

**COMP5631: Cryptography and Security**  
**2025 Spring – Written Assignment Number 2**  
**Handed out: on Feb. 21, 2023**  
**Due: on March 9 by 11:30pm.**

*Please upload your solution paper into Canvas by 23:30 on March 9. No email submission will be accepted.*

- Q1.** Please read the transposition cipher documented in the Appendix of Lecture 2. Then use the example transposition cipher on Slide No. 25 to encrypt the message `killthem`. Write down the corresponding ciphertext. 10 marks

**Solution:** Let us apply the transposition cipher with the following permutation function:

$$\begin{aligned} f : i &\mapsto f(i) \\ 0 &\mapsto 2 \\ 1 &\mapsto 0 \\ 2 &\mapsto 3 \\ 3 &\mapsto 1 \end{aligned}$$

Arranging the plaintext `killthem` in blocks of 4:

k	i	l	l
t	h	e	m

Applying the permutation  $f$  to each block yields the ciphertext:

`lkli etmh`

- Q2.** There are ten pieces of ciphertext in the following URL:

[Click here]

Each of them is obtained by encrypting an English article with a simple substitution cipher. Let  $\ell$  denote the last digit in your student ID number, please then choose the  $((\ell + 1) \bmod 10)$ -th ciphertext and recover the original plaintext.

You may write your own computer programs or use the following online software to compute the frequencies of single letters, digraphs and trigraphs in the ciphertext for you:

<https://www.cryptoclub.org/#vCiphers>

Please write down certain details of your decryption process. You need to write down your decrypted text (i.e., the readable text), but do not need to write down the one-to-one function used for encryption. 30 marks

**Solution:** The last digit of my student ID is 2, so I will decrypt the 3rd ciphertext. The ciphertext is as follows:

YBWCYXMVZYQGVRHAWKDX YQVGDXLVGLQWRQDICDR HKWRWIQDIYIPIBDFWBM  
HBYXW: HWVHBW'R QWWQA. YXXVKUDICQV YKWHVKQHPLBDBRAWU QVUYMDIQAW  
EVPKIYBIYQPKW, LVGL-CWIWKYQWU XYKLVIDRVQVHWR QKYHHWUDI QVVQAWIYGWB  
GYMHKVTDUWYGVKW HKWXDRWGWQAVU ZVKUWQWKGIDICYUWXWYRWU DIUDTDUPYB'R  
YCWQAYIVQAWKZVKWIRDX GWQAVURXYI.

QAWYLVTWCKVPIU IPXBWYKQWRQRQAYQVXXPKKWU LWQNWWI 1955 YIU 1963  
UKYGYQDXYYBM DIXKWYRWUQAW YGVPIQVZQAW DRVQVHWHXYKLVI 14 DIQAW  
YQGVRHAWKW. QAWBWTWBRKYHDUBM WOPYBDSWUYKVPIUQAW CBVLW, WTWI  
QAVPCAQAWWJHBVRDVIR VXXPKKWU YQVIBMYZWN BVXYQDVIR, YIUWIQWKWU  
HBYIQRDIQAWZVVU XAYDIQAKVPCA HAVQVRMIQAWRDR. LM WYQDICHBYIQR,  
YIUUYIDGYBR QAYQZWWUVIHBYIQR, APGYIRYLRVKL XYKLVI 14 YIUWJADLDQ  
BWTWBRVZ QAWLWIDCI, QKYXWYLBW DRVQVHWQAYQ YKWRDGDDBYK QVYQGVHAWKDX  
XVIXWIQKYQDVIR. NAYQDRGVKW, XYKLVI 14 UWXYMRNDQAY AYBZ-BDZWVZ  
5,730 MWYKR, YHAWIVGWIVI QAYQRXDWIQDRQR XYIWJHBVDQ YRYNYMQV  
UWQWKGDIW QAWYCWRVZVLEWXQR QAYQXVIQYDI QAWDRVQVHW. ZVKQAWIWN  
RQPUM, EVIYRZKDRWI VZQAWGWUDXYB IVLWBDIRQDQPQW DIRQVXFVAVBG,  
RNWUWI, YIUADRXVBBWYCPWR YIYBMSWUQAWXYKLVI XVIQWIQVZQVQAWIYGWB.  
LWXYPRWQWWQA UVIVQWJADLDQYIM QPKIVTWKUPKDIC YHWKRVI'R BDZW,  
QAWRXDWIQDRQR XYIUWQWKGDIW NAWIYQVVQAZVKGWU LMXVGHYKDICDQR  
XYKLVI 14 XVIQWIQVHYRQ YQGVHAWKDXBWTWBR. DIYUUDQDVI, YUPBQ  
QWWQAZVKGUPKDICY UDRQDIXQHWKDVU VZXADBUAVVU UWTWBVHGWIQYKVPIU  
YCW 12, RVQADRDIZVKGQYQDVI XYILWQKYIRBYQWUDIQV QAWYCWVZYI  
DIUDTDUPYB.

1. I wrote the decryption code myself, and the code is attached in the supplementary files. The code is written in Python. Below are the steps I followed to decrypt the ciphertext.
2. I first replaced all alphabets according to the frequency of English letters. The resulting text is:

aceralyogatmoiuheswl atomwlbombteitwnrwi useientwnanpncwjecy  
ucale: ueouce'i teeth. allosdwnrto aseuostupbcwihed todaywnthe  
xopsnacnatpse, bomb-renesated lasbonwiotouei tsauuedwn toothernamec  
mayusofwdeamose uselwiemethod gosdetesmwnwnradeleaied wndwfdwpac'i  
arethanthesgoseniwl methodilan.

theabofersopnd nplceasteitithatollpssed between 1955 and 1963  
dsamatwlaccy wnlseaiedthe amopntogthe wiotouelasbon 14 wnthe  
atmoiuhese. thecefecisauwdcy ezpacwqedasopndthe rcobe, efen  
thoprhrtheekucoiwoni ollpssed atoncyagev colatwoni, andentesed  
ucantiwnthegood lhawnth soprh uhotoiyntheiw. by eatwnrucanti,  
andanwmaci thatgeedonucanti, hpmaniabiosb lasbon 14 andekhwbt  
cefeciog thebenwrn, tsaleabce wiotouethat aseiwmcas toatmoiuheswl  
lonlentsatwoni. vhatwimose, lasbon 14 delayivwtha hacg-cwgeog  
5,730 yeasi, auhenomenon thatilwentwiti lanekucowt aiavayto  
detesmwe theareiogobxelti thatlontawn thewiotoue. gosthenev  
itpdy, xonaigswien ogthemedwlac nobecnitwtptpe wnitoljhocm,

iveden, andhwiloccearpei anacyqedthelasbon lontentogtoothenamec.  
belapieteeth donotekhwbwtany tpsnofesdpswnr auesion'i cwge,  
theilwentwiti landetesmwne vhenatoothgosmed bylomuaswnrwiti  
lasbon 14 lontenttouait atmoiuheswlcefeci. wnaddwtwon, adpct  
teethgosmdpswnra dwitwnltueswod ogllhwcdhood defecoumentasopnd  
are 12, iothwiwngosmatwon lanbetsanicatedwnto theareogan  
wndwfdwpac.

3. I then manually replaced some words to improve readability:

acesalyogatmoiuhervl atomvlbombteitvnsvi ureientvnanpncvjecy  
ucale: ueouce'i teeth. allordvnsto areuortupbcvihed todayvnthe  
xoprnacnatpre, bomb-senerated larbonviotouei trauuedvn toothenamec  
mayurofvdeamore urelvimethod gordeterminvvnnsadeleaied vndvfvdpac'i  
asethanothergorenivl methodilan.

theabofesropnd nplcearteitithatollprred between 1955 and 1963  
dramatvlaccy vnlreaiedthe amopntogthe viotouelarbon 14 vnthe  
atmoiuhere. thecefecirauvdcy ezpacvqedaropndthe scobe, efen  
thopshtheekucoivoni ollprred atoncyagew colatvoni, andentered  
ucantivnthegood lhavnthropsh uhotoiyntheivi. by eatvnsucanti,  
andanvmaci thatgeedonucanti, hpmaniabiorb larbon 14 andekhvbt  
cefeciog thebenvsn, traleabce viotouethat areivmvcar toatmoiuhervl  
lonlentratvoni. whatvimore, larbon 14 delayiwwtha hacg-cvgeog  
5,730 yeari, auhenomenon thatilventviti lanekucovt aiawayto  
determinvne theaseiogobxelti thatlontavn theviotoue. gorthenew  
itpdy, xonaigrvien ogthemedvlac nobecvnitvtpte vnitoljhocm,  
iweden, andhvilocceaspei anacyqedthelarbon lontentogtoothenamec.  
belapieteeth donotekhvbtany tprnoferdprvns auerion'i cvge,  
theilventviti landeterminvne whenatoothgormed bylomuarvnsvti  
larbon 14 lontenttouait atmoiuhervlcefeci. vnaddvtvon, adpct  
teethgormdprvnsa dvitvnltuervod ogllhvcdhood defecoumentaropnd  
ase 12, iothvivngormatvon lanbetranicatedvnto theaseogan  
vndvfvdpac.

4. After further refinement, the final decrypted plaintext is:

alegacyofatmospheric atomicbombtestingis presentinanunlikely  
place: people's teeth. accordingto areportpublished todayinthe  
journalnature, bomb-generated carbonisotopes trappedin toothenamel  
mayprovideamore precisemethod fordeterminingadeceased individual's  
agethanotherforensic methods can.

theaboveground nuclearteststhatoccurred between 1955 and 1963  
dramatically increasedthe amountofthe isotopecarbon 14 inthe  
atmosphere. thelevelsrapidly equalizedaroundthe globe, even  
thoughtheexplosions occurred atonlyafew locations, andentered  
plantsinthefood chainthrough photosynthesis. by eatingplants,  
andanimals thatfeedonplants, humansabsorb carbon 14 andexhibit

level of the benign, traceable isotope that are similar to atmospheric concentrations. What is more, carbon 14 decays with a half-life of 5,730 years, a phenomenon that scientists can exploit as a way to determine the ages of objects that contain the isotope. For the new study, Jonas Frisen of the Medical Nobel Institute in Stockholm, Sweden, and his colleagues analyzed the carbon content of tooth enamel. Because teeth do not exhibit any turnover during a person's life, the scientists can determine when a tooth formed by comparing its carbon 14 content to past atmospheric levels. In addition, adult teeth form during a distinct period of childhood development around age 12, so this information can be translated into the age of an individual.

The mapping relationship between the original ciphertext and the decrypted plaintext is as follows:

```
{'A': 'h', 'B': 'l', 'C': 'g', 'D': 'i', 'E': 'j', 'F': 'x', 'G': 'm', 'H': 'p',
'I': 'n', 'J': 'k', 'K': 'r', 'L': 'b', 'M': 'y', 'N': 'w', 'O': 'z', 'P': 'u',
'Q': 't', 'R': 's', 'S': 'q', 'T': 'v', 'U': 'd', 'V': 'o', 'W': 'e', 'X': 'c',
'Y': 'a', 'Z': 'f'}
```

- Q3.** Consider the example cipher on Slide No. 22 of Lecture 3, where  $p$  is a prime. Let  $\ell = 2$  (i.e., the cipher has two rounds of iteration of the round function  $f_h(x)$ ). Write down the encryption function  $E_k(m)$  and decryption function  $D_k(c)$  of this cipher. 20 marks

**Solution:**

For the two-round cipher where  $\ell = 2$ , we have:

1) The round function  $f_h(x)$  is:

$$f_h(x) = ((x + h)^3 + h) \bmod p$$

2) **Encryption Function**  $E_k(m)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} E_k(m) &= f_{k_2}(f_{k_1}(m)) \\ &= \left( (((m + k_1)^3 + k_1) + k_2)^3 + k_2 \right) \bmod p \end{aligned}$$

3) **Decryption Function**  $D_k(c)$ :

The inverse round function is:

$$f_h^{-1}(x) = ((x - h)^u - h) \bmod p$$

where  $u$  is the multiplicative inverse of 3 modulo  $(p - 1)$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} D_k(c) &= f_{k_1}^{-1}(f_{k_2}^{-1}(c)) \\ &= (((c - k_2)^u - k_2) - k_1)^u - k_1 \bmod p \end{aligned}$$

where:  $-k_1 = \alpha^{k+1} \bmod p$  -  $k_2 = \alpha^{k+2} \bmod p$

- Q4.** Given a one-key block cipher  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{K}, E_k, D_k)$ , where  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{C}$  and  $E_k$  maps an  $n$ -bit block into an  $n$ -bit block, we can construct a new one-key block cipher by picking up two keys  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  for the original cipher to form a key  $k = (k_1, k_2)$  for the new block cipher. The encryption and decryption of the new cipher go as follows:

**Encryption:**  $c = E_{k_2}(E_{k_1}(m))$ .

**Decryption:**  $m = D_{k_1}(D_{k_2}(c))$ .

Thus the new block cipher has the same block length as the original cipher, but its key length doubles that of the original cipher. This is the double encryption introduced in Lecture 5.

Design a specific one-key cipher and show that double-encryption with this cipher does not increase the security level of the original cipher at all. [Hint: Consider some of the ciphers on some lecture sides.]

20 marks

**Solution:**

We design a specific one-key block cipher where double encryption does not increase security. Let the message space  $\mathcal{M}$ , ciphertext space  $\mathcal{C}$ , and key space  $\mathcal{K}$  be  $\{0, 1\}^n$ . Define the encryption and decryption operations as follows:

- **Encryption:**  $E_k(m) = m \oplus k$
- **Decryption:**  $D_k(c) = c \oplus k$

For double encryption with keys  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ , the process becomes:

$$E_{k_2}(E_{k_1}(m)) = E_{k_2}(m \oplus k_1) = (m \oplus k_1) \oplus k_2 = m \oplus (k_1 \oplus k_2).$$

This is equivalent to a single encryption with the key  $k_3 = k_1 \oplus k_2$ . Therefore, the effective key space remains  $\{0, 1\}^n$ , not  $\{0, 1\}^{2n}$ . An attacker can brute-force the key in  $O(2^n)$  time, identical to the original cipher. Thus, double encryption provides no security improvement.

- Q5.** Show that the Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange (Agreement) Protocol is insecure with respect to active attacks.

20 marks

**Solution:**

The Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange (DHKE) protocol is vulnerable to man-in-the-middle (MITM) attacks. Here's a demonstration:

1. **Normal Protocol Operation** - Public parameters: prime  $p$ , generator  $g$  - Alice chooses secret  $a$ , sends  $A = g^a \bmod p$  to Bob - Bob chooses secret  $b$ , sends  $B = g^b \bmod p$  to Alice - Shared key:  $K = g^{ab} \bmod p$
2. **MITM Attack Process** - Eve intercepts all communications - When Alice sends  $A$ : \* Eve intercepts  $A$  \* Eve chooses  $e$ , sends  $E = g^e \bmod p$  to Bob - When Bob sends  $B$ : \* Eve intercepts  $B$  \* Eve sends  $E = g^e \bmod p$  to Alice
3. **Result** - Alice computes key  $K_1 = g^{ae} \bmod p$  - Bob computes key  $K_2 = g^{be} \bmod p$  - Eve knows both  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  - Eve can decrypt all messages - Alice and Bob have different keys but don't know it

This shows DHKE is insecure against active attacks without proper authentication mechanisms.