Report-Pilot-Model

S M Mustaquim, Solaiman Emon, James Arthur, Ocansey Thompson

2023-11-21

Data Preparation and Cleaning

```
library(readxl)
library(tidyr)

demographics1 <- read_excel("Non-veterans.xlsx", sheet = "Demographics")
via1 <- read_excel("Non-veterans.xlsx", sheet = "VIA Results")

demographics2 <- read_excel("Veterans.xlsx", sheet = "Demographics")
via2 <- read_excel("Veterans.xlsx", sheet = "VIA Results")

nonvet <- merge(demographics1, via1, by = "Session ID", all = TRUE)
vet <- merge(demographics2, via2, by = "Session ID", all = TRUE)

# Add a new column to the vet dataset to indicate the veteran status
vet <- vet %>% mutate(`Are you a veteran?` = 'Yes')

# Add a new column to the vet dataset to indicate the non-veteran status
nonvet <- nonvet %>% mutate(`Are you a veteran?` = 'No')
```

Now, we find common columns and stack the dataframes on top of each other.

```
#Find the common columns
common_columns <- intersect(names(vet), names(nonvet))

# Extract only the common columns from each dataset
vet_common <- vet[, common_columns, drop = FALSE]
nonvet_common <- nonvet[, common_columns, drop = FALSE]

# Stack the datasets on top of each other
merged_data <- rbind(vet_common, nonvet_common)

# Check if all session IDs are unique
all_unique <- !duplicated(merged_data$Session_ID)

cat("Are all session IDs unique? ", all(all_unique), "\n")</pre>
```

Are all session IDs unique? TRUE

Now, we filter the data for US locations.

```
# Extract the column "Where are you located?"
location_column <- merged_data$`Where are you located?`

# Use the table function to get the counts of each unique category
location_counts <- as.data.frame(table(location_column))

# Create a filter for U.S. locations
us_filter <- grep1("United States|USA|Alabama|Alaska|Arizona|Arkansas|California|Colorado|Connecticut|D

# Apply the filter to the dataframe
us_locations <- merged_data[us_filter, ]</pre>
```

Now, we break down the disability column into specific disabilities.

```
# Extract the column "Are you experiencing any of the following?"
symptoms_column <- us_locations \(^{\text{Are you experiencing any of the following}\)? (Please check all that appl
#unique symptoms and their counts
symptoms_counts <- as.data.frame(table(symptoms_column))</pre>
# Replace "N/A" with actual NA values
us_locations <- us_locations %>%
  mutate(symptoms_column = na_if(symptoms_column, "N/A"))
#Now we create binary variable for each disability symptoms and fill them true or false
#according to the observed symptoms.
symptoms_list <- strsplit(as.character(symptoms_column), ", ")</pre>
unique_symptoms <- unique(unlist(symptoms_list))</pre>
for (symptom in unique_symptoms) {
  us_locations[paste0("Symptom_", symptom)] <- sapply(symptoms_list, function(x) symptom %in% x)
#now, this is our master dataset
dataset_final <- us_locations</pre>
#write.csv(dataset_final, "dataset_final.csv", row.names = FALSE)
```

A simple chi-squared test to see if there is association between homelessness and veteran status.

```
unique_values <- unique(dataset_final[, 13])
occurrences <- table(dataset_final[, 13])

# Display unique values and their occurrences
for (value in unique_values) {
   print(paste("Value:", value, ", Occurrences:", occurrences[value]))
}</pre>
```

```
## [1] "Value: NO , Occurrences: 33876"
## [1] "Value: YES , Occurrences: 369"
## [1] "Value: N/A , Occurrences: 344"
# Let us delete the missing values
# Replace variations of missing values with standard NA representation
dataset_final$`Are you currently experiencing homelessness?` <- na_if(dataset_final$`Are you currently
dataset_final$ Are you currently experiencing homelessness? <- na_if(dataset_final$ Are you currently
# Remove rows with missing values
dataset final <- dataset final[!is.na(dataset finals Are you currently experiencing homelessness?), ]
# Chi-Squared Test
# Create a contingency table
contingency_table_homelessness <- table(dataset_final\$`Are you a veteran?`, dataset_final\$`Are you curr
# Display the contingency table
print(contingency_table_homelessness)
##
##
            NO
                 YES
##
    No 11378
                  39
    Yes 22498
##
                 330
# Perform the chi-squared test
chi_square_result_homelessness <- chisq.test(contingency_table_homelessness)</pre>
# Print the chi-squared test result
print(chi_square_result_homelessness)
##
## Pearson's Chi-squared test with Yates' continuity correction
## data: contingency_table_homelessness
## X-squared = 85.99, df = 1, p-value < 2.2e-16
if (chi_square_result_homelessness$p.value < 0.05) {</pre>
 print("There is a significant association between veteran status and homelessness.")
} else {
  print("There is no significant association between veteran status and homelessness.")
## [1] "There is a significant association between veteran status and homelessness."
```

Also, we show the prevalence of homelessness in both veterans and nonveterans.

```
# Get the contingency table
contingency_table_homelessness <- table(dataset_final$`Are you a veteran?`, dataset_final$`Are you curr</pre>
```

```
# Extract counts from the contingency table
count_homeless_veterans <- contingency_table_homelessness["Yes", "YES"]</pre>
count_homeless_civilians <- contingency_table_homelessness["No", "YES"]</pre>
# Calculate total counts in each group
total_veterans <- sum(contingency_table_homelessness["Yes", ])</pre>
total_civilians <- sum(contingency_table_homelessness["No", ])</pre>
# Calculate prevalence
prevalence_homeless_veterans <- count_homeless_veterans / total_veterans</pre>
prevalence_homeless_civilians <- count_homeless_civilians / total_civilians
# Display the results
print(paste("Prevalence of homelessness among veterans: ", round(prevalence_homeless_veterans * 100, 2)
## [1] "Prevalence of homelessness among veterans: 1.45 %"
print(paste("Prevalence of homelessness among civilians: ", round(prevalence_homeless_civilians * 100,
## [1] "Prevalence of homelessness among civilians: 0.34 %"
Now, we do some simple data cleaning before we apply our model.
# The types of variables in the dataset
column_types <- sapply(dataset_final, class)</pre>
print(column_types)
# Find the occurrences of each unique value in the column
occurrences <- table(dataset_final \text{\text{*}} How many children under 18 years old live in your household? )
# Display the occurrences
print(occurrences)
# Convert the result to a data frame
occurrences_df <- as.data.frame(occurrences)</pre>
# Display the occurrences data frame
print(occurrences_df)
# Extract the column to be cleaned
children_column <- dataset_final$`How many children under 18 years old live in your household?`</pre>
# Replace "None" and "One" with NA
children_column[children_column %in% c("None", "One")] <- NA
# Convert the column to numeric
children_column <- as.numeric(children_column)</pre>
# Replace values less than 0 or greater than 20 with NA
```

```
children_column[children_column < 0 | children_column > 20] <- NA</pre>
# Impute missing values with the mean
mean_value <- mean(children_column, na.rm = TRUE)</pre>
children_column[is.na(children_column)] <- mean_value</pre>
# Round the values to the nearest integer
children_column <- round(children_column)</pre>
# Create a new column in the dataframe with cleaned and imputed values
dataset_final$`Cleaned_Children_Column` <- children_column</pre>
date_of_birth_column <- dataset_final$`What is your date of birth?`</pre>
# Convert the character column to Date format
date_of_birth_column <- as.Date(date_of_birth_column)</pre>
# Specify today's date
current_date <- as.Date("2023-11-20") # Replace with the current date in "YYYY-MM-DD" format
# Calculate ages based on the specified current date
ages_in_days <- as.integer(difftime(current_date, date_of_birth_column, units = "days"))</pre>
ages_in_years <- ages_in_days / 365.25 # Considering leap years</pre>
# Impute missing values with the mean age
mean_age <- mean(ages_in_years, na.rm = TRUE)</pre>
ages_in_years[is.na(ages_in_years)] <- mean_age</pre>
# Round ages to the nearest integer
ages_in_years <- round(ages_in_years)</pre>
# Create a new column in the dataframe with ages
dataset_final$`Age_Column` <- ages_in_years</pre>
#-----
column_name <- 'What is your current employment status?'</pre>
# Calculate the mode of the column
mode_value <- names(sort(table(dataset_final[[column_name]]), decreasing = TRUE))[1]</pre>
# Impute missing values with the mode
dataset_final[[column_name]] <- ifelse(is.na(dataset_final[[column_name]]), mode_value, dataset_final[[
column_name_education <- 'What is your highest level of education?'</pre>
# Calculate the mode of the column
mode_value_education <- names(sort(table(dataset_final[[column_name_education]]), decreasing = TRUE))[1</pre>
```

```
# Impute missing values with the mode
dataset_final[[column_name_education]] <- ifelse(is.na(dataset_final[[column_name_education]]), mode_va
#-----
column_name_gender <- 'How do you identify your gender?'</pre>
# Calculate the mode of the column
mode value gender <- names(sort(table(dataset final[[column name gender]]), decreasing = TRUE))[1]
# Impute missing values with the mode
dataset_final[[column_name_gender]] <- ifelse(is.na(dataset_final[[column_name_gender]]), mode_value_ge
column_name_marital_status <- 'What is your current marital status?'</pre>
# Calculate the mode of the column
mode_value_marital_status <- names(sort(table(dataset_final[[column_name_marital_status]]), decreasing =
# Impute missing values with the mode
dataset_final[[column_name_marital_status]] <- ifelse(is.na(dataset_final[[column_name_marital_status]]
#-----
column_name_income <- 'What is your total annual household income'</pre>
# Calculate the proportions of each category
income_category_proportions <- table(dataset_final[[column_name_income]]) / sum(!is.na(dataset_final[[c</pre>
# Impute missing values by sampling from existing categories
dataset_final[[column_name_income]] <- ifelse(</pre>
 is.na(dataset_final[[column_name_income]]),
 sample(names(income_category_proportions), sum(is.na(dataset_final[[column_name_income]])), replace =
 dataset_final[[column_name_income]]
missing_percentage <- colMeans(is.na(dataset_final)) * 100</pre>
# Display the result
print(missing_percentage)
#-----
write.csv(dataset_final, "dataset_final.csv", row.names = FALSE)
#-----
data_types <- sapply(dataset_final, class)</pre>
print(data_types)
```

Logistic Regression Model

Now, we apply a simple logistic regression model.

Evaluation of the model:

Reference

##

```
# Install and load necessary libraries
# install.packages("caret")
library(caret)

# Make predictions on the original dataset
predicted_probabilities <- predict(model, type = "response")

# Convert probabilities to class predictions
predicted_classes <- ifelse(predicted_probabilities > 0.5, "YES", "NO")

# Evaluate the model using confusion matrix and other metrics
conf_matrix <- confusionMatrix(factor(predicted_classes), dataset$`Are you currently experiencing homel
print(conf_matrix)</pre>
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
```

```
## Prediction
                 NO
                      YES
##
         NO 33845
                      280
##
         YES
                 31
                       89
##
##
                  Accuracy: 0.9909
##
                    95% CI: (0.9899, 0.9919)
##
       No Information Rate: 0.9892
       P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 0.001022
##
##
##
                     Kappa: 0.3606
##
   Mcnemar's Test P-Value : < 2.2e-16
##
##
               Sensitivity: 0.9991
##
##
               Specificity: 0.2412
##
            Pos Pred Value: 0.9918
##
            Neg Pred Value: 0.7417
##
                Prevalence: 0.9892
##
            Detection Rate: 0.9883
##
      Detection Prevalence: 0.9965
##
         Balanced Accuracy: 0.6201
##
          'Positive' Class : NO
##
##
```

The data is highly imbalanced on the response variable. The model could not provide a good prediction on the true YES classes.

So, we apply a weights on imbalanced classes.

Weighted Logistic Regression

Now, we evaluate this revised model.

```
library(caret)

# Make predictions on the original dataset using model2
predicted_probabilities <- predict(model2, type = "response")

# Convert probabilities to class predictions
predicted_classes <- ifelse(predicted_probabilities > 0.5, "YES", "NO")
```

Evaluate the model using confusion matrix and other metrics conf_matrix <- confusionMatrix(factor(predicted_classes), dataset\$^Are you currently experiencing homel print(conf_matrix)</pre>

```
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
             Reference
## Prediction
                 NO
                      YES
##
          NO 29314
                       70
##
          YES 4562
                      299
##
##
                  Accuracy : 0.8647
##
                    95% CI: (0.8611, 0.8683)
##
       No Information Rate: 0.9892
       P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 1
##
##
                     Kappa : 0.0962
##
##
##
    Mcnemar's Test P-Value : <2e-16
##
##
               Sensitivity: 0.86533
               Specificity: 0.81030
##
##
            Pos Pred Value: 0.99762
##
            Neg Pred Value: 0.06151
##
                Prevalence: 0.98922
##
            Detection Rate: 0.85601
##
      Detection Prevalence: 0.85805
##
         Balanced Accuracy: 0.83782
##
          'Positive' Class : NO
##
##
```

It has better prediction on the yes classes than the previous model.