

# Making Self-Report Ready for Dynamics: the Impact of Low Sampling Frequency and Bandwidth on Recurrence Quantification Analysis in Idiographic Ecological Momentary Assessment

Maas van Steenbergen<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory X, Faculty of Behavioural and Social Sciences, Methodology & Statistics, Utrecht University, the Netherlands

Correspondence\*:  
Corresponding Author  
m.vansteenbergen@uu.nl

## 2 ABSTRACT

3 For full guidelines regarding your manuscript please refer to Author Guidelines.

4 As a primary goal, the abstract should render the general significance and conceptual advance  
5 of the work clearly accessible to a broad readership. References should not be cited in the  
6 abstract. Leave the Abstract empty if your article does not require one, please see Summary  
7 Table for details according to article type.

8 **Keywords:** complex dynamics, data quality

## 1 INTRODUCTION

9 Self-report scales have a long historical precedent in psychology. Ecological momentary assessment  
10 (EMA) is a technique meant to construct time series based on self-report instruments. This approach  
11 allows mapping within-person fluctuations of psychological constructs in a systematic manner (? ). While  
12 traditional statistical methods are frequently and fruitfully employed to analyze data generated using EMA,  
13 these methods are not suitable for capturing complex temporal within-person patterns (? ).

14 We postulate that there is an underlying trajectory of whatever we aim to measure. These In other words,  
15 we assume that the categorical We also assume that the trajectory of the time series is chaotic, which means  
16 that the data generating mechanism is very sensitive to changes in the initial parameters. This means that  
17 the data generated using these methods is only predictable in the short-term, and that observations are  
18 dependent on the state of the system and its externalities at earlier time points (? ). Hence, chaotic behaviour  
19 can be easily mistaken for random behaviour, but can (yet does not have to be) fully deterministic. We also  
20 postulate that self-report measures are accurate measures at a certain timepoint of this continuous measure,  
21 but with lower bandwidth and more coursegraining. Working from these assumptions, we run a simulation

22 The methods to study chaotic behavior are still in infancy within a psychological context (? ). They are  
23 often imported from complex dynamical systems theory, which is an area of mathematics that concerns  
24 itself with the study of the time-dependent dynamics of complex systems. A popular analysis technique is  
25 called Recurrence Quantification Analysis (RQA). It results in the identification of recurrent patterns, or  
26 repetitions, in time series analysis (? ). One can then derive several indicators of the stability, predictability,  
27 and dynamical behavior of data from these recurrences. This method was developed in the physical sciences  
28 under the assumption that measurements can be retrieved at great frequency and at high resolution, to an  
29 extent that is impossible when relying on self-report scales. Hence, it is necessary to systematically assess  
30 the consequences of utilizing EMA data on the quality of RQA output (? ).

31 We choose to generate the data through a set of coupled differential equations that lead to chaotic  
32 behaviour. Note that randomness has a part to play in the generation of the data, but that most of the  
33 variance is created deterministically through four broadly modeled influences. These influences include  
34 symptom intensity, the modelling of the person's internal factors, influences of the perceived environment,  
35 and the influence of time. Many alternative models could be formulated that could result in similar behavior  
36 or would be as realistic.

37 Our choice for this way of modelling is based on a variety of interesting characteristics. The most  
38 important of these is that self-report data cannot be measured consistently and continuously with high  
39 ecological validity in almost all use cases where self-report is used. We note that if it were possible to  
40 measure these variables directly, then researchers would not have to rely on the instrument in the first place.  
41 Therefore, theory-based simulation is a necessary starting point for further research. We hope that the  
42 models, in turn, can be refined using empirical data that take into account the time dependence, and that is  
43 where the recovery of complex characteristics can be used in ways that would be hard to do if one were to  
44 study the trajectory statistically.

45 This brings us to a natural place to introduce the goal of this project: we hope that studying the stability of  
46 complexity characteristics under data degradation can help us recover certain aspects of the trajectory of the  
47 system under study. E.g., incompatibility of the theoretical time development of disease symptomatology  
48 contrasted with the recovered complexity characteristics of a patient can be used as a counterfactual against  
49 the choice of one model over another. A more concrete example: say that a patient is postulated to have a  
50 disorder where a particular symptom oscillates predictably and repeatedly for a period of 12 hours. A more  
51 fine-tuned understanding of that trajectory can then be used to optimize treatment times. If their symptoms  
52 were to oscillate more erratically, then this would be reflected in the recurrence indicators of the patient. If  
53 it would show dampened oscillations instead where the bandwidth becomes lower with the passage of time,  
54 then that would be reflected in the recurrence indicators in a different manner. This is, of course, only true  
55 if those characteristics can be picked up reliably from the degraded data.

## 2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 56 2.1 Software

57 We used the Julia languages, and in particular 'DynamicalSystems.jl', 'RecurrenceAnalysis.jl', and  
58 'Statistics.jl', to implement the toy model and run the recurrence analyses. Full information about depen-  
59 dencies and version numbers can be found in a human-readable format in the Manifest.toml file in the  
60 Github-repository. Instructions for running the analysis through a sandboxed project environment that is  
61 identical can be found on the main page of the github repository.

## 62 2.2 Toy Model

63 For this study, we will use the "3 + 1 dimension model" introduced by (? ). The original aim of this  
 64 toy model is to simulate the trajectory of symptomatology over time, but it can be used for our project by  
 65 reformulating some of the model . It uses four coupled differential equations to model the effect of time  
 66 on symptom intensity. It is explained quite well in the aforementioned paper. We give a basic explanation  
 67 of each equation, and note some interesting behaviour that might be more or less suitable for use in this  
 68 project. I will use identical terminology where possible

### 69 2.2.1 Symptom intensity

70 The first equation is supposed to represent symptom intensity.

$$\tau_x \frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{S_{\max}}{1 + \exp(\frac{R_s - y}{\lambda_s})} - x \quad (1)$$

### 71 2.2.2 Modelling of internal elements

$$\tau_y \frac{dy}{dt} = \frac{P}{1 + \exp(\frac{R_b - y}{\lambda_b})} + L - xy - z \quad (2)$$

### 72 2.2.3 Modelling of perceived environment

$$\tau_y \frac{dy}{dt} = \frac{P}{1 + \exp(\frac{R_b - y}{\lambda_b})} + L - xy - z \quad (3)$$

### 73 2.2.4 Temporal specificities

$$\tau_z \frac{dz}{dt} = S(ax + \beta y)\zeta(t) - z \quad (4)$$

## 74 2.3 Recurrence Indicators

75 **Recurrence rate Determinism Average length of diagonal structures Maximum length of diagonal**  
 76 **structures Divergence Entropy of diagonal structures Trapping time Most probable recurrence time**

## 3 RESULTS

## 4 DISCUSSION

77 The results of this study suggest that applying recurrence methods in

78 One of the ways that researchers can strengthen their idiographic inferences is through asking whether  
 79 their

80 The limitations of this study are

## 5 ARTICLE TYPES

81 For requirements for a specific article type please refer to the Article Types on any Frontiers journal page.  
82 Please also refer to Author Guidelines for further information on how to organize your manuscript in the  
83 required sections or their equivalents for your field

## 6 MANUSCRIPT FORMATTING

### 84 6.1 Heading Levels

#### 85 6.2 Level 2

##### 86 6.2.1 Level 3

##### 87 6.2.1.1 Level 4

##### 88 6.2.1.1.1 Level 5

### 89 6.3 Equations

90 Equations should be inserted in editable format from the equation editor.

$$\sum x + y = Z \quad (5)$$

### 91 6.4 Figures

92 Frontiers requires figures to be submitted individually, in the same order as they are referred to in the  
93 manuscript. Figures will then be automatically embedded at the bottom of the submitted manuscript. Kindly  
94 ensure that each table and figure is mentioned in the text and in numerical order. Figures must be of  
95 sufficient resolution for publication see here for examples and minimum requirements. Figures which are  
96 not according to the guidelines will cause substantial delay during the production process. Please see here  
97 for full figure guidelines. Cite figures with subfigures as figure ?? and ??.

#### 98 6.4.1 Permission to Reuse and Copyright

99 Figures, tables, and images will be published under a Creative Commons CC-BY licence and  
100 permission must be obtained for use of copyrighted material from other sources (including re-  
101 published/adapted/modified/partial figures and images from the internet). It is the responsibility of the  
102 authors to acquire the licenses, to follow any citation instructions requested by third-party rights holders,  
103 and cover any supplementary charges.

### 104 6.5 Tables

105 Tables should be inserted at the end of the manuscript. Please build your table directly in LaTeX. Tables  
106 provided as jpeg/tiff files will not be accepted. Please note that very large tables (covering several pages)  
107 cannot be included in the final PDF for reasons of space. These tables will be published as Supplementary  
108 Material on the online article page at the time of acceptance. The author will be notified during the  
109 typesetting of the final article if this is the case.

## 110 6.6 International Phonetic Alphabet

111 To include international phonetic alphabet (IPA) symbols, please include the following functions: Under  
112 useful packages, include:

113 `\usepackage{tipa}`

114 In the main text, when inputting symbols, use the following format:

115 `\text[symbolname]`

116 e.g.

117 `\textgamma`

## 7 NOMENCLATURE

### 118 7.1 Resource Identification Initiative

119 To take part in the Resource Identification Initiative, please use the corresponding catalog number and  
120 RRID in your current manuscript. For more information about the project and for steps on how to search  
121 for an RRID, please click here.

## 8 ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

122 For additional requirements for specific article types and further information please refer to Author  
123 Guidelines.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

124 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial  
125 relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

126 The Author Contributions section is mandatory for all articles, including articles by sole authors. If an  
127 appropriate statement is not provided on submission, a standard one will be inserted during the production  
128 process. The Author Contributions statement must describe the contributions of individual authors referred  
129 to by their initials and, in doing so, all authors agree to be accountable for the content of the work. Please  
130 see here for full authorship criteria.

## FUNDING

131 Details of all funding sources should be provided, including grant numbers if applicable. Please ensure to  
132 add all necessary funding information, as after publication this is no longer possible.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I acknowledge the work of my thesis supervisors, who introduced me to the method and left me free to pursue the project as I imagined it. I also acknowledge the great help of the Julia community, which has been helping me with programming where I got stuck and which took the time to respond to my stupid questions. Finally, I'd like to acknowledge the feedback and conversations between me and my thesis group, who have been working through my text and made sure that it is followable.

## SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

Supplementary Material should be uploaded separately on submission, if there are Supplementary Figures, please include the caption in the same file as the figure. LaTeX Supplementary Material templates can be found in the Frontiers LaTeX folder.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The code, all additional material, and generated data for this study can be found in the [NAME OF REPOSITORY].

## REFERENCES

- .Conner, T. S., Tennen, H., Fleeson, W., and Barrett, L. F. (2009). Experience Sampling Methods: A Modern Idiographic Approach to Personality Research. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass* 3, 292–313. doi:10.1111/j.1751-9004.2009.00170.x
- .Gauld, C. and Depannemaecker, D. (2023). Dynamical systems in computational psychiatry: A toy-model to apprehend the dynamics of psychiatric symptoms. *Frontiers in Psychology* 14
- .Haslbeck, J. M. B. and Ryan, O. (2022). Recovering within-person dynamics from psychological time series. *Multivariate Behavioral Research* 57, 735–766. doi:10.1080/00273171.2021.1896353
- .Molenaar, P. C. M. (2004). A Manifesto on Psychology as Idiographic Science: Bringing the Person Back Into Scientific Psychology, This Time Forever. *Measurement: Interdisciplinary Research and Perspectives* 2, 201–218. doi:10.1207/s15366359mea0204\_1
- .Olthof, M., Hasselman, F., and Lichtwarck-Aschoff, A. (2020). Complexity in psychological self-ratings: Implications for research and practice. *BMC Medicine* 18, 317. doi:10.1186/s12916-020-01727-2
- .Olthof, M., Hasselman, F., Wijnants, M., and Lichtwarck-Aschoff, A. (2020). Psychological dynamics are complex: A comparison of scaling, variance, and dynamic complexity in simulated and observed data. In *Selbstorganisation – Ein Paradigma Für Die Humanwissenschaften*, eds. K. Viol, H. Schöller, and W. Aichhorn (Wiesbaden: Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden). 303–316. doi:10.1007/978-3-658-29906-4\_17
- .Webber Jr, C. L. and Zbilut, J. P. (2005). Recurrence quantification analysis of nonlinear dynamical systems. *Tutorials in contemporary nonlinear methods for the behavioral sciences* 94, 26–94

## FIGURE CAPTIONS



**Figure 1.** Enter the caption for your figure here. Repeat as necessary for each of your figures



**Figure 2a.** This is Subfigure 1.



**Figure 2b.** This is Subfigure 2.

**Figure 2.** Enter the caption for your subfigure here. (A) This is the caption for Subfigure 1. (B) This is the caption for Subfigure 2.