CS/SE 4HC3 – Assignment #2 Rubric

Note: Marks can be between categories.

| Criteria | Great | Good | OK | Poor |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Feature #1 | 10 Feature has been well- designed and clearly above average. | 7.5 Implemented as described in the assignment outline. | 4 Feature missing some key elements and/or is poorly designed. | 0 Feature missing or cannot be found. |
| Feature #2 | 10 Feature has been well- designed and clearly above average. | 7.5 Implemented as described in the assignment outline. | 4 Feature missing some key elements and/or is poorly designed. | 0 Feature missing or cannot be found. |
| Feature #3 | 10 Feature has been well-designed and clearly above average. | 7.5 Implemented as described in the assignment outline. | 4 Feature missing some key elements and/or is poorly designed. | 0 Feature missing or cannot be found. |
| Feature #4 | 10 Feature has been well- designed and clearly above average. | 7.5 Implemented as described in the assignment outline. | 4 Feature missing some key elements and/or is poorly designed. | 0 Feature missing or cannot be found. |
| Extra Feature | An extra feature present, and with good design. | An extra feature present, with possible design issues, or detracts from overall usability. | 0 No extra features. | |
| Overall Quality | Overall "feel" of the assignment is very good. A high level of polish across the board. This may include visual appearance or additional well-implemented features that enhance the overall usability of the system. | Overall level of submission quality is average. The solution is correct, but does not stand out in one way or another. | Overall quality of assignment is lacking. This may be due to poor visual quality, and/or additional poorly implemented features that detract from the overall usability. | 0 Serious problems with overall design. |
| Instructions provided & software easy to run | Instructions for the TA to run software are given & easy to follow. | Instructions are not given or unclear how to run software. | 0 Instructions are not given, and unclear how to run software. | |

Note that for marks to be in the highest column, we should see design documentation for the feature, and a design rationale in a formatted report, (use your own judgment for length) though inclusion of these will not guarantee a top result.