# Midterm Exam Intertemporal Choice Fall, 2016

You are expected to answer all parts of all questions. If you cannot solve part of a question, do not give up. The exam is written so that you should be able to answer later parts even if you are stumped by earlier parts.

Write all answers on the exam itself; if you run out of room, use the back of the previous page.

### Part I

Consumption Dynamics in a Deaton (1992)-Friedman (1957) Model With Transitory and Permanent Shocks.

Consider a consumer solving the maximization problem

$$\max_{\{\mathcal{C}\}_t^{\infty}} \mathbb{E}_t \left[ \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \beta^s \mathbf{u}(C_{t+s}) \right]$$

subject to the transition equations

$$\begin{array}{rcl} B_{t+1} & = & (M_t - C_t) \mathsf{R} \\ P_{t+1} & = & P_t + \Psi_{t+1} \\ Y_{t+1} & = & P_{t+1} + \Xi_{t+1} \\ M_{t+1} & = & B_{t+1} + Y_{t+1} \end{array}$$

where  $\Xi$  and  $\Psi$  are respectively the unpredictable transitory and permanent shocks to the level of income, satisfying  $\mathbb{E}_t[\Psi_{t+n}] = \mathbb{E}_t[\Xi_{t+n}] = 0 \ \forall \ n > 0$ .

1. Rewrite the problem in Bellman equation form, and derive the Euler equation for consumption.

For the remainder of the problem, assume that  $R\beta=1$ ; the utility function is quadratic; and the consumer's level of resources is too small for consumption ever to reach the 'bliss point.'

2. Show that under these assumptions,  $\mathbb{E}_t[C_{t+n}] = C_t \ \forall \ n > 0$ .

3. Use the fact that the intertemporal budget constraint must hold in expectation to prove that optimal consumption in period t is given by

$$C_t = (\mathsf{r/R})(B_t + H_t + \Xi_t) \tag{1}$$

where, designating the infinite horizon present discounted value by the operator  $\mathbb{P}_t(\bullet)$ ,

$$H_t = \mathbb{E}_t[\mathbb{P}_t(Y)] \tag{2}$$

$$= (R/r)P_t \tag{3}$$

1	Show	that
4.	OHOW	บบสเบ

$$\mathbb{E}_t[\Delta Y_{t+1}] = -\Xi_t \tag{4}$$

and explain the intuition for this result.

5. The 'Haig-Simons' definition of saving is the change in resources from one period to the next. Consistent with this, define

$$S_t = B_{t+1}/\mathsf{R} - B_t$$

and show that

$$\mathbb{E}_t[\Delta Y_{t+1}] = -S_t \mathsf{R} - \mathsf{r} B_t \tag{5}$$

$$\approx -S_t \mathsf{R}$$
 (6)

if  $\mathsf{r}B_t$  is small. Explain this result intuitively, and discuss its relationship to Friedman's PIH.

6. Suppose we now construct a forecast of income growth using (6) and perform a regression

$$\Delta C_{t+1} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \, \mathbb{E}_t[\Delta Y_{t+1}]$$

What should our empirical estimate of  $\alpha_1$  be? How do you reconcile this with the fact that the level of consumption is positively related to the level of income?

7. Now suppose that consumption data are measured with iid (=white noise = unpredictable) error,

$$\tilde{C}_{t+1} = C_{t+1} + \chi_{t+1}$$

where  $\mathbb{E}_t[\chi_{t+n}] = 0 \ \forall \ n > 0$  and the variance of  $\chi$  is  $\mathbb{E}_t[\chi_{t+1}^2] = \sigma_{\chi}^2$ .

Suppose that we wish to use  $\Delta \tilde{C}_t$  to forecast  $\Delta \tilde{C}_{t+1}$  from an equation of the form

$$\mathbb{E}_t[\Delta \tilde{C}_{t+1}] = \gamma \Delta \tilde{C}_t.$$

Define the expected squared errors from the forecasting equation as

$$SSE = \mathbb{E}_t[(\Delta \tilde{C}_{t+1} - \mathbb{E}_t[\Delta \tilde{C}_{t+1}])^2]$$

Defining the variance of 'true' changes in consumption as  $\mathbb{E}_t[(\Delta C_{t+1})^2] = \sigma_{\Delta C}^2$ , show that the choice of  $\gamma$  which minimizes the sum of squared errors from such a forecast is

$$\gamma = -\left(\frac{\sigma_{\chi}^2}{\sigma_{\chi}^2 + \sigma_{\Delta C}^2}\right)$$

Explain why this makes sense intuitively and discuss how it relates to the previous result about forecasting income growth. Hint: Your problem is to find the value of  $\gamma$  which minimizes the expected sum of squared errors:

$$\min_{\gamma} SSE \tag{7}$$

and you can use the fact that 'true' consumption growth and measurement error are both iid:  $\mathbb{E}_t[\Delta C_{t+1}\Delta C_t] = \mathbb{E}_t[\Delta C_{t+1}\chi_t] = \mathbb{E}_t[\Delta C_{t+1}\chi_{t-1}] = \mathbb{E}_t[\chi_{t+1}\Delta C_t] = \mathbb{E}_t[\chi_{t+1}\chi_{t-1}] = 0.$ 

### Part II

#### Habit Formation, Sticky Expectations, and Measurement Error.

Although the baseline RandomWalk model of consumption implies that consumption growth is unforecastable, the models in the handouts Habits and StickyExpectations are both consistent with serial correlation in 'true' consumption growth that takes forms like:

$$\Delta C_{t+1} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \Delta C_t + \epsilon_{t+1} \tag{8}$$

1. Explain what the coefficient  $\alpha_1$  is interpreted as measuring in each of the two theories, and give some intuition for why the coefficient in this regression can be interpreted as measuring that object.

In practice, a difficulty of estimating either of these models is that actual reported consumption data from government statistical agencies contains measurement error. Suppose that we have data on measures of the true beliefs, collected at date t, about consumption growth from these sources:

- $\mathbb{B}_t^{\text{hhs}}[\Delta C_t]$ : Answers from a survey of households who are asked directly about what they believe their consumption growth was
- $\mathbb{B}_t^{\text{fed}}[\Delta C_t]$ : Estimates of produced by the Federal Reserve based on aggregate statistics like surveys of retailers

Consider performing regressions of the form

$$\Delta C_{t+1} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1^{\bullet} \mathbb{B}_t^{\bullet} [\Delta C_t] + \zeta_{t+1}$$
 (9)

where the  $\bullet$  is a stand-in for the different methods of measuring beliefs. This generates a potentially different estimate of  $\alpha_1$  for each of the different measures of consumption beliefs.

1. Suppose first that the estimates of  $\alpha_1$  are similar for all measures of consumption growth beliefs, say  $\{\alpha_1^{\text{hhs}}, \alpha_1^{\text{fed}}\} = 0.75$ .

a) Under these conditions and using only these data, the two theories are obviously basically indistinguishable. Can you think of any other kinds of data which might be more useful in distinguishing these theories from each other? How would you go about using those data to distinguish the theories?

Suppose now that if we somehow had access to data on 'true' consumption data, we would be able to show that households have perfect contemporaneous knowledge of their own consumption,  $\mathbb{B}_t^{\text{hhs}}[\Delta C_t] = \Delta C_t$ , while the Fed's contemporaneous beliefs are equal to the truth plus some mean-zero measurement error,  $\mathbb{B}_t^{\text{fed}}[\Delta C_t] = \Delta C_t + \xi_t$  with some variance  $\sigma_{\xi}^2 > 0$ 

2. Now suppose the estimates using these beliefs produce different results. In particular, suppose the coefficient estimates fit the pattern  $\{\alpha_1^{\text{hhs}} > \alpha_1^{\text{fed}}\}$ . Can you reach any new conclusions about the validity of the two theories?

Suppose that, although Fed's direct measure of consumption expenditures is imperfect, its supervision of the banking sector allows it to measure past income  $Y_{t-n}$ , bank balances  $B_{t-n}$ , and saving  $S_{t-n}$  perfectly (where saving is income minus consumption:  $S_{t-n} = \mathsf{r} B_{t-n} + Y_{t-n} - C_{t-n}$  for  $n \geq 0$ .

3. How can the Fed use these data to improve its  $\mathbb{B}_t^{\text{fed}}[\Delta C_t]$ ?

Now suppose the Fed, again through its banking regulatory powers, has microeconomic data about individual households indexed by i on the same variables that are measured in the aggregate data, for example  $c_{t,i}$  etc.

4. Can the Fed use these data to distinguish the two theories? How?

## References

Deaton, Angus S. (1992):  $Understanding\ Consumption$ . Oxford University Press, New York.

FRIEDMAN, MILTON A. (1957): A Theory of the Consumption Function. Princeton University Press.