

# Self Reflection Paper on Project Leadership Experience

## Leadership Experience Reflection

I demonstrated essential leadership qualities and decision-making abilities while assuming the leadership role throughout the Project Proposal Phase of the endeavor to replicate the 2007 study on early Parkinson's disease (PD) biomarkers by Scherzer et al. This involved effectively managing a variety of tasks and obstacles.

### **Managing Different Tasks:**

Replicating a complex study on Parkinson's disease (PD), a neurodegenerative disorder that impacts millions of people worldwide, constituted the project. In the first study by Scheerzer et al., they used a number of methods, including transcriptome-wide scans, microarrays, and real-time PCR, to look for molecular markers in the blood for early-stage Parkinson's disease.

### **Task Division and Execution:**

- Research and Data Analysis: I diligently searched for additional details on the methodology and interpretations by closely studying Scherzer et al.'s paper and similar research. This was crucial in understanding the genetic profiling and statistical methods used in the original study.
- Technical Challenges: Handling datasets and performing complex analyses like heatmaps required learning and application of bioinformatics tools. My non-biological background added to the challenge, yet I successfully managed the data visualization component.
- Coordination and Communication: Regular communication via Google Chat and group discussions ensured smooth collaboration despite differing schedules among team members.

**Encountered Challenges:**

1. Incomplete and Vague Dataset: The lack of comprehensive data from the original study necessitated additional research and careful interpretation of available information.
2. Diverse Schedules of Team Members: Coordinating with team members who had different schedules required effective communication and flexibility in planning.
3. Technical Knowledge Gap: As a non-biology major, understanding and executing biological data analysis posed a significant learning curve.

**Resolving Challenges:**

1. Collaborative Problem-Solving: I and my group members facilitated group discussions to brainstorm solutions, demonstrating a democratic leadership style that valued each member's input.
2. Utilizing Digital Tools and External Resources: Engaging with tools like ChatGPT, internet research, and consulting professors provided guidance and clarification on complex topics.
3. Personal Development: You invested time in self-education, bridging the knowledge gap in biology and data analysis techniques.

**Evaluation of Solutions:**

The success of my solutions is evident in the completion of the project with a detailed replication of the original study, despite the aforementioned challenges. Our approach to problem-solving was effective in navigating technical hurdles and coordinating team efforts.

**Easiest and Most Difficult Aspects:**

1. Easiest Tasks: Teamwork and collaborative writing were your strong suits. The collective effort in research and writing facilitated a smooth workflow.
2. Most Difficult Part: Handling the dataset and creating heatmaps without prior experience was particularly challenging due to the technical complexity and your initial unfamiliarity with the subject matter.

**Reflection on Leadership Roles:**

- Delegation and Task Allocation: I demonstrated adeptness in dividing work equitably, ensuring each member could contribute effectively based on their strengths.
- Resource Allocation: Our approach to resource management included utilizing digital tools and academic resources efficiently.
- Work Plan and Timeline: Establishing a clear timeline and adhering to it was crucial for project completion, especially considering the diverse schedules of the team members.
- Tracking Progress: Regular communication and updates within the group allowed for effective tracking of progress and timely completion of tasks.

In conclusion, a balanced approach to task management, problem-solving, and team coordination is reflected in the leadership experience gained during this project. Despite initial gaps in knowledge and scheduling conflicts, I successfully managed technical obstacles, facilitated efficient communication, and secured the project's triumph. My personal experience highlights the criticality of adaptability, ongoing education, and collaborative endeavor when it comes to spearheading intricate projects.

## **Reflection on Articles**

Reflecting on my project work and the insights from the articles "Managing Small Projects Fact Sheet" and "Step-by-Step," it's clear how these resources could apply to and enhance project management in the context of my leadership in the project.

### **1. Project and Small Project Management Understanding:**

The article titled "Managing Small Projects Fact Sheet" offers a comprehensive summary of the fundamental aspects of managing small projects. It places a strong emphasis on the significance of standard procedures and takes into consideration specific key elements such as planning, resource management, and risk management. It is consistent with this that our project, which consisted of replicating a study on Parkinson's disease markers, required careful planning, management of stakeholders, and allocation of resources. This is especially true when taking into consideration the computational and time constraints that my group had to deal with.

### **2. Application of Planning and Resource Management Techniques:**

The manner in which the team approached the replication study, in particular with regard to the management of computational resources and the distribution of work among team members, reflects the content of the article, which places an emphasis on adequate resources and flexibility in project management. This is very clear in the selective analysis of 53 genes because of the limited computing power and the sharing of work for sample collection and gene expression profiling.

### **3. Importance of Documentation and Scope Management:**

Similar to the recommendation to ensure that documentation remains concise and pertinent and to customize project management methodologies to align with specific projects, my methodology for documenting and analyzing gene expression data is also pertinent and practical. Notwithstanding the computational limitations that imposed restrictions on the scope, my team successfully generated noteworthy insights by concentrating on a subset of the genes examined in the original study.

### **4. Utilizing Specific Tools for Project Management:**

The article titled "Step-by-Step" presents a seven-step methodology for efficient project management that incorporates various tools such as the Task Duration Table, Work Breakdown Structure, and Project Success Chart. The utilization of these tools could have been advantageous in organizing the various tasks of my project, including gene selection, statistical analysis, and data visualization, thereby guaranteeing a more efficient and effective strategy to accomplish the project's goals.

### **5. Handling Project Limitations and Insights:**

Both resources prioritize the efficient management of project constraints. The project encountered constraints, including incomplete metadata and computational limitations, which had an adverse effect on the replication of the study and its results. As per the sources, it is imperative to recognize and document these constraints in order to facilitate subsequent enhancements.

### **6. Project Leadership and Team Dynamics:**

The leader's responsibility to attentively consider team members' viewpoints, assess their assessments, and guarantee equitable task allocation aligns with the fundamental tenets of efficient teamwork.

Administration and stakeholder involvement are emphasized in the materials. This methodology is critical when it comes to overseeing minor initiatives where individual enthusiasm and dedication from the crew are substantial components.

Finally, by providing structured approaches to planning, resource allocation, and documentation, the tools and recommendations from the articles could have improved the project management procedure. The knowledge acquired from these sources, in conjunction with my adeptness in navigating team dynamics and project limitations, played a pivotal role in the triumphant culmination of my undertaking.

## **Reflection Paper**

The project to replicate Scherzer et al.'s 2007 study on early Parkinson's disease (PD) biomarkers was not just a rigorous academic exercise but also a journey of personal and professional growth. Reflecting on the experience, it becomes evident how the project was interwoven with underlying values, priorities, and significant learning moments.

### **Underlying Values and Priorities:**

#### **1. Commitment to Rigorous Science:**

The project was based on the ideas of scientific rigor and accuracy. To repeat a complicated study, I had to pay close attention to every detail and be devoted to accuracy at all times. Having to deal with incomplete datasets and a lack of technical knowledge put this dedication to the test.

## 2. Collaboration and Team Dynamics:

The importance of working together was a core value that came through the whole project. Working as a team and dividing up the work fairly were not only ways to finish the project, but they also showed a deeper belief in the power of working together.

## 3. Adaptability and resourcefulness:

It was important to be flexible and creative with this project because there were not many computers available and more research had to be done because the original study's dataset was not clear.

## 4. Ethical Responsibility and Integrity:

A strong sense of moral duty and honesty existed, especially when it came to giving true accounts of the results and recognizing the study's flaws.

## **Learning Moments:**

### 1. Overcoming Knowledge Gaps:

Since I was not majoring in biology, it was hard for me to understand how gene expression and PD biomarkers work. Learning on my own and using bioinformatics tools to look at data was a very deep learning experience that helped me improve both my technical skills and my scientific knowledge.

### 2. Navigating Team Challenges:

To manage a group of people with different backgrounds and schedules, I needed to be a good leader and communicate clearly. This experience made me realize how important it is for team members to be flexible and understanding, especially when they are working together remotely and using technology to talk to each other.

### 3. Problem-Solving and Innovation:

Creative problem-solving was needed for the project, especially when it came to technical issues like data visualization that required no prior experience. One useful thing I learned from the project was how important it is to think outside the box and come up with new ideas and solutions.

### 4. Application of Management Theories:

The "Step-by-Step" and "Managing Small Projects Fact Sheet" articles were very helpful in understanding project management theories and putting them to use in real life. This made it easier to organize the project, showing how theoretical knowledge can be used in real life.

## **Personal Significance:**

### 1. Development of Leadership Skills:

The project was very helpful for improving leadership skills, especially in making decisions democratically, delegating tasks, and managing resources. These are useful skills that can be used in any professional setting as well as at the university.

### 2. Realization of Personal Potential:

Even though there were many problems, the project was finished successfully, which showed personal growth. It showed that we can change, learn, and lead in tough situations, which is a big step toward reaching our full potential.

### 3. Enhanced Appreciation for Interdisciplinary Work:

The project made people appreciate working with people from different fields even more by showing how biology, bioinformatics, and data science can work together to solve difficult issues.



#### 4. Insights into Parkinson's Disease Research:

Furthermore, the project gave us a deeper look into the field of PD research by showing how hard it is to find accurate biomarkers for such a crippling illness. This helped scientists learn more about PD and also made people more compassionate and understanding toward those who have it.

Overall, the endeavor to reproduce the 2007 study on biomarkers for Parkinson's disease was a complex undertaking that extended beyond scholarly instruction. The undertaking encompassed scientific rigor, ethical investigation, team cooperation, and individual development. This expedition not only honed vocational proficiencies but also fortified fundamental principles such as cooperation, honesty, and flexibility, all of which will prove indispensable in subsequent undertakings.