

Making Self-Report Ready for Dynamics: the Impact of Low Sampling Frequency and Bandwidth on Recurrence Quantification Analysis in Within-Person Ecological Momentary Assessment

Maas van Steenbergen^{1,*}

¹Laboratory X, Faculty of Behavioural and Social Sciences, Methodology & Statistics, Utrecht University, the Netherlands

Correspondence*:
Corresponding Author
m.vansteenbergen@uu.nl

2 ABSTRACT

3 For full guidelines regarding your manuscript please refer to Author Guidelines.

4 As a primary goal, the abstract should render the general significance and conceptual advance
5 of the work clearly accessible to a broad readership. References should not be cited in the
6 abstract. Leave the Abstract empty if your article does not require one, please see Summary
7 Table for details according to article type.

8 **Keywords:** Complex Dynamics, Data Quality, Idiographic Methods, Recurrence Quantification Analysis

1 INTRODUCTION

9 Self-report scales have a long historical precedent in psychology. Ecological momentary assessment (EMA)
10 is a technique meant to construct time series based on self-report instruments, allowing for 'idiographic'
11 inference on the basis of self-report data (henceforth referred to as within-person) (1). While traditional
12 statistical methods are frequently and fruitfully employed to analyze data generated using EMA, these
13 methods are not suitable for capturing some complex temporal within-person patterns (11).

14 Methods to study within-person trajectories of psychological constructs are still in infancy within a
15 psychological context (8). One of the reasons for this is that group-comparison research is often incorrectly
16 equated with finding general laws in psychology, and thus with scientific rigour, which leads to the
17 marginalization of other approaches (3, 6). Another reason is that the statistical tools we predominantly
18 rely on are a natural fit for between-person research and are both well-researched and well-understood
19 for relatively course measurement devices. Statistics has a built-in reliance on aggregation to offset the
20 problems that are caused by such devices. Besides, many devices exist to deal with course measurement
21 devices or relatively low accuracy in statistics when these methods fail: think of missing data imputation,
22 or reliability measures. While dynamical systems research has a mature research history, it is often used
23 in places that have more accurate measuring devices (such as physics) or fields that do not really initially
24 concern themselves with 'real' measurement at all (such as some subfields of mathematics).

Non-statistical within-person methods are often imported from dynamical systems theory, which is an area of mathematics that concerns itself with the study of the time-dependent dynamics of complex systems. A popular analysis technique is called Recurrence Quantification Analysis (RQA). It results in the identification of recurrent patterns, or repetitions, in time series analysis (12). One can then derive several indicators of the stability, predictability, and dynamical behavior of data from these recurrences. This method was developed in the physical sciences under the assumption that measurements can be retrieved at great frequency and at high resolution, to an extent that is impossible when relying on self-report scales. Hence, it is necessary to systematically assess the consequences of utilizing EMA data on the quality of RQA output (4).

We assume throughout this paper that there is an actually existing underlying continuous trajectory of the constructs that EMA devices aim to measure. This is not universally agreed upon, and contrasts with representational measurement theory (Meehl, 2021). In other words, we make the explicit assumption that ordinal likert type-scales are approximations of an underlying continuous measure that is real, not just the assignment of numbers to objects. We also assume that the trajectory of the time series is chaotic, which means that the data generating mechanism is very sensitive to changes in the initial parameters, it is only predictable in the short-term, and observations are dependent on the state of the system and its externalities at earlier time points (9). Hence, chaotic behaviour can be easily mistaken for random behaviour, but can be fully deterministic. Finally, we suppose that self-report measures are accurate measures at a certain timepoint of this continuous measure, but noisier. This would necessitate working from these assumptions, we first simulate a potential continuous trajectory of a dynamical system, coarse-grain and ordinalize it, and then test the deviation of recurrence measures from a segment of the full dataset to its degraded alternatives. Bear in mind that we do not see within-person research as inherently qualitative, and between-person research as inherently quantitative, as some do (7).

We chose to generate the data through a set of coupled differential equations that lead to chaotic behaviour. Note that while randomness does have a part to play in the generation of the data, most of the variance is created deterministically through four broadly modeled influences. These influences consist of symptom intensity, the modelling of the person's internal factors, influences of the perceived environment, and the influence of time. Many alternative models could be formulated that could result in similar behavior or would be as or more realistic. For our purposes a suitably broad model would work best, however, as many different trajectories can be reconstructed using parameter tuning.

Our choice for this way of modelling is based on a number of suitable characteristics. The most important of these is that self-report data cannot be measured consistently and continuously with high validity in almost all use cases where self-report is used, meaning that measured baseline data is currently impossible. We note that if it were possible to measure these variables directly, then researchers would not have to rely on the instrument in the first place. Therefore, theory-based simulation is a necessary starting point for further research. We hope that the models, in turn, can be refined using empirical data that takes into account time dependence. Furthermore, we chose the "3 + 1 Dimension Model" because it is one of the only ones (if not the only one) that has the stated goal of simulating the trajectory of psychological constructs over time (in the form of symptomatology of psychiatric illness), thus being a good candidate for real empirical feedback studies.

This brings us to a natural place to introduce the goal of this project. Right now, there is often no empirical feedback system for theoretical models that aim to recover the time-dependent characteristics of constructs that are measured using EMA. We hope that studying the stability of complexity characteristics under data degradation can help us recover certain aspects empirically of the trajectory of the system under study.

E.g., incompatibility of the theoretical time development of disease symptomatology contrasted with the recovered complexity characteristics of a patient can be used as a counterfactual against the choice of one model over another. A more concrete example: say that a patient is postulated to have a disorder where a particular symptom oscillates predictably and repeatedly for a period of 12 hours. A more fine-tuned understanding of that trajectory can then be used to optimize treatment times. If their symptoms were to oscillate more irrationally, then this would be reflected in the recurrence indicators of the patient. If it would show dampened oscillations instead where the bandwidth becomes lower with the passage of time, then that would be reflected in the recurrence indicators in a different manner. This is, of course, only true if those characteristics can be picked up reliably from the degraded data.

The

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Materials

2.1.1 Software

We used the Julia languages, and in particular the ‘DynamicalSystems.jl’, ‘RecurrenceAnalysis.jl’, and ‘Statistics.jl’ packages to implement the toy model and run the recurrence analyses. Full information about dependencies and version numbers can be found in a human-readable format in the Manifest.toml file in the Github-repository. Instructions for running the analysis through a sandboxed project environment identical to our system can be found on the main page of the github repository.

2.1.2 Toy Model

For this study, we will use the ‘3 + 1 Dimensions Model’ introduced by (2). The original aim of this toy model is to simulate the trajectory of symptomatology over time, but it can be used for our project by reformulating some of the model. It uses four coupled differential equations to model the effect of time on symptom intensity. We give a basic explanation of each equation, and note some interesting behaviour that might be more or less suitable for use in this project. I will use identical terminology where possible.

2.1.3 Symptom intensity

The first equation is supposed to represent symptom intensity. For our study,

$$\tau_x \frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{S_{\max}}{1 + \exp(\frac{R_s - y}{\lambda_s})} - x \quad (1)$$

2.1.4 Modelling of internal elements

$$\tau_y \frac{dy}{dt} = \frac{P}{1 + \exp(\frac{R_b - y}{\lambda_b})} + L - xy - z \quad (2)$$

2.1.5 Modelling of perceived environment

$$\tau_z \frac{dz}{dt} = S(ax + \beta y)\zeta(t) - z \quad (3)$$

2.1.6 Temporal specificities

$$\tau_f \frac{df}{dt} = y - \lambda_f f \quad (4)$$

2.1.7 Solvers and

We initially calculated the trajectory for

2.2 Recurrence Indicators

The *recurrence rate* is the proportion of points in the phase space that reoccur at later times (12). A horizontal slope would mean a recurrence rate of 1, while a strictly monotonic slope would have a recurrence rate of 0. *Determinism* is the share of recurrent points that are part of diagonal lines, which indicate that the structure is deterministic. *Average and maximum length of diagonal structures* are also given. A longer average length means more predictable dynamics. A longer maximum indicates the longest segment. *Entropy of diagonal structures* concerns the Shannon entropy of diagonal line lengths (5). It quantifies the amount of randomness, or information, in the data. *Trapping time* is the average length of vertical lines in the plot. It is a measure of how long a system stays in a particular state. *Most probable recurrence time*, similarly, is the mode of the length of the vertical lines in the plot.

2.3 Methods

2.3.1 Stage 1: Data generation

In the first stage, we use a toy model to simulate the data based on the *3 + 1 Dimensions Model* introduced by (2). This model captures clinical observations found in psychiatric symptomatology by modeling internal factors (y), environmental noise (z), temporal specificities (f), and symptomatology (x) using coupled differential equations. Symptomatology will be the outcome variable of this study. By changing all four of these coefficients systematically, we aim to model a large variety of possible trajectories. We save each one of these models as a separate time series. For the purpose of our study, we redefine ‘symptomatology’ as any dynamical fluctuations of psychological constructs (10).

2.3.2 Stage 2: Binning data and removing time points

Afterwards, we aim to systematically reduce the quality of the data. We bin a range of the width of the data into n intervals of equal length, where n stands for the number of bins. We also vary the minimum (*min*) and maximum (*max*) value of this range to simulate ceiling and floor-effects. Moreover, we remove time points from the data by keeping the first and every k^{th} observation of the simulated data. We systematically decrease the number of bins, the range, number of time points, and re-analyze the data.

2.3.3 Stage 3: Data analysis

We will judge the sensitivity of the data by deriving the recurrence indicators (recurrence rate, determinism, entropy of the distribution) for each time series in each state of degradation. We judge sensitivity to degradation by calculating the deviation of each of these values from the baseline, which are the recurrence values derived for the intact dataset. We will then map the changes as the deviation for these indicators between the baseline and a set of degraded data.

3 RESULTS

4 DISCUSSION

130 The results of this study suggest that applying recurrence methods in

131 One of the ways that researchers can strengthen their idiographic inferences is through asking whether
132 their

133 The limitations of this study are

5 ARTICLE TYPES

134 For requirements for a specific article type please refer to the Article Types on any Frontiers journal page.
135 Please also refer to Author Guidelines for further information on how to organize your manuscript in the
136 required sections or their equivalents for your field

6 MANUSCRIPT FORMATTING

137 6.1 Heading Levels

138 6.2 Level 2

139 6.2.1 Level 3

140 6.2.1.1 Level 4

141 6.2.1.1.1 Level 5

142 6.3 Equations

143 Equations should be inserted in editable format from the equation editor.

$$\sum x + y = Z \quad (5)$$

144 6.4 Figures

145 Frontiers requires figures to be submitted individually, in the same order as they are referred to in the
146 manuscript. Figures will then be automatically embedded at the bottom of the submitted manuscript. Kindly
147 ensure that each table and figure is mentioned in the text and in numerical order. Figures must be of
148 sufficient resolution for publication see here for examples and minimum requirements. Figures which are
149 not according to the guidelines will cause substantial delay during the production process. Please see here
150 for full figure guidelines. Cite figures with subfigures as figure 2a and 2b.

151 6.4.1 Permission to Reuse and Copyright

152 Figures, tables, and images will be published under a Creative Commons CC-BY licence and
153 permission must be obtained for use of copyrighted material from other sources (including re-
154 published/adapted/modified/partial figures and images from the internet). It is the responsibility of the
155 authors to acquire the licenses, to follow any citation instructions requested by third-party rights holders,
156 and cover any supplementary charges.

6.5 Tables

Tables should be inserted at the end of the manuscript. Please build your table directly in LaTeX. Tables provided as jpeg/tiff files will not be accepted. Please note that very large tables (covering several pages) cannot be included in the final PDF for reasons of space. These tables will be published as Supplementary Material on the online article page at the time of acceptance. The author will be notified during the typesetting of the final article if this is the case.

7 ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

For additional requirements for specific article types and further information please refer to Author Guidelines.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

The Author Contributions section is mandatory for all articles, including articles by sole authors. If an appropriate statement is not provided on submission, a standard one will be inserted during the production process. The Author Contributions statement must describe the contributions of individual authors referred to by their initials and, in doing so, all authors agree to be accountable for the content of the work. Please see here for full authorship criteria.

FUNDING

No external funding was used for this project.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I acknowledge the work of my thesis supervisors, who introduced me to the method and left me free to pursue the project as I imagined it. I also acknowledge the great help of the Julia community, which has been helping me with programming where I got stuck and which took the time to respond to my stupid questions. Finally, I'd like to acknowledge the feedback and conversations between me and my thesis group, who have been working through my text and made sure that it is followable.

SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

Supplementary Material should be uploaded separately on submission, if there are Supplementary Figures, please include the caption in the same file as the figure. LaTeX Supplementary Material templates can be found in the Frontiers LaTeX folder.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The code, all additional material, and generated data for this study can be found in the [NAME OF REPOSITORY].

REFERENCES

- 183 1. Conner, T. S., Tennen, H., Fleeson, W., and Barrett, L. F. (2009). Experience Sampling Methods: A
 184 Modern Idiographic Approach to Personality Research. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass* 3,
 185 292–313. doi:10.1111/j.1751-9004.2009.00170.x
- 186 2. Gauld, C. and Depannemaecker, D. (2023). Dynamical systems in computational psychiatry: A
 187 toy-model to apprehend the dynamics of psychiatric symptoms. *Frontiers in Psychology* 14
- 188 3. Hamaker, E. L. (2012). Why researchers should think "within-person": A paradigmatic rationale. In
 189 *Handbook of Research Methods for Studying Daily Life* (New York, NY, US: The Guilford Press).
 190 43–61
- 191 4. Haslbeck, J. M. B. and Ryan, O. (2022). Recovering within-person dynamics from psychological time
 192 series. *Multivariate Behavioral Research* 57, 735–766. doi:10.1080/00273171.2021.1896353
- 193 5. Kraemer, K. H., Donner, R. V., Heitzig, J., and Marwan, N. (2018). Recurrence threshold selection for
 194 obtaining robust recurrence characteristics in different embedding dimensions. *Chaos (Woodbury, N.Y.)*
 195 28, 085720. doi:10.1063/1.5024914
- 196 6. Lamiell, J. (2021). The 'Problem of Individuality' in Scientific Psychology. 111–131. doi:10.1007/
 197 978-3-030-67734-3_6
- 198 7. Leenars, A. A. (2002). In Defense of the Idiographic Approach: Studies of Suicide Notes and Personal
 199 Documents. *Archives of Suicide Research* 6, 19–30. doi:10.1080/13811110213125
- 200 8. Molenaar, P. C. M. (2004). A Manifesto on Psychology as Idiographic Science: Bringing the Person
 201 Back Into Scientific Psychology, This Time Forever. *Measurement: Interdisciplinary Research and*
 202 *Perspectives* 2, 201–218. doi:10.1207/s15366359mea0204_1
- 203 9. Olthof, M., Hasselman, F., and Lichtwarck-Aschoff, A. (2020). Complexity in psychologi-
 204 cal self-ratings: Implications for research and practice. *BMC Medicine* 18, 317. doi:10.1186/
 205 s12916-020-01727-2
- 206 10. Olthof, M., Hasselman, F., Oude Maatman, F., Bosman, A. M. T., and Lichtwarck-Aschoff, A. (2023).
 207 Complexity theory of psychopathology. *Journal of Psychopathology and Clinical Science* 132, 314–323.
 208 doi:10.1037/abn0000740
- 209 11. Olthof, M., Hasselman, F., Wijnants, M., and Lichtwarck-Aschoff, A. (2020). Psychological
 210 dynamics are complex: A comparison of scaling, variance, and dynamic complexity in simulated
 211 and observed data. In *Selbstorganisation – Ein Paradigma Für Die Humanwissenschaften*, eds.
 212 K. Viol, H. Schöller, and W. Aichhorn (Wiesbaden: Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden). 303–316.
 213 doi:10.1007/978-3-658-29906-4_17
- 214 12. Webber Jr, C. L. and Zbilut, J. P. (2005). Recurrence quantification analysis of nonlinear dynamical
 215 systems. *Tutorials in contemporary nonlinear methods for the behavioral sciences* 94, 26–94

FIGURE CAPTIONS



Figure 1. Enter the caption for your figure here. Repeat as necessary for each of your figures



Figure 2a. This is Subfigure 1.



Figure 2b. This is Subfigure 2.

Figure 2. Enter the caption for your subfigure here. **(A)** This is the caption for Subfigure 1. **(B)** This is the caption for Subfigure 2.