The Effect of Low Sampling Frequency and Bandwidth on Recurrence Quantification Analysis for Ecological Mometary Assessment

Maas van Steenbergen

2023-10-03

Introduction

Ecological momentary assessment has made it possible to construct time series on the basis of self-report scales, where one can map idiographic, within-person fluctuations of psychological constructs in a systematic manner (Conner et al. 2009). Data collected using these methods have been shown to display all markers of complex dynamics, which means that the future of the data generated using these methods is only predictable in the short-term, and that observations are dependent on the state of the system and its externalities at earlier timepoints (Olthof, Hasselman, and Lichtwarck-Aschoff 2020). Traditional statistical methods are often used to analyze the data that is generated using EMA, but these methods are not suitable to capture complex temporal idiographic patternsOlthof et al. (2020). Time-dependent idiographic dynamics have historically been neglected within psychology (Molenaar 2004). This led researchers to import techniques from complex dynamical systems theory, which is an area of mathematics that concerns itself with the study of time-dependent dynamics of systems (Olthof et al. 2020)

Recurrence quantification analysis is one of them, and is a method that identifes recurrent patterns, or repetitions, in a time series (Webber Jr and Zbilut 2005). Applying the method results in several indicators of the stability, predictability, and dynamical behavior of a psychological construct. While these methods were developed under the assumption that measurements can be retrieved at great frequency and at high resolution, this is generally not the case for EMA. It relies on the admission of tests taken several times a day, meaning that the sampling frequency is limited (Haslbeck and Ryan 2022). Moreover, the psychological constructs that are measured using EMA cannot be measured without relying on ordinal self-report questionnaires. Therefore, systematic study of the consequences of these limitations on RQA is needed.

For the purposes of this project, we assume that the underlying psychological construct is a continuously changing dynamical value (Boker 2002), and that EMA output values are accurate ordinal, relatively low-t attempts to measure continuous underlying dynamical processes. This is an idealized assumption to study the consequences of low sampling frequency and data bandwidth, and does not take into account possible challenges to ecological validity (Stinson, Liu, and Dallery 2022). This is outside of the scope of this project .

The current project

This project aims to find out at what point decreased data quality limits the ability of EMA to capture idiographic dynamics. We present an analysis pipeline consisting of multiple stages. We will use the DynamicalSystems.jl and Statistics.jl julia-packages to simulate the toy model and perform the analysis (Bezanson et al. 2017; Datseris 2018; Datseris and Parlitz 2022).

Stage 1: Data generation

In the first stage, we use a toy model developed to simulate the data based on a 3+1 dimensions model (Gauld and Depannemaecker 2023). This model captures clinical observations found in psychiatric symptomology by modeling internal factors (y), environmental noise (z), temporal specificities (f), and sympomatology (x). Symptomatology will be the outcome variable of these study. By changing all four of these coefficients systematically, we aim to model a large variety of possible trajectories, and we save each one of these models as a separate time series. For the purpose of our study, we redefine "symptomatology" as any dynamical fluctuations of psychological constructs. It is important to note that this set of equations is not chosen to be exhaustive, but because it is one of the only systematic attempts to explicitly model the temporal fluctuations of psychological constructs.

Stage 2: Binning data and removing time points

Now, we aim to systematically reduce the quality of the data. We bin a range of the width of the data into n intervals of equal length, where n stands for the number of bins. We also vary the minimum (min) and maximum (max) value of this range to simulate ceiling and floor-effects. Moreover, we remove time points from the data by keeping every k^{th} observation of the simulated data. We systematically decrease the value of n and min and increase the value of k and max, storing any combination of these values.

Stage 3: Data analysis

We will judge the sensitivity of the data by calculating summary statistics and recurrence indicators (recurrence rate, determinism, entropy of the distribution) for each time series in each state of degradation. We judge the sensitivity of the data to degredation by looking at the change in values for each of the indicators, where the intact dataset is used as the baseline. We will then map the changes in the indicators as the difference for that indicator between the baseline and at n, min, max, and k.

Ethical approval and proof of concept

The project has been approved by the ethical committee [!not yet done]. The target journal is Frontiers in Psychology.

References

- Bezanson, Jeff, Alan Edelman, Stefan Karpinski, and Viral B Shah. 2017. "Julia: A Fresh Approach to Numerical Computing." SIAM Review 59 (1): 65–98.
- Boker, Steven M. 2002. "Consequences of Continuity: The Hunt for Intrinsic Properties Within Parameters of Dynamics in Psychological Processes." *Multivariate Behavioral Research* 37 (3): 405–22. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327906MBR3703_5.
- Conner, Tamlin S., Howard Tennen, William Fleeson, and Lisa Feldman Barrett. 2009. "Experience Sampling Methods: A Modern Idiographic Approach to Personality Research." Social and Personality Psychology Compass 3 (3): 292–313. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-9004.2009.00170.x.
- Datseris, George. 2018. "DynamicalSystems.jl: A Julia Software Library for Chaos and Nonlinear Dynamics." *Journal of Open Source Software* 3 (23): 598. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.00598.
- Datseris, George, and Ulrich Parlitz. 2022. Nonlinear Dynamics: A Concise Introduction Interlaced with Code. Cham, Switzerland: Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-91032-7.
- Gauld, Christophe, and Damien Depannemaecker. 2023. "Dynamical Systems in Computational Psychiatry: A Toy-Model to Apprehend the Dynamics of Psychiatric Symptoms." Frontiers in Psychology 14.
- Haslbeck, Jonas M. B., and Oisín Ryan. 2022. "Recovering Within-Person Dynamics from Psychological Time Series." *Multivariate Behavioral Research* 57 (5): 735–66. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2021.1896353.
- Jenkins, Brooke N., John F. Hunter, Michael J. Richardson, Tamlin S. Conner, and Sarah D. Pressman. 2020. "Affect Variability and Predictability: Using Recurrence Quantification Analysis to Better Understand How the Dynamics of Affect Relate to Health." *Emotion* 20 (3): 391–402. https://doi.org/10.1037/emo0000556.

- Molenaar, Peter C. M. 2004. "A Manifesto on Psychology as Idiographic Science: Bringing the Person Back Into Scientific Psychology, This Time Forever." *Measurement: Interdisciplinary Research and Perspectives* 2 (4): 201–18. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15366359mea0204_1.
- Olthof, Merlijn, Fred Hasselman, and Anna Lichtwarck-Aschoff. 2020. "Complexity in Psychological Self-Ratings: Implications for Research and Practice." *BMC Medicine* 18 (1): 317. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-020-01727-2.
- Olthof, Merlijn, Fred Hasselman, Maarten Wijnants, and Anna Lichtwarck-Aschoff. 2020. "Psychological Dynamics Are Complex: A Comparison of Scaling, Variance, and Dynamic Complexity in Simulated and Observed Data." In *Selbstorganisation Ein Paradigma Für Die Humanwissenschaften*, edited by Kathrin Viol, Helmut Schöller, and Wolfgang Aichhorn, 303–16. Wiesbaden: Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-29906-4—17.
- Stinson, Lesleigh, Yunchao Liu, and Jesse Dallery. 2022. "Ecological Momentary Assessment: A Systematic Review of Validity Research." *Perspectives on Behavior Science* 45 (2): 469–93. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40614-022-00339-w.
- Webber Jr, Charles L, and Joseph P Zbilut. 2005. "Recurrence Quantification Analysis of Nonlinear Dynamical Systems." *Tutorials in Contemporary Nonlinear Methods for the Behavioral Sciences* 94 (2005): 26–94.