

Question: You are given the following training examples. Each example has only one attribute, and the classification into positive / negative

<i>Index</i>	<i>X</i>	<i>Label</i>
1	1.0	Positive
2	2.0	Negative
3	4.0	Positive
4	5.0	Positive
5	6.0	Negative
6	7.0	Negative

Your main task is to evaluate the following algorithm that use a set S of training examples to classify the example with attribute value of x .

Algorithm:

Let S_p, S_n be the sets of positive and negative examples in S .

If S_p is empty classify x as negative. If S_n is empty classify x as positive.

Otherwise, compute u_p , the mean of the x values in S_p , and u_n , the mean of the x values in S_n .

If x value is closer to u_p than it is to u_n then classify x as positive. Otherwise classify x as negative.

Example: Using all the training examples above we have: $u_p = 3.33$, $u_n = 5$. Therefore, an example with $x = 2.5$ is classified as positive.

- (a) Use leave-one-out cross validation to estimate the errors of Algorithm above [3 Points]
- (b) Use 3 Fold CV to estimate the errors of Algorithm above. [3 Points]

Solution (a) Error = 0.5;

Example a: test, 1.0 +ve; $S_p=\{4,5\}$, $S_n=\{2,6,7\}$, thus $\text{mean}(p) = 4.5$; $\text{mean}(n) = 5$, Thus 1 is near to $\text{mean}(p)$. Correct

Example b: test, 2.0 -ve; $S_p=\{1,4,5\}$, $S_n=\{6,7\}$, thus $\text{mean}(p) = 3.33$; $\text{mean}(n) = 6.5$, Thus 2 is near to $\text{mean}(p)$. Incorrect

Example c: test, 4.0 +ve; $S_p=\{1, 5\}$, $S_n=\{2, 6,7\}$, thus $\text{mean}(p) = 3$; $\text{mean}(n) = 5$, Thus 4 is near to both. Tie. Incorrect

Example d: test, 5.0 +ve; $S_p=\{1, 4\}$, $S_n=\{2, 6,7\}$, thus $\text{mean}(p) = 2.5$; $\text{mean}(n) = 5$, Thus 5 is near to $\text{mean}(n)$. Incorrect

Example e: test, 6.0 -ve; $S_p=\{1, 4, 5\}$, $S_n=\{2, 7\}$, thus $\text{mean}(p) = 3.33$; $\text{mean}(n) = 4.5$, Thus 6 is near to $\text{mean}(n)$. Correct

Example f: test, 7.0 -ve; $S_p=\{1, 4, 5\}$, $S_n=\{2, 6\}$, thus $\text{mean}(p) = 3.33$; $\text{mean}(n) = 4$, Thus 7 is near to $\text{mean}(n)$. Correct

<i>Index</i>	<i>X</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Predicted</i>	<i>Mean</i>
<i>A</i>	<i>1.0</i>	<i>Positive</i>	<i>Positive</i>	<i>4.5,5</i>
<i>B</i>	<i>2.0</i>	<i>Negative</i>	<i>Positive</i>	<i>3.33, 6.5</i>
<i>C</i>	<i>4.0</i>	<i>Positive</i>	<i>Negative / Positive</i>	<i>3,5</i>
<i>D</i>	<i>5.0</i>	<i>Positive</i>	<i>Negative</i>	<i>2.5,5</i>
<i>E</i>	<i>6.0</i>	<i>Negative</i>	<i>Negative</i>	<i>3.33,4.5</i>
<i>F</i>	<i>7.0</i>	<i>Negative</i>	<i>Negative</i>	<i>3.33,4</i>

Solution (b)

<i>Index</i>	<i>X</i>	<i>Label</i>
<i>A</i>	<i>1.0</i>	<i>Positive</i>
<i>B</i>	<i>2.0</i>	<i>Negative</i>
<i>C</i>	<i>4.0</i>	<i>Positive</i>
<i>D</i>	<i>5.0</i>	<i>Positive</i>
<i>E</i>	<i>6.0</i>	<i>Negative</i>
<i>F</i>	<i>7.0</i>	<i>Negative</i>

b. Use 3 Fold CV to estimate the errors of Algorithm above.) [3 Points]

1st Fold; train.index = {A,B,C,D} ; test.index = {E,F} => train.sample = {1,2,4,5}; test.sample = {6,7}
 SP = {1,4,5}, Sn = {2}, mean(p) = 3.33; mean(n) = 2. Both {6,7} i.e. E, F near to positives thus both examples incorrect

2st Fold; train.index = {A, B, E, F} ; test.index = {C,D} => train.sample = {1,2,6,7}; test.sample = {4,5}
 SP = {1}, Sn = {2,6,7}, mean(p) = 1; mean(n) = 3. 4 and 5 near to 3 thus both incorrect

3rd Fold; train.index = {C, D, E, F} ; test.index = {A,B} => train.sample = {4, 5, 6, 7}; test.sample = {1,2}
 SP = {4,5}, Sn = {6,7} = mean(p) = 4.5, mean(n) = 6.5. One correct and one incorrect

Total Error = 5/6

<i>Index</i>	<i>X</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Predicted</i>	<i>Mean</i>
<i>A</i>	<i>1.0</i>	<i>Positive</i>	<i>Positive</i>	<i>4.5, 6.5</i>
<i>B</i>	<i>2.0</i>	<i>Negative</i>	<i>Positive</i>	<i>4.5, 6.5</i>
<i>C</i>	<i>4.0</i>	<i>Positive</i>	<i>Negative</i>	<i>1.0, 3.0</i>
<i>D</i>	<i>5.0</i>	<i>Positive</i>	<i>Negative</i>	<i>1.0, 3.0</i>
<i>E</i>	<i>6.0</i>	<i>Negative</i>	<i>Positive</i>	<i>3.33, 2</i>
<i>F</i>	<i>7.0</i>	<i>Negative</i>	<i>Positive</i>	<i>3.33, 2</i>