

# **COMP232 SECTION (PP)**

**Mustafa Alawadi (40217764)**

**Presented to Professor Hassan Hajjdiab**

**Due October 6, 2023**

**I certify that this submission is my original work and meets the Faculty's Expectations of Originality.**

**Mustafa Alawadi**

**Date: October 6**

1.

Due 6/10/2023 Mustafa Alawadi 40217764

## Assignment 2

1. a)  $P \rightarrow q$  If P then q Modus Tollens  
 $\neg P$  Not P thus not q

$\therefore \neg q$

It's invalid

contingency

~~Tautology~~:  $(\neg P \wedge (P \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \neg q$

P	q	$\neg P \wedge (P \rightarrow q)$
F	F	T
F	T	F
T	F	T
T	T	T

b)  $P \rightarrow q$  If P then q Modus Tollens  
 $\neg q$  not q thus not P  
 $\therefore \neg P$

It's valid Tautology:  $(\neg q \wedge (P \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \neg P$

P	q	$(\neg q \wedge (P \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow \neg P$
F	F	T
F	T	T
T	F	T
T	T	T

c)  $P \wedge \neg P$  If P and not P (always false)  
 Then q

$\therefore q$

Valid Tautology:  $(P \wedge \neg P) \rightarrow q$

P	q	$(P \wedge \neg P) \rightarrow q$
F	F	T
F	T	T
T	F	T
T	T	T

d)  $P \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$   
 $q \rightarrow (P \rightarrow r)$   
 $\therefore (P \vee q) \rightarrow r$

$((P \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)) \wedge (q \rightarrow (P \rightarrow r))) \rightarrow (P \vee q) \rightarrow r$

invalid contingency

P	q	$(P \rightarrow \dots)$
T	T	T
F	F	T
T	T	T
T	F	F
T	T	T
T	T	F
T	T	T
T	T	T

c)  $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (r \rightarrow s)$

$p \vee r$

$\therefore q \vee s$

$((p \rightarrow q) \wedge (r \rightarrow s)) \wedge (p \vee r) \rightarrow q \vee s$  Invalid Tautology

p	q	r	s	$((p \rightarrow q) \wedge (r \rightarrow s)) \wedge (p \vee r) \rightarrow q \vee s$
T	T	T	T	T
T	T	T	F	T
T	T	F	T	T
T	T	F	F	T
T	F	T	T	T
T	F	T	F	T
T	F	F	T	T
T	F	F	F	T
F	T	T	T	T
F	T	T	F	T
F	T	F	T	T
F	T	F	F	T
F	F	T	T	T
F	F	T	F	T
F	F	F	T	T
F	F	F	F	T

2.

A) P: Democrats win : 1  
 q: Economy is up : 2  
 r: Republican wins : 3  
 s: unemployment is up

$$P \rightarrow q \wedge (r \rightarrow s)$$

$$p \vee r$$

$$\therefore s \vee q$$

$$(((P \rightarrow q) \wedge (r \rightarrow s)) \wedge (p \vee r)) \rightarrow s \vee q$$

P	q	r	s	$((P \rightarrow q) \wedge (r \rightarrow s)) \wedge (p \vee r)$	$s \vee q$
T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	T	F	T	T
T	T	F	T	T	T
T	T	F	F	T	T
T	F	T	T	T	T
T	F	T	F	T	T
T	F	F	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	T	T
F	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	T	F	T	T
F	T	F	T	T	T
F	T	F	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	F	T	T
F	F	F	T	T	T
F	F	F	F	T	T

Valid Tautology



b) P: Democrats win    r: Republicans win  
 q: Economy is up    s: Unemployment is up

$$(P \vee r) \rightarrow (s \vee q)$$

$$P \wedge \neg s$$

$$\therefore q$$

If P or r, then s or q

P and  $\neg s$ , thus q

valid Tautology:  $((P \vee r) \rightarrow (s \vee q)) \wedge (P \wedge \neg s) \rightarrow q$

P	q	r	s	$((P \vee r) \rightarrow (s \vee q)) \wedge (P \wedge \neg s)$	$\rightarrow q$
F	F	F	F	F	T
F	F	F	T	F	T
F	F	T	F	F	T
F	F	T	T	F	T
F	F	F	F	F	T
F	T	F	T	F	T
F	T	T	F	F	T
F	T	T	T	F	T
T	F	F	F	T	F
T	F	F	T	T	F
T	F	T	F	T	F
T	F	T	T	T	F
T	T	F	F	T	T
T	T	F	T	T	T
T	T	T	F	T	T
T	T	T	T	T	T

c) P: Democrats win  
 q: The economy is up

r: Republicans win  
 s: unemployment is up

$$P \rightarrow s$$

$$r \rightarrow q$$

$$P \oplus r$$

$$\neg P$$

$\therefore q$

invalid, contingency:  $((P \rightarrow s) \wedge (r \rightarrow q) \wedge (P \oplus r) \wedge (\neg P)) \rightarrow q$

P	q	r	s	$((P \rightarrow s) \wedge (r \rightarrow q) \wedge (P \oplus r) \wedge (\neg P)) \rightarrow q$
F	F	F	F	F
F	F	F	T	F
F	F	T	F	F
F	F	T	T	T
F	T	F	F	F
F	T	F	T	F
F	T	T	F	F
F	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	F
T	F	F	T	F
T	F	T	F	F
T	F	T	T	F
T	T	F	F	F
T	T	F	T	F
T	T	T	F	F
T	T	T	T	F

3.

$$\begin{matrix} S_1 \\ S_2 \\ \vdots \\ S_n \\ \therefore C \end{matrix}$$

3.

$P$ : If it rains                       $r$ : Sailing race will be held  
 $q$ : If it is foggy                 $s$ : The lifesaving demonstration will go on  
 $T$ : Trophy will be awarded

Hypotheses:  ~~$r \rightarrow T$~~

$(\neg p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow \neg(r \wedge s), r \rightarrow T, \neg T$

conclusion:  $P$

	Step	Reason
<del>1.</del>	<del>1.</del> $(\neg p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow \neg(r \wedge s)$	<del>Premise</del>
2.	$r \wedge s$	Simplification <del>Modus Ponens</del>
3.	$r \rightarrow T$	Premise
4.	<del><math>T</math></del>	Modus Ponens
5.	$\neg T$	Premise

4.

4.

$C(x)$ :  $x$  is in class  
 $F(x)$ :  $x$  has been to France  
 $L(x)$ :  $x$  has visited Louvre

$\exists x (C(x) \wedge F(x))$  Hypothesis  
 $C(x) \wedge F(x)$   
 $C(x)$ : simplification  
 $F(x)$ : simplification  
 $\exists x (F(x) \rightarrow L(x))$  Hypothesis  
 $\exists F(x) \rightarrow L(x)$   
 $L(x)$   
 $C(x) \wedge L(x)$  conjunction  
 $\exists x (C(x) \wedge L(x))$  Existential generalization



5.

5. A) If  $x$  is irrational, then  $x^2$  is also irrational.

Proving by contradiction, if  $x$  is rational then  $x^2$  is rational

let  $x = \frac{a}{b}$ , where  $b \neq 0$

$$x^2 = \frac{a^2}{b^2}, \text{ for } a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Because the relation is true, we conclude that for  $x$  as real number, if  $x^2$  is irrational then  $x$  is also irrational.

B) If  $3n-5$  is even, then  $n$  is odd

Proof by contraposition, if  $n$  is even, then  $3n-5$  is odd,

let  $n = 2k$

$$3(2k) - 5 = 6k - 5$$

$6k$  similar to  $2k$  will always be even, when  $-5$ , will always be a number that's odd, thus by proof of contraposition if  $3n-5$  is even, then  $n$  is odd

C) Since the value of  $x$  is the absolute value, we can check for two cases, one for non-negative  $x$  and one for a negative  $x$

Case 1: if  $x \geq 0$ , and  $|x| = x$

Case 2: if  $x < 0$ , and  $|x| = -x$

$x < 0$  is smaller than  $|x|$

d)  $x$  and  $y$  are odd integers,  $x = 2k+1$ ,  $y = 2t+1$   
for  $k, t$  be integers

$$x + y = (2k+1) + (2t+1) = 2k + 2t + 2$$

$$= 2(k+t+1)$$

replace  $(k+t+1) = m$

so  $2m$  is even, thus proven by direct proof



e) If  $x = (-2, 2)$ , then  $\log x = -2$ . and  $y = (-4, 4)$ , then  $\log y = -4$  then  $-2 - (-4) = 2$ , therefore if:  $(-2) - (-4) = 2$

6.

6. 1.  $\forall x (P(x) \vee Q(x))$  Premise
2.  $P(a) \vee Q(a)$  Universal instantiation
3.  $\forall x ((\neg P(x) \wedge Q(x)) \rightarrow R(x))$  Premise
4.  $\neg P(x) \wedge Q(x) \rightarrow R(x)$  Universal instantiation
5.  $\neg(\neg P(x) \wedge Q(x)) \vee R(x)$  Law of Implication
6.  $P(x) \vee \neg Q(x) \vee R(x)$  De Morgan's Law
7.  $P(x) \vee R(x)$  Resolution from 2 and 6
8.  $R(x) \vee P(x)$  Commutative law
9.  $\neg(\neg R(x)) \vee P(x)$  Reversing
10.  $\neg R(x) \rightarrow P(x)$  Law of implication
11.  $\forall x (\neg R(x) \rightarrow P(x))$  Universal generalization

Thus,  $\forall x (\neg R(x) \rightarrow P(x))$  is true.

7.

7. a) If  $m, n$  be negative integers there is a solution.

Assume for all  $m, n$  in positive integers,  $m^3 + 2n^2 = 36$  has at least one solution.

$$m^3 + 2n^2 = 36$$

let  $m = 2x$  and  $n = 2y$ , where  $x$  and  $y$  are positive integers  
substitute into the equation:  $(2x)^3 + 2(2y)^2 = 36$

$$8x^3 + 8y^2 = 36$$

$$2x^3 + 2y^2 = 9$$

Since LHS have even equality and on RHS it's odd equality, we conclude that it isn't possible for  $m^3 + 2n^2 = 36$  to have any solutions in positive integers.

b)  $n = 2k$

$$n^3 + n = (2k)^3 + 2k$$

$$2^3 k^3 + 2k$$

$$8k^3 + 2k$$

Let's factor 2 from  $8k^3 + 2k$

$$2(4k^3 + k)$$

$$4k^3 + k \text{ by } m$$

(2m), Thus, by direct proof for every  $n$ ,  $n^3 + n$  is even

c)  $n$  is odd, for  $n+2$  is odd.

Using contradiction to prove

$n$  is even,  $n = 2k$

$2k+2$  is even

$$2(k+1)$$

replace  $(k+1)$  by  $m$

$2m$  is even, thus  $n$  is odd,  $n+2$  is odd

d)  $n \times (n+1)$

$n$  is even,  $n = 2k$

$$(2k) \times (2k+1)$$

$$4k^2 + 2k$$

$$2(2k^2 + k)$$

$2^2k + k$  replace by  $m$

$2(m)$  proved using direct proofs