cdots + \frac{a_{j-1}}{a_j}v_{j-1}$ . Applying T, we have

$$\lambda_j v_j = \frac{a_1 \lambda_1}{a_j} v_1 + \dots + \frac{a_{j-1} \lambda_{j-1}}{a_j} v_{j-1}.$$

Subtracting the left side, we have

$$0 = \frac{a_1(\lambda_1 - \lambda_j)}{a_j} v_1 + \dots + \frac{a_{j-1}(\lambda_{j-1} - \lambda_j)}{a_j} v_{j-1}.$$

Each  $(\lambda_k - \lambda_j) \neq 0$  because the eigenvalues are distinct. Since we chose j to be the smallest such that the  $v_j$  is in the span of the preceding vectors,  $a_k = 0$  for  $k = 1, \ldots, j - 1$ . Thus,  $v_j = 0$ , but that is a contradiction because  $v_j$  is an eigenvector.

**Problem Theorem 5.13 Number of Eigenvalues.** Suppose V is finite-dimensional. Then each operator on V has at most dim(V) distinct eigenvalues.

*Proof.* Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Let  $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$  be a list of distinct eigenvalues in F, and let  $(u_1, \ldots, u_m)$  be a corresponding list of eigenvectors. By Theorem 5.10,  $(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$  is a linearly independent list. Choose a basis  $(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$  of V. By Theorem 2.23,  $m \leq n = \dim(V)$ .

## **Problem 5.A.12.** Define $T \in \mathcal{L}(P_4(\mathbb{R}))$ by

$$T(p(x)) = xp'(x)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Find all the eigenvalues and eigenvectors.

Assume T has an eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Choose a non-zero  $p(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \cdots + a_4 x^4 \in P_4(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $T(p(x)) = \lambda p(x)$ . This is equivalent to the system of equations

$$\lambda a_0 = 0$$

$$\lambda a_1 = a_1$$

$$\lambda a_2 = 2a_2$$

$$\lambda a_3 = 3a_3$$

$$\lambda a_4 = 4a_4$$

This is equivalent to

$$\lambda a_0 = 0$$

$$a_1(\lambda - 1) = 0$$

$$a_2(\lambda - 2) = 0$$

$$a_3(\lambda - 3) = 0$$

$$a_4(\lambda - 4) = 0$$

Let  $\lambda \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Then for each  $j \neq \lambda$ 

$$a_j(\lambda - j) = 0$$
$$a_j = 0$$

by diving by  $(\lambda - j)$ . The corresponding eigenvector for  $\lambda$  is then  $p(x) = a_{\lambda}x^{\lambda}$ . Let  $\lambda \notin \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . By diving the coefficient  $(\lambda - j)$  for j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, we have  $a_j = 0$  for each j. Thus, p(x) = 0, which is not an eigenvector.

We've shown that the eigenvalues are  $\lambda = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$  with the corresponding eigenvectors  $c, cx, cx^2cx^3, cx^4$  for  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Problem 5.A.15.** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ . Suppose  $S \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is invertible.

- (a) Prove that T and  $S^{-1}TS$  have the same eigenvalues
- (b) What is the relationship between the eigenvectors of T and the eigenvectors of  $S^{-1}TS$ ?

## Proof. Part(a)

We must show that  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of T if and only if  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $S^{-1}TS$ . For the forward direction, assume  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of T. Choose  $v \in V$  such that  $Tv = \lambda v$ . Since S is invertible, S is also injective and surjective. We can choose  $u \in V$  such that Su = v. We have

$$(S^{-1}TS)u = (S^{-1}T)(Su)$$

$$= (S^{-1}T)(v)$$

$$= (S^{-1})(\lambda v)$$

$$= \lambda u.$$

For the backward direction, assume  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $S^{-1}TS$ . Choose  $u \in V$  such that  $(S^{-1}TS)u = \lambda u$ . Applying S to  $(S^{-1}TS)u$ , we have

$$(TS)u = T(Su)$$
$$= \lambda(Su)$$

,

as desired.

#### Part(b)

If v is an eigenvector of T, then  $S^{-1}v$  is an eigenvector of  $S^{-1}TS$ . if v is an eigenvector of  $S^{-1}TS$ , Sv is an eigenvector of T.

**Problem 5.A.18.** Show that the operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(C^{\infty})$  defined by

$$T(z_1, z_2, \dots) = (0, z_1, z_2, \dots)$$

has no eigenvalues.

*Proof.* Assume that  $T(z_1, z_2, ...) = \lambda(z_1, z_2, ...)$ . This is equivalent to the following system of equations

$$\lambda z_1 = 0$$
$$\lambda z_2 = z_1$$
$$\lambda z_3 = z_2$$

The first equation implies  $\lambda = 0$  or  $z_1 = 0$ . If  $\lambda = 0$ , then  $(z_1, z_2, ...)$  is the zero vector. If  $z_1 = 0$  each  $z_j = 0$ , and thus  $(z_1, z_2, ...)$  is the zero vector. We have that  $(z_1, z_2, ...)$  is the zero vector, which can't be associated with any eigenvalue by definition.

**Problem 5.A.20.** Find all eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the backward shift operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(F^{\infty})$  defined by

$$T(z_1, z_2, \dots) = (z_2, z_3, \dots)$$

Assume  $T(z_1, z_2, ...) = \lambda(z_1, z_2, ...)$  with  $(z_1, z_2, ...)$  being non-zero. The previous relation is equivalent to the system of equations

$$\lambda z_1 = z_2$$
$$\lambda z_2 = z_3$$
$$\lambda z_3 = z_4$$

By substitution of variables, another equivalent form is

$$\lambda z_1 = z_2$$

$$\lambda^2 z_1 = z_3$$

$$\lambda^3 z_3 = z_4$$
...

Thus, all eigenvectors are of the form  $(z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots) = (z_1, \lambda z_1, \lambda^2 z_1, \dots)$  with  $z_1 \neq 0$ . Each  $\lambda \in F$  is an eigen vector.

**Problem 5.A.22.** Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  and there exists nonzero vectors v and w in V such that T(v) = 3w and T(w) = 3v. Prove that 3 and -3 are eigenvalues of T.

*Proof.* We have T(v+w)=3(v+w) by linearity. Similarly, =T(v-w)=3(w-v)=-3(v-w).

We must show that (v+w) and (v-w) are not both the zero vector. For a contradiction suppose (v+w)=(v-w)=0. Adding the two vectors, it is clear that v=0.