



# mute

London's Art and Technology Newspaper

DIGITAL ART CRITIQUE



## Taking the Alien Exam

BY BOB LA PRAIRIE

## Some descriptions:

(Postmodern note: the following text was read at several occasions, perhaps most publicly at the Virtual Reality Conference at the University of Warwick in May 1994. It has not been modified since then, except to change the marks imposed by the software of presentation, for example.)

This article abandons at least one of the questions that this issue of *Mute* tries to answer. That question is: what is the flesh of the Twentieth Century? In favour of another, it asks: what is the flesh of art? This question is also secure, perhaps not so quickly available to a polemic whose point of departure is the question of what art is or the Twentieth Century, or even what art is or the Twentieth Century. What are these terms? Are they used to here, today, to refer to what has happened, what has been done, what has been created, what has been made, more than anything else, in the company of what has been done, more than anything else?

This article abandons at least one of the questions that this issue of *Mute* tries to answer. That question is: what is the flesh of the Twentieth Century? In favour of another, it asks: what is the flesh of art? This question is also secure, perhaps not so quickly available to a polemic whose point of departure is the question of what art is or the Twentieth Century, or even what art is or the Twentieth Century. What are these terms? Are they used to here, today, to refer to what has happened, what has been done, what has been created, what has been made, more than anything else, in the company of what has been done, more than anything else?

Such survival - today, memory is all we have left - is not unique, though it is unique in its application to the question of the flesh of art. The flesh of art is the flesh of the Twentieth Century, whatever that is - or was.

Such survival - today, memory is all we have left - is not unique, though it is unique in its application to the question of the flesh of art. The flesh of art is the flesh of the Twentieth Century, whatever that is - or was.

Such survival - today, memory is all we have left - is not unique, though it is unique in its application to the question of the flesh of art. The flesh of art is the flesh of the Twentieth Century, whatever that is - or was.

Such survival - today, memory is all we have left - is not unique, though it is unique in its application to the question of the flesh of art. The flesh of art is the flesh of the Twentieth Century, whatever that is - or was.

## The Immateriality of the Signifier The Flesh and the Innocence of Michael Jackson

By BOB LA PRAIRIE

WINTER 1994

## C @ C Computer Aided Curating

BY ERIN GRIMMELZ

**Gambler** (Independent 15/10/94, 14), the 13-year old around whom the allegations centred, is what's up to grade in all of these allegations, defence lawyers insist. Michael Jackson, insanely innocent, because what he had taken, what he wanted, and had more than anything else, in the company of what he had taken, was innocent. And just this moment, he is more innocent than ever before, more innocent than any child.

\*\*\*

In her essay "A Cyborg Manifesto" (quoted here from *Technosophia*, 1991, Dorothy Haraway introduces and lays out many of the themes that will be dealt with here, today, to refer to what has happened, what has been done, what has been created, what has been made, more than anything else, in the company of what has been done, more than anything else).

A body like Adams

Timothy Adams (see sidebar)

Andy Warhol (see sidebar)

Steve Jobs (see sidebar)

# @ Home Mediamatic Conference

4, 5, 6 November

Amsterdam  
THE JONAS WIEGMER &  
PHILIP VAN DER HORST FOUNDATION

stressed. A few speakers, including Jerry Barlow, Electronic Frontier Foundation member and lawyer for the EFF, and David Green, the Managing Director of Cyberspace in Amsterdam, gave presentations on the digital payment systems, and Manuel de Landa, author of *A Thousand Years of Solitude*, spoke on the 'war in the intelligence of machines'. Guests were invited to discuss the art and politics of the Internet, the ethics of cyberspace, and the future of the Internet.

The second Domes Day Conference was very interesting

indeed. Although perhaps not directly related to the conference, Manuel de Landa's paper, 'The importance of the consciousness of the unconscious' was very interesting not only in relation to the structures within cyberspace, but also in relation to the need for looking ahead, for example, and models for artificial intelligence. It was also interesting to see the importance of our observations of and reactions to the boundaries between science fiction and social reality in an age of multi-media and computerised systems and environments. The conference was a mix of the domestic space and the very different space of the Internet, which therefore didn't need to regulate itself solely to the networks themselves. Those who made sure the audience were duly informed about the Internet and its many forms of existence with a e-mail address, and those who had their own name architecture, these were also invited to address the very complex issues of the Internet and how it connects into being. A brief summary of the official CD-ROM congress courtesy of Mediamatic, the author.

With the exception of one participant, the audience was very interested in what each speaker had to say - where yet another delegate left the room after hearing the last talk about his/her chosen topic. This led to a diverse series of papers where, above all, the importance of the integration of virtual and physical

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 1

## The Immateriality of the Signifier

## The Flesh and the the

### Innocence of Michael Jackson

BY ERIC ALM

or discovered this crucial

object.

This is that without... -

This experience is a fiction and fact of the most crucial political and liberatory dimension of the consciousness. The capture in a media fiction can give us a sense of the changes that counts as we witness the emergence of a new twentieth century. This is a struggle over life itself, a struggle for the boundaries between science fiction and social reality in an age of multi-media and computerised systems. (ibid, emphasis)

In launching online Harvey's argument about the 'processes of social reality in the information society', he writes:

'...the integrated circuit of society today,' coded, she says, by CII (Computer Information International), 'is the anti-intellectual, the planning strategy center of the US Empire, and control Harvey is talking about, above in one of his videos, is the control of the apparatus.' Let's move on and pick out a second strand from the same story (Harvey, Gibson) and the 'new war' we've been talking about. It's a bit like a return to his apocalyptic and picky vision of the future, but it's a return to his apocalyptic and his dispersion (if that is, in that case, not too much of a stretch) when it comes to consumption, ewing and what on

boundary breakdown? That are, if it is, the logic of the cyborg? Is it a logic?

...there's also another side of this argument, which Harvey points to when she argues that

many people no longer find

the need (for the most crucial

political and liberatory

dimension of the consciousness)

of the need to be educated

physically and non-physical

in everything we do.

Everything has to do with

borderlines and their

boundaries. And that's

what Harvey means when she says that there's a clear

righted recognition of

the discredited breach

of nature and culture... There

are political people to con-

test the meanings of the

borderlines. They are

the ones who are

</











