Project-7

April 2, 2023

```
[1]: #https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/lakshmi25npathi/

imdb-dataset-of-50k-movie-reviews?resource=download

'''

This project classifies a data set of 50,000 imdb movie reviews as positive or

inegative based on their text review.

It uses Naive Bayes, Logistic Regression, and Neural Networks.

'''
```

[1]: '\nThis project classifies a data set of 50,000 imdb movie reviews as positive or negative based on their text review. \nIt uses Naive Bayes, Logistic Regression, and Neural Networks.\n'

[2]: review sentiment

One of the other reviewers has mentioned that ... positive
A wonderful little production.

'>

The... positive
I thought this was a wonderful way to spend ti... positive
Basically there's a family where a little boy ... negative
Petter Mattei's "Love in the Time of Money" is... positive
Probably my all-time favorite movie, a story o... positive
I sure would like to see a resurrection of a u... positive
This show was an amazing, fresh & innovative i... negative

```
8 Encouraged by the positive comments about this... negative
```

9 If you like original gut wrenching laughter yo... positive

```
[3]: #Naive Bayes
     #Preprocessing
     stopwords = set(stopwords.words('english'))
     vectorizer = TfidfVectorizer(stop_words=stopwords)
     \#Isolate\ X\ and\ y
     X = df.review
     y = df.sentiment
     \#Test-Train\ Split
     X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.2,_
     strain_size=0.8, random_state=1337)
     #Apply tfidf vectorizer
     X_train = vectorizer.fit_transform(X_train) # fit and transform the train data
     X_test = vectorizer.transform(X_test)
                                             # transform only the test data
     #Train Naive Bayes
     naive_bayes = MultinomialNB()
     naive_bayes.fit(X_train, y_train)
     #Predict
     predNB = naive_bayes.predict(X_test)
     #Print results
     print(classification_report(y_test, predNB))
```

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
negative positive	0.86 0.87	0.88 0.85	0.87 0.86	5016 4984
positive	0.07	0.00	0.00	4304
accuracy			0.87	10000
macro avg	0.87	0.87	0.87	10000
weighted avg	0.87	0.87	0.87	10000

```
#Train Logistic Regression

clf = LogisticRegression(C=2.5, n_jobs=4, solver='lbfgs', random_state=17,__
overbose=1)

clf.fit(X_train, y_train)
```

```
#Predict
predLR = clf.predict(X_test)

#Print Results
print(classification_report(y_test, predLR))
```

 $\label{lem:parallel} \begin{tabular}{ll} Parallel(n_jobs=4)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 4 concurrent workers. \end{tabular}$

[Parallel(n_jobs=4)]: Done 1 out of 1 | elapsed: 3.0s finished

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
negative positive	0.91 0.89	0.88 0.91	0.90 0.90	5016 4984
accuracy macro avg weighted avg	0.90 0.90	0.90 0.90	0.90 0.90 0.90	10000 10000 10000

[5]: #Neural Networks

#Train on data

classifier = MLPClassifier(solver='lbfgs', alpha=1e-5, hidden_layer_sizes=(20, L 410), random_state=1)

classifier.fit(X_train, y_train)

predNN = classifier.predict(X_test)

print(classification_report(y_test, predNN))

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
negative	0.88	0.87	0.87	5016
positive	0.87	0.88	0.88	4984
accuracy			0.87	10000
macro avg	0.87	0.87	0.87	10000
weighted avg	0.87	0.87	0.87	10000

[6]: #Write up - Analysis of various approaches

, , ,

In general the most accurate approach was Logistic Regression. It had the ω overall best precision, recall, and f1 score.

The neural network and Naive Bayes approach are both really similar, however \hookrightarrow originally when running the neural network with (15, 2)

layers it resulted in a horrible ~.50 precision score, it only started matching \Box \Box Naive bayes at a (20, 10) layer of nodes.

The Neural Network is also magnitudes slower to run since it needs more layers \hookrightarrow to get a decent accuracy.

Overall I'd say for the current data Logistic Regression did the best, followed \hookrightarrow by Naive Bayes, then Neural Networks.

However, by changing the layers on the neural network it's possible to get even \hookrightarrow higher levels of accuracy.

[6]: "\nIn general the most accurate approach was Logistic Regression. It had the overall best precision, recall, and f1 score.\nThe neural network and Naive Bayes approach are both really similar, however originally when running the neural network with (15, 2)\nlayers it resulted in a horrible ~.50 precision score, it only started matching Naive bayes at a (20, 10) layer of nodes.\nThe Neural Network is also magnitudes slower to run since it needs more layers to get a decent accuracy.\n\nOverall I'd say for the current data Logistic Regression did the best, followed by Naive Bayes, then Neural Networks.\nHowever, by changing the layers on the neural network it's possible to get even higher levels of accuracy.\n"