

Making Self-Report Ready for Dynamics: the Impact of Low Sampling Frequency and Bandwidth on Recurrence Quantification Analysis in Idiographic Ecological Momentary Assessment

Maas van Steenbergen^{1,*}

¹Laboratory X, Faculty of Behavioural and Social Sciences, Methodology & Statistics, Utrecht University, the Netherlands

Correspondence*:
Corresponding Author
m.vansteenbergen@uu.nl

2 ABSTRACT

3 For full guidelines regarding your manuscript please refer to Author Guidelines.

4 As a primary goal, the abstract should render the general significance and conceptual advance
5 of the work clearly accessible to a broad readership. References should not be cited in the
6 abstract. Leave the Abstract empty if your article does not require one, please see Summary
7 Table for details according to article type.

8 **Keywords:** complex dynamics, data quality, keyword, keyword, keyword, keyword, keyword

1 INTRODUCTION

9 Self-report scales have a long historical precedent in psychology. Ecological momentary assessment (EMA)
10 has made it possible to construct time series based on self-report scales. This approach allows mapping
11 within-person fluctuations of psychological constructs in a systematic manner (1). Data collected using
12 these methods display all markers of complex dynamics, which means that the future trajectory of the data
13 generated using these methods is only predictable in the short-term, and that observations are dependent on
14 the state of the system and its externalities at earlier time points (5). While traditional statistical methods
15 are frequently and fruitfully employed to analyze data generated using EMA, these methods are not suitable
16 for capturing complex temporal within-person patterns (6).

17 Time-dependent within-person dynamics have been neglected in recent history (4). The methods in
18 this paradigm are still in relative infancy within a psychological context. They are often imported from
19 complex dynamical systems theory, which is an area of mathematics that concerns itself with the study of
20 time-dependent dynamics of systems. A popular analysis technique is called Recurrence Quantification
21 Analysis (RQA). It results in the identification of recurrent patterns, or repetitions, in a time series (7). One
22 can then derive several indicators of the stability, predictability, and dynamical behavior of data from these
23 recurrences. This method was developed in the physical sciences under the assumption that measurements
24 can be retrieved at great frequency and at high resolution, to an extent that is impossible when relying on

self-report scales. Hence, it is necessary to systematically assess the consequences of utilizing EMA data on the quality of RQA output (3).

Some extensions or reformulations of this model can also aid in unlocking patterns in data. Network methods

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Software

I will use the Julia-packages ‘DynamicalSystems.jl’, ‘Statistics.jl’ to implement

2.2 Toy model

For this study, we will use the “3 + 1 dimension model” introduced by (2). We chose to generate data using a toy model, as self-report data by definition cannot be measured constantly and continuously with high ecological validity. This means we have to generate data that is as realistic as possible, given the constraint that we can not know how the . The original aim of this toy model is to simulate the trajectory of symptomatology over time, but it can easily be used for our project by reformulating some of the model and . It uses four coupled differential equations to model the effect of time on symptom intensity. It is explained quite well in the aforementioned paper. We give a basic explanation of each equation, and note some interesting behaviour that might be more or less suitable for use in this project. I will use identical terminology where possible

2.2.1 Symptom intensity

The first equation is supposed to represent symptom intensity.

$$\tau_x \frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{S_{max}}{1 + \exp(\frac{R_s - y}{\lambda_s})} - x \quad (1)$$

2.2.2 Modelling of internal elements

$$\tau_y \frac{dy}{dt} = \frac{P}{1 + \exp(\frac{R_b - y}{\lambda_b})} + L - xy - z \quad (2)$$

2.2.3 Modelling of perceived environment

$$\tau_y \frac{dy}{dt} = \frac{P}{1 + \exp(\frac{R_b - y}{\lambda_b})} + L - xy - z \quad (3)$$

2.2.4 Temporal specificities

$$\tau_z \frac{dz}{dt} = S(ax + \beta y)\zeta(t) - z \quad (4)$$

3 RESULTS

4 DISCUSSION

46 The results of this study suggest that applying recurrence methods in

5 ARTICLE TYPES

47 For requirements for a specific article type please refer to the Article Types on any Frontiers journal page.
48 Please also refer to Author Guidelines for further information on how to organize your manuscript in the
49 required sections or their equivalents for your field

6 MANUSCRIPT FORMATTING

50 6.1 Heading Levels

51 6.2 Level 2

52 6.2.1 Level 3

53 6.2.1.1 Level 4

54 6.2.1.1.1 Level 5

55 6.3 Equations

56 Equations should be inserted in editable format from the equation editor.

$$\sum x + y = Z \quad (5)$$

57 6.4 Figures

58 Frontiers requires figures to be submitted individually, in the same order as they are referred to in the
59 manuscript. Figures will then be automatically embedded at the bottom of the submitted manuscript. Kindly
60 ensure that each table and figure is mentioned in the text and in numerical order. Figures must be of
61 sufficient resolution for publication see here for examples and minimum requirements. Figures which are
62 not according to the guidelines will cause substantial delay during the production process. Please see here
63 for full figure guidelines. Cite figures with subfigures as figure 2a and 2b.

64 6.4.1 Permission to Reuse and Copyright

65 Figures, tables, and images will be published under a Creative Commons CC-BY licence and
66 permission must be obtained for use of copyrighted material from other sources (including re-
67 published/adapted/modified/partial figures and images from the internet). It is the responsibility of the
68 authors to acquire the licenses, to follow any citation instructions requested by third-party rights holders,
69 and cover any supplementary charges.

70 6.5 Tables

71 Tables should be inserted at the end of the manuscript. Please build your table directly in LaTeX. Tables
72 provided as jpeg/tiff files will not be accepted. Please note that very large tables (covering several pages)
73 cannot be included in the final PDF for reasons of space. These tables will be published as Supplementary

74 Material on the online article page at the time of acceptance. The author will be notified during the
75 typesetting of the final article if this is the case.

76 **6.6 International Phonetic Alphabet**

77 To include international phonetic alphabet (IPA) symbols, please include the following functions: Under
78 useful packages, include:

79 `\usepackage{tipa}`

80 In the main text, when inputting symbols, use the following format:

81 `\text{symbolname}`

82 e.g.

83 `\textgamma`

7 NOMENCLATURE

84 **7.1 Resource Identification Initiative**

85 To take part in the Resource Identification Initiative, please use the corresponding catalog number and
86 RRID in your current manuscript. For more information about the project and for steps on how to search
87 for an RRID, please click here.

88 **7.2 Life Science Identifiers**

89 Life Science Identifiers (LSIDs) for ZOOBANK registered names or nomenclatural acts should be listed
90 in the manuscript before the keywords. For more information on LSIDs please see Inclusion of Zoological
91 Nomenclature section of the guidelines.

8 ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

92 For additional requirements for specific article types and further information please refer to Author
93 Guidelines.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

94 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial
95 relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

96 The Author Contributions section is mandatory for all articles, including articles by sole authors. If an
97 appropriate statement is not provided on submission, a standard one will be inserted during the production
98 process. The Author Contributions statement must describe the contributions of individual authors referred
99 to by their initials and, in doing so, all authors agree to be accountable for the content of the work. Please
100 see here for full authorship criteria.

FUNDING

101 Details of all funding sources should be provided, including grant numbers if applicable. Please ensure to
102 add all necessary funding information, as after publication this is no longer possible.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

103 This is a short text to acknowledge the contributions of specific colleagues, institutions, or agencies that
104 aided the efforts of the authors.

SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

105 Supplementary Material should be uploaded separately on submission, if there are Supplementary Figures,
106 please include the caption in the same file as the figure. LaTeX Supplementary Material templates can be
107 found in the Frontiers LaTeX folder.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

108 The datasets [GENERATED/ANALYZED] for this study can be found in the [NAME OF REPOSITORY]
109 [LINK].

REFERENCES

- 110 1. Conner, T. S., Tennen, H., Fleeson, W., and Barrett, L. F. (2009). Experience Sampling Methods: A
111 Modern Idiographic Approach to Personality Research. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass* 3,
112 292–313. doi:10.1111/j.1751-9004.2009.00170.x
- 113 2. Gauld, C. and Depannemaeker, D. (2023). Dynamical systems in computational psychiatry: A
114 toy-model to apprehend the dynamics of psychiatric symptoms. *Frontiers in Psychology* 14
- 115 3. Haslbeck, J. M. B. and Ryan, O. (2022). Recovering within-person dynamics from psychological time
116 series. *Multivariate Behavioral Research* 57, 735–766. doi:10.1080/00273171.2021.1896353
- 117 4. Molenaar, P. C. M. (2004). A Manifesto on Psychology as Idiographic Science: Bringing the Person
118 Back Into Scientific Psychology, This Time Forever. *Measurement: Interdisciplinary Research and*
119 *Perspectives* 2, 201–218. doi:10.1207/s15366359mea0204_1
- 120 5. Olthof, M., Hasselman, F., and Lichtwarck-Aschoff, A. (2020). Complexity in psychological self-ratings:
121 Implications for research and practice. *BMC Medicine* 18, 317. doi:10.1186/s12916-020-01727-2
- 122 6. Olthof, M., Hasselman, F., Wijnants, M., and Lichtwarck-Aschoff, A. (2020). Psychological dynamics
123 are complex: A comparison of scaling, variance, and dynamic complexity in simulated and observed data.
124 In *Selbstorganisation – Ein Paradigma Für Die Humanwissenschaften*, eds. K. Viol, H. Schöller, and
125 W. Aichhorn (Wiesbaden: Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden). 303–316. doi:10.1007/978-3-658-29906-4_17
126 17
- 127 7. Webber Jr, C. L. and Zbilut, J. P. (2005). Recurrence quantification analysis of nonlinear dynamical
128 systems. *Tutorials in contemporary nonlinear methods for the behavioral sciences* 94, 26–94

FIGURE CAPTIONS



Figure 1. Enter the caption for your figure here. Repeat as necessary for each of your figures



Figure 2a. This is Subfigure 1.



Figure 2b. This is Subfigure 2.

Figure 2. Enter the caption for your subfigure here. (A) This is the caption for Subfigure 1. (B) This is the caption for Subfigure 2.