# Analyzing the Impact of Low Sampling Frequency and Bandwidth on Recurrence Quantification Analysis in Idiographic Ecological Momentary Assessment

Supervised by dr. F. Hasselman and dr. J. Garcia Bernardo

Maas van Steenbergen ©
Utrecht University (MSBBSS)
m.vansteenbergen@uu.nl

#### Introduction

Self-report scales have a long historical precedent in psychology. Ecological momentary assessment (EMA) has made it possible to construct time series based on self-report scales. This approach allows mapping within-person fluctuations of psychological constructs in a systematic manner (Conner et al., 2009). Data collected using these methods display all markers of complex dynamics, which means that the future trajectory of the data generated using these methods is only predictable in the short-term, and that observations are dependent on the state of the system and its externalities at earlier time points (Olthof et al., 2020a). While traditional statistical methods are frequently and fruitfully employed to analyze data generated using EMA, these methods are not suitable for capturing complex temporal within-person patterns (Jenkins et al., 2020; Olthof et al., 2020b).

In psychology, time-dependent within-person dynamics have historically been neglected (Molenaar, 2004). The methods in this paradigm are still in relative infancy within a psychological context. They are often imported from complex dynamical systems theory, which is an area of mathematics that concerns itself with the study of time-dependent dynamics of systems. A popular analysis technique is called Recurrence Quantification Analysis (RQA). It results in the identification of recurrent patterns, or repetitions, in a time series (Webber Jr and Zbilut, 2005). One can then derive several indicators of the stability, predictability, and dynamical behavior of data from these recurrences. This method was developed in the physical sciences under the assumption that measurements can be retrieved at great frequency and at high resolution, to an extent that is

impossible when relying on self-report scales. Hence, it is necessary to systematically assess the consequences of utilizing EMA data on the quality of RQA output (Haslbeck and Ryan, 2022).

#### The current project

The research question is "How are the recurrence indicators recovered using RQA influenced by binning, ceiling effects and sampling frequency?". We present an analysis pipeline consisting of multiple stages. To simulate the toy model and perform the analysis, we will utilize the DynamicalSystems.jl and Statistics.jl julia-packages (Bezanson et al., 2017; Datseris, 2018; Datseris and Parlitz, 2022). Our hypothesis is that the trajectory of indicators under degraded data quality has a degree of predictability. This would enable us to estimate the reliability of inferences drawn from lower quality data.

For the purposes of this project, we assume that the underlying psychological construct is a continuously changing dynamical value (Boker, 2002). We also assume that EMA output values are accurate ordinal, low sampling frequency attempts to measure continuous underlying dynamical processes. It is important to note that this idealized assumption is held for studying the consequences of low sampling frequency and data bandwidth. It does not consider other potential challenges to validity (Stinson et al., 2022; Maul et al., 2016).

#### Stage 1: Data generation

In the first stage, we use a toy model to simulate the data based on the 3 + 1 Dimensions Model introduced by Gauld and Depannemaecker (2023). This model captures clinical observations found in psychiatric symptomatology by modeling internal factors (y), environmental noise (z), temporal specificities (f), and symptomatology (x) using coupled differential equations. Symptomatology will be the outcome variable of this study. By changing all four of these coefficients systematically, we aim to model a large variety of possible trajectories. We save each one of these models as a separate time series. For the purpose of our study, we redefine "symptomatology" as any dynamical fluctuations of psychological constructs (Olthof et al., 2023).

#### Stage 2: Binning data and removing time points

Afterwards, we aim to systematically reduce the quality of the data. We bin a range of the width of the data into n intervals of equal length, where n stands for the number of bins. We also vary the minimum (min) and maximum (max) value of this range to simulate ceiling and floor-effects. Moreover, we remove time points from the data by keeping the first and every  $k^{\rm th}$  observation of the simulated data. We systematically decrease the number of bins, the range, number of time points, and re-analyze the data.

#### Stage 3: Data analysis

We will judge the sensitivity of the data by deriving the recurrence indicators (recurrence rate, determinism, entropy of the distribution) for each time series in each state of degradation. We judge sensitivity to degradation by calculating the deviation of each of these values from the baseline, which are the recurrence values derived for the intact dataset. We will then map the changes as the deviation for these indicators between the baseline and a set of degraded data.

### Ethical approval

The project has been approved by the ethical committee (23-1844). The target journal is Frontiers in Psychology, section Quantitative Psychology and Measurement.

# Appendix

#### Additional papers

#### Within-person research

• Necessity of within-person methods (Hamaker, 2012; Boker, 2002).

#### Dynamical systems

- Theoretical introductions to dynamical systems and chaos theory (Ayers, 1997; Guastello and Gregson, 2016).
- Complexity in the behavioural sciences (Heino et al., 2021; Cui et al., 2023; Sturmberg et al., 2017).
- Scaling laws (Kello et al., 2010).
- Affective Ising model (Loossens et al., 2020).
- Information theory (e.g. Shannon entropy) (Kleeman, 2011).

#### Recurrence Quantification Analysis

- General (Marwan et al., 2007; Marwan and Kraemer, 2023).
- (Multiplex) network approach to recurrence analysis (Donner et al., 2010; Eroglu et al., 2018).
- Multiscale Recurrence Quantification (Xu et al., 2017).
- Automated parameter selection in RQA (Kraemer et al., 2021).

#### Measurement

- General (Hasselman, 2023).
- Difference between measurement in physics and in the behavioural and social sciences (Michell, 1999; Bringmann and Eronen, 2016; Georgescu-Roegen, 1971).
- Discrete vs. continuous time (de Haan-Rietdijk et al., 2017).

#### Ecological momentary assessment

• General (Shiffman et al., 2008).

## References

- Ayers, Susan (1997), "The Application of Chaos Theory to Psychology." *Theory & Psychology*, 7, 373.
- Bezanson, Jeff, Alan Edelman, Stefan Karpinski, and Viral B Shah (2017), "Julia: A fresh approach to numerical computing." SIAM review, 59, 65–98.
- Boker, Steven M. (2002), "Consequences of Continuity: The Hunt for Intrinsic Properties within Parameters of Dynamics in Psychological Processes." *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 37, 405–422.
- Bringmann, Laura F. and Markus I. Eronen (2016), "Heating up the measurement debate: What psychologists can learn from the history of physics." *Theory & Psychology*, 26, 27–43.
- Conner, Tamlin S., Howard Tennen, William Fleeson, and Lisa Feldman Barrett (2009), "Experience Sampling Methods: A Modern Idiographic Approach to Personality Research." Social and Personality Psychology Compass, 3, 292–313.
- Cui, Jingmeng, Fred Hasselman, and Anna Lichtwarck-Aschoff (2023), "Unlocking nonlinear dynamics and multistability from intensive longitudinal data: A novel method."
- Datseris, George (2018), "DynamicalSystems.jl: A Julia software library for chaos and nonlinear dynamics." *Journal of Open Source Software*, 3, 598.
- Datseris, George and Ulrich Parlitz (2022), Nonlinear Dynamics: A Concise Introduction Interlaced with Code. Springer Nature, Cham, Switzerland.
- de Haan-Rietdijk, Silvia, Manuel C. Voelkle, Loes Keijsers, and Ellen L. Hamaker (2017), "Discrete- vs. Continuous-Time Modeling of Unequally Spaced Experience Sampling Method Data." Frontiers in Psychology, 8.
- Donner, Reik V., Y. Zou, Jonathan F. Donges, Norbert Marwan, and Juergen Kurths (2010), "Recurrence networks A novel paradigm for nonlinear time series analysis." *New Journal of Physics*, 12, 033025.
- Eroglu, Deniz, Norbert Marwan, Martina Stebich, and Jürgen Kurths (2018), "Multiplex recurrence networks." *Physical Review E*, 97, 012312.
- Gauld, Christophe and Damien Depannemaecker (2023), "Dynamical systems in computational psychiatry: A toy-model to apprehend the dynamics of psychiatric symptoms." Frontiers in Psychology, 14.
- Georgescu-Roegen, Nicholas (1971), The Entropy Law and the Economic Process, reprint, 1981 edition. Harvard University Press.

- Guastello, Stephen J. and Robert A. M. Gregson (2016), Nonlinear Dynamical Systems Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences Using Real Data. CRC Press.
- Hamaker, Ellen L. (2012), "Why researchers should think "within-person": A paradigmatic rationale." In *Handbook of Research Methods for Studying Daily Life*, 43–61, The Guilford Press, New York, NY, US.
- Haslbeck, Jonas M. B. and Oisín Ryan (2022), "Recovering Within-Person Dynamics from Psychological Time Series." *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 57, 735–766.
- Hasselman, Fred (2023), "Going round in squares: Theory-based measurement requires a theory of measurement." Theory & Psychology, 33, 145–152.
- Heino, Matti T. J., Keegan Knittle, Chris Noone, Fred Hasselman, and Nelli Hankonen (2021), "Studying Behaviour Change Mechanisms under Complexity." Behavioral Sciences, 11, 77.
- Jenkins, Brooke N., John F. Hunter, Michael J. Richardson, Tamlin S. Conner, and Sarah D. Pressman (2020), "Affect variability and predictability: Using recurrence quantification analysis to better understand how the dynamics of affect relate to health." *Emotion*, 20, 391–402.
- Kello, Christopher T., Gordon D. A. Brown, Ramon Ferrer-i-Cancho, John G. Holden, Klaus Linkenkaer-Hansen, Theo Rhodes, and Guy C. Van Orden (2010), "Scaling laws in cognitive sciences." *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 14, 223–232.
- Kleeman, Richard (2011), "Information Theory and Dynamical System Predictability." *Entropy*, 13, 612–649.
- Kraemer, K. H., G. Datseris, J. Kurths, I. Z. Kiss, J. L. Ocampo-Espindola, and N. Marwan (2021), "A unified and automated approach to attractor reconstruction." *New Journal of Physics*, 23, 033017.
- Loossens, Tim, Merijn Mestdagh, Egon Dejonckheere, Peter Kuppens, Francis Tuerlinckx, and Stijn Verdonck (2020), "The Affective Ising Model: A computational account of human affect dynamics." *PLoS computational biology*, 16, e1007860.
- Marwan, Norbert, M. Carmen Romano, Marco Thiel, and Jürgen Kurths (2007), "Recurrence plots for the analysis of complex systems." *Physics Reports*, 438, 237–329.
- Marwan, Norbert and K. Hauke Kraemer (2023), "Trends in recurrence analysis of dynamical systems." *The European Physical Journal Special Topics*, 232, 5–27.
- Maul, Andrew, David Torres Irribarra, and Mark Wilson (2016), "On the philosophical foundations of psychological measurement." *Measurement*, 79, 311–320.

- Michell, Joel (1999), Measurement in Psychology: A Critical History of a Methodological Concept. Ideas in Context, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Molenaar, Peter C. M. (2004), "A Manifesto on Psychology as Idiographic Science: Bringing the Person Back Into Scientific Psychology, This Time Forever." *Measurement: Interdisciplinary Research and Perspectives*, 2, 201–218.
- Olthof, Merlijn, Fred Hasselman, and Anna Lichtwarck-Aschoff (2020a), "Complexity in psychological self-ratings: Implications for research and practice." *BMC Medicine*, 18, 317.
- Olthof, Merlijn, Fred Hasselman, Freek Oude Maatman, Anna M. T. Bosman, and Anna Lichtwarck-Aschoff (2023), "Complexity theory of psychopathology." *Journal of Psychopathology and Clinical Science*, 132, 314–323.
- Olthof, Merlijn, Fred Hasselman, Maarten Wijnants, and Anna Lichtwarck-Aschoff (2020b), "Psychological dynamics are complex: A comparison of scaling, variance, and dynamic complexity in simulated and observed data." In Selbstorganisation Ein Paradigma Für Die Humanwissenschaften (Kathrin Viol, Helmut Schöller, and Wolfgang Aichhorn, eds.), 303–316, Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden, Wiesbaden.
- Shiffman, Saul, Arthur A. Stone, and Michael R. Hufford (2008), "Ecological momentary assessment." Annual Review of Clinical Psychology, 4, 1–32.
- Stinson, Lesleigh, Yunchao Liu, and Jesse Dallery (2022), "Ecological Momentary Assessment: A Systematic Review of Validity Research." *Perspectives on Behavior Science*, 45, 469–493.
- Sturmberg, Joachim P., Carmel M. Martin, and David A. Katerndahl (2017), "It is complicated! misunderstanding the complexities of 'complex'." *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice*, 23, 426–429.
- Webber Jr, Charles L and Joseph P Zbilut (2005), "Recurrence quantification analysis of nonlinear dynamical systems." *Tutorials in contemporary nonlinear methods for the behavioral sciences*, 94, 26–94.
- Xu, Mengjia, Pengjian Shang, and Aijing Lin (2017), "Multiscale recurrence quantification analysis of order recurrence plots." *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 469, 381–389.