# Making Self-Report Ready for Dynamics: the Impact of Low Sampling Frequency and Bandwidth on Recurrence Quantification Analysis in Within-Person Ecological Momentary Assessment

# Maas van Steenbergen 1,\*

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Behavioural and Social Sciences, Methodology & Statistics, Utrecht University, the Netherlands

Correspondence\*: Corresponding Author m.vansteenbergen@uu.nl

#### 2 ABSTRACT

- 3 For full guidelines regarding your manuscript please refer to Author Guidelines.
- 4 As a primary goal, the abstract should render the general significance and conceptual advance
- 5 of the work clearly accessible to a broad readership. References should not be cited in the
- 6 abstract. Leave the Abstract empty if your article does not require one, please see Summary
- 7 Table for details according to article type.
- 8 Keywords: Complex Dynamics, Data Quality, Idiographic Methods, Recurrence Quantification Analysis

# 1 INTRODUCTION

- 9 Within-person methods using intensive longitudinal measurements have come a long way in recent years,
- 10 and they come with their own, unique set of methodological challenges. The most pressing of these
- 11 challenges is that they bring measurement theory back to the forefront. Psychological variables are
- 12 generally latent: they are not directly observable and our knowledge of their mechanisms is incomplete
- 13 at best. Whereas between-person methods rely on averaging out the effects of time and within-person
- 14 variation to deal with the complications this causes, (quantitative) within-person methods rely on that
- 15 variation to make inferences about their underlying trajectory: how the variable fluctuates over time. With
- 16 directly observable variables, it is relatively uncomplicated to measure an underlying trajectory. Latent
- 17 variable constructs, however, result in data that is generally of much lower granularity than measurements of
- 18 observable variables. Therefore, reconstructing elements of the trajectories of latent constructs is essential
- 19 for making accurate inferences about within-person effects. We aim to reconstruct aspects of the underlying
- 20 data using recurrence quantification analysis (RQA). Before we introduce this method, though, we need to
- 21 explain a bit more about the background.
- To introduce our topic, we make a number of assumptions about the nature of psychological constructs
- 23 that are studied using intensive longitudinal methods. It is important to make these assumptions explicit
- 24 to spot weaknesses in thinking (15). We will use these assumptions to introduce the topic and embed the

study in the literature. We note that these assumptions are very close to 'common sense' beliefs within the idiographic research community. To our understanding, however, these assumptions are not made explicit all that often. We invite the reader to evaluate them critically and form an opinion, and even think of arguments or experiments to disproof them. To help this process along, we put in some questions that help show weaknesses in the validity of these assumptions.

The first one of these assumptions is related to our working definition of psychological constructs. We take the perspective that these are references to 'objectively existing consituents of reality' (see the second category in the paper by Stanley and Garcia (18)). These constructs represent the phenomena of interest in psychology (2). They are not directly observable and our knowledge of these phenomena is incomplete (5, 11). As such, one does not (and perhaps cannot) know the true value of these constructs, both because of the incapacity to measure them directly and the 'error' that comes with measuring them. For example, take the construct of happiness. To measure this construct, we need to ask someone how happy they are. There is no external 'measuring tape' to judge whether their account of their happiness is equivalent to their 'true' state of happiness.

Secondly, we posit that there is an underlying continuous real-valued trajectory of the constructs that intensive longitudinal methods aim to measure (8). This underlying continuous trajectory is always present. Inferring more about that trajectory might lead to a better understanding of the mechanism in question. Intensive longitudinal measurements are time-dependent and shaped by various forces and their own previous state (16). They are 'complex' measures, meaning that they come to be through the interdependencies of the numerous non-trivially interacting forces that influence the system (17). The third, and final assumption is that ordinal likert-type scales are approximations of this underlying continuous measure (9). Thus, we model the part of the 'error' that comes with these continuous measures through ordinalization (21). For our purposes, we discard other types of error.

A technique that stands out for its broad applicability is Recurrence Quantification Analysis (RQA). This method, rooted in the identification of recurrent patterns from time series data (20), results in different indicators for the stability, predictability, and dynamic behavior inherent in these systems. This method was developed in the physical sciences where data is directly observable: it can be retrieved at great frequency and at high resolution, to an extent that is impossible when using latent variable constructs.

Our research question follows naturally from these assumptions and the introduction of recurrence methods. If one were to make an explicit theoretical prediction for a trajectory, it would be difficult to validate it, as we would have to test our predictions about this continuous trajectory using ordinal measurements of low granularity (9). Therefore, we do not have sufficient information to consider the trajectory immediately, but there needs to be an intermediary step where we reconstruct relevant aspects of the trajectory from these ordinal measurements. Our research problem is to find out whether RQA is suitable to fulfill this role.

To answer this problem, we will use computational methods to simulate each of the four assumptions described above. We then try to infer characteristics of the trajectory from the degraded data using RQA. We first simulate a trajectory through dynamical computational modelling (7, 6), and then break it down by binning the data and removing time points. We use a toy model that simulates symptomatology by Gauld & Depannemaecker to generate the trajectories (6). Symptomatology is a subset of latent variable constructs, with many simarities to latent construct variables in other fields. The overarching goal is to develop methods to recover aspects of a trajectory empirically using intensive longitudinal studies based on infrequent, low-resolution measurements. While full recovery of trajectory is impossible, it may be

possible to recover some relevant aspects of the system under study. The purpose of the study is to see 69

how the technique performs when dynamical systems result in latent variable measures. The research

- question is 'How are the recurrence indicators recovered using RQA influenced by binning and sampling 70
- 71 frequency?'. The major elements to be examined include the stability of several recurrence indicators under
- 72 degradation, the implications for measurement and analysis of time series of latent variable constructs, and
- the weaknesses and oversights that we found when we tried to simulate a theoretical trajectory and sampled 73
- points from it. 74
- 75 A strength of this project is that it explicates normally tacit assumptions, and uses these assumptions to
- 76 model the entire process. Computational methods allow us to simulate a theoretical trajectory and find out
- 77 the performance of recurrence methods when data is degenerated. Part of the reason why we chose this
- 78 method is because it is impossible to answer this question in the same way empirically as we do not know
- 79 the underlying trajectory. There is, however, an important trade-off being made here: because we simulate
- 80 our data, many of the complicating aspects that would come up during empirical studies are overlooked.
- 81 We do not use real data, and that means that the inferences are only correct when our assumptions are
- 82 correct. Another weakness is that the performance of recurrence methods can be sensitive to the parameter
- settings of the computational model. In the current project, we treat only four different trajectories, and 83
- 84 these are far from exhaustive in the trajectories that psychological constructs might have. Adding many
- more different trajectory will, however, greatly increase the complexity of the project. 85

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

- We have chosen to add a detailed overview of parameter settings and design choices in the materials section.
- We kept the explanation of the experiment as concise as possible.

#### 2.1 **Materials** 88

#### 2.1.1 Software

- 90 We used the Julia languages, and in particular the 'DynamicalSystems.jl', 'RecurrenceAnalysis.jl', and
- 'Statistics.jl' packages to implement the toy model and run the recurrence analyses (1, 3, 4). All analyses 91
- 92 were run on a personal computer. Full information about dependencies and version numbers can be found
- in a machine-readable format in the Manifest.toml file in the Github-repository. Instructions for running 93
- the analysis through a sandboxed project environment identical to our system can be found on the main
- 95 page of this repository.

#### 2.1.2 Toy Model 96

- 97 For this study, we will use the '3 + 1 Dimensions Model' introduced by Gauld and Depannemaecker
- 98 (6). The original aim of this toy model is to simulate the trajectory of symptomatology of psychiatric
- symptoms over time, but it can be used for our project because it is easy to create somewhat realistic 99
- looking trajectories of latent constructs (although one can question whether they really are realistic if no one 100
- ever measured them) and flexible enough to capture a wide range of plausible trajectories. The model uses 101
- four coupled differential equations to model the effect of time on symptom intensity. Symptom intensity is 102
- a subset of latent constructs, and we see its behaviour over time as similar to other latent constructs. It is 103
- 104 of note that many different systems could have let to similar results to the ones outputted here, and the
- data generating process is of secondary importance as long as it is able to result in plausible trajectories. 105

We chose this model over more traditional choices, such as the Lorenz attractor, because we prioritized its flexibility in capturing different, realistic trajectories in a relatively straightforward manner.

# 108 2.1.2.1 Symptom intensity

$$\tau_x \frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{S_{\text{max}}}{1 + \exp(\frac{Rs - y}{\lambda_s})} - x \tag{1}$$

# 109 2.1.2.2 Modelling of internal elements

$$\tau_y \frac{dy}{dt} = \frac{P}{1 + \exp(\frac{R_b - y}{\lambda_b})} + L - xy - z \tag{2}$$

# 110 2.1.2.3 Modelling of perceived environment

$$\tau_z \frac{dz}{dt} = S(ax + \beta y)\zeta(t) - z \tag{3}$$

# 111 2.1.2.4 Temporal specificities

$$\tau_f \frac{df}{dt} = y - \lambda_f f \tag{4}$$

# 112 2.1.3 Solvers

- We used the Tsitouras 5/4 Runge-Kutta method as the solver for the differential equations, as implemented
- in the Differential Equations, il package (19). We used standard settings for all of the parameters, aside from
- 115 a higher number of maximum iterations ( $1e^7$ ).

# 116 2.2 Recurrence Quantification Analysis

- There are many different recurrence indicators, and developing new ones have been an area of considerable
- development (13). We chose to focus on the core set of indicators, as described by Marwan and Webber
- 119 (14). The recurrence threshold was set at the size of the bins of the degraded data set. E.g., if the range of
- 120 the trajectory was 0 to 2, and the number of bins is 7 (data is degraded so that it is similar to likert-scale
- data), then the recurrence threshold would have been set at  $\frac{2-0}{7} = \frac{2}{7}$ .

## 122 2.2.1 Recurrence Indicators

- The recurrence rate is the proportion of points in the phase space that reoccur at later times (20). Higher
- 124 recurrence rates indicate that an underlying function is more periodic.
- 125 Determinism is the share of recurrent points that are part of diagonal lines, which indicate that the
- 126 structure might be deterministic. It should be noted that it is a necessary condition, not sufficient by itself,
- 127 to indicate determinism (12).
- 128 Average and maximum length of diagonal structures are also given. A longer average length means more
- 129 predictable dynamics. A longer maximum indicates the longest segment.

- 130 Entropy of diagonal structures concerns the Shannon entropy of diagonal line lengths (10). It quantifies
- 131 the amount of randomness, or information, in the data.
- 132 Trapping time is the average length of vertical lines in the plot. It is a measure of how long a system stays
- 133 in a particular state.
- 134 *Most probable recurrence time*, similarly, is the mode of the length of the vertical lines in the plot.
- 135 **2.3 Analysis**
- 136 **2.4 Methods**
- 137 2.4.1 Stage 1: Data generation
- In the first stage, we use a toy model to simulate the data based on the 3 + 1 Dimensions Model introduced
- by (6). This model captures clinical observations found in psychiatric symptomatology by modeling internal
- 140 factors (y), environmental noise (z), temporal specificities (f), and symptomatology (x) using coupled
- 141 differential equations. We used symptomatology to generate the time series, using four different parameter
- 142 settings.
- 143 2.4.2 Stage 2: Binning data and removing time points
- 144 Afterwards, we aim to systematically reduce the quality of the data. We bin a range of the width of the
- data into n intervals of equal length, where n stands for the number of bins. Moreover, we remove time
- points from the data by keeping the first and every  $k^{th}$  observation of the simulated data. We systematically
- 147 decrease the number of bins, the range, number of time points, and re-analyze the data.
- 148 2.4.3 Stage 3: Data analysis
- We will judge the sensitivity of the data by deriving the recurrence indicators introduced before for each
- 150 time series in each state of degradation. Wr calculate the deviation of each of these values from the baseline,
- which are the recurrence values derived for the intact dataset. We will map the changes as the deviation for
- 152 these indicators between the baseline and a set of degraded data.

# CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

- 153 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial
- relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## **FUNDING**

155 No external funding was used for this project.

# **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

- 156 I acknowledge the work of my thesis supervisors, who introduced me to the method and left me free to
- 157 persue the project as I imagined it. The great help of the Julia community was also helpful, as they have
- 158 been helping me with programming where I got stuck and took the time to respond to my stupid questions.
- 159 Finally, I would like to acknowledge the feedback and conversations between me and my thesis group, who
- 160 have been working through my text and made sure that it is easy to follow and well-written.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

161 The code, all additional material, and generated data for this study can be found on GitHub.

# **REFERENCES**

- 162 **1** .Bezanson, J., Edelman, A., Karpinski, S., and Shah, V. B. (2017). Julia: A fresh approach to numerical computing. *SIAM review* 59, 65–98
- **2** Borsboom, D. (2008). Latent Variable Theory. *Measurement: Interdisciplinary Research and Perspectives* 6, 25–53. doi:10.1080/15366360802035497
- 3 .Datseris, G. (2018). DynamicalSystems.jl: A Julia software library for chaos and nonlinear dynamics.
  Journal of Open Source Software 3, 598. doi:10.21105/joss.00598
- 4 .Datseris, G. and Parlitz, U. (2022). *Nonlinear Dynamics: A Concise Introduction Interlaced with Code* (Cham, Switzerland: Springer Nature). doi:10.1007/978-3-030-91032-7
- 5 .Fried, E. I. (2017). What are psychological constructs? On the nature and statistical modelling of emotions, intelligence, personality traits and mental disorders. *Health Psychology Review* 11, 130–134. doi:10.1080/17437199.2017.1306718
- 6.Gauld, C. and Depannemaecker, D. (2023). Dynamical systems in computational psychiatry: A toy-model to apprehend the dynamics of psychiatric symptoms. *Frontiers in Psychology* 14
- 7 .Grahek, I., Schaller, M., and Tackett, J. L. (2021). Anatomy of a Psychological Theory: Integrating
  Construct-Validation and Computational-Modeling Methods to Advance Theorizing. *Perspectives on Psychological Science* 16, 803–815. doi:10.1177/1745691620966794
- 8 .Hamaker, E. L. and Wichers, M. (2017). No Time Like the Present: Discovering the Hidden Dynamics in Intensive Longitudinal Data. *Current Directions in Psychological Science* 26, 10–15
- 9 .Haslbeck, J. M. B. and Ryan, O. (2022). Recovering within-person dynamics from psychological time series. *Multivariate Behavioral Research* 57, 735–766. doi:10.1080/00273171.2021.1896353
- 10 .Kraemer, K. H., Donner, R. V., Heitzig, J., and Marwan, N. (2018). Recurrence threshold selection for obtaining robust recurrence characteristics in different embedding dimensions. *Chaos (Woodbury, N.Y.)* 28, 085720. doi:10.1063/1.5024914
- 185 **11** .Maraun, M. D., Slaney, K. L., and Gabriel, S. M. (2009). The Augustinian methodological family of psychology. *New Ideas in Psychology* 27, 148–162. doi:10.1016/j.newideapsych.2008.04.011
- 12 .Marwan, N. (2011). How to avoid potential pitfalls in recurrence plot based data analysis. *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos* 21, 1003–1017. doi:10.1142/S0218127411029008
- 189 **13** .Marwan, N. and Kraemer, K. H. (2023). Trends in recurrence analysis of dynamical systems. *The European Physical Journal Special Topics* 232, 5–27. doi:10.1140/epjs/s11734-022-00739-8
- 191 14 .Marwan, N. and Webber, C. L. (2015). Mathematical and Computational Foundations of Recurrence
- 192 Quantifications. In Recurrence Quantification Analysis: Theory and Best Practices, eds. Jr. Webber,
- 193 Charles L. and N. Marwan (Cham: Springer International Publishing), Understanding Complex Systems.
- 194 3–43. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-07155-8<sub>-</sub>1
- 195 **15** .Meehl, P. E. (2004). Theoretical risks and tabular asterisks: Sir Karl, Sir Ronald, and the slow progress of soft psychology. *Applied and Preventive Psychology* 11, 1. doi:10.1016/j.appsy.2004.02.001
- 197 16.Olthof, M., Hasselman, F., and Lichtwarck-Aschoff, A. (2020). Complexity in psychologi-
- cal self-ratings: Implications for research and practice. *BMC Medicine* 18, 317. doi:10.1186/

199 s12916-020-01727-2

- 200 17 .Olthof, M., Hasselman, F., Oude Maatman, F., Bosman, A. M. T., and Lichtwarck-Aschoff, A. (2023).
  201 Complexity theory of psychopathology. *Journal of Psychopathology and Clinical Science* 132, 314–323.
- doi:10.1037/abn0000740

18 .Slaney, K. L. and Garcia, D. A. (2015). Constructing psychological objects: The rhetoric of constructs.
 Journal of Theoretical and Philosophical Psychology 35, 244–259. doi:10.1037/teo0000025

19 .Tsitouras, Ch. (2011). Runge–Kutta pairs of order 5(4) satisfying only the first column simplifying assumption. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications* 62, 770–775. doi:10.1016/j.camwa.2011.06.
 207 002

208 20 .Webber Jr, C. L. and Zbilut, J. P. (2005). Recurrence quantification analysis of nonlinear dynamical
 systems. *Tutorials in contemporary nonlinear methods for the behavioral sciences* 94, 26–94

210 21 .Westland, J. C. (2022). Information loss and bias in likert survey responses. *PLoS ONE* 17, e0271949. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0271949



Figure 2a. This is Subfigure 1.



**Figure 2b.** This is Subfigure 2.

**Figure 2.** Enter the caption for your subfigure here. **(A)** This is the caption for Subfigure 1. **(B)** This is the caption for Subfigure 2.



Figure 1. Enter the caption for your figure here. Repeat as necessary for each of your figures