

Fast and flexible simulation and parameter estimation for synthetic biology using bioscrape

Anandh Swaminathan¹, William Poole², Ayush Pandey³, Victoria Hsiao⁴, and Richard M Murray⁵

¹ Ghost Locomotion, Mountain View, CA, USA ² Computation and Neural Systems, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA ³ Control and Dynamical Systems, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA ⁴ Amyris, Emeryville, CA, USA ⁵ Control and Dynamical Systems and Biology and Biological Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#)
- [Repository](#)
- [Archive](#)

Editor: [Open Journals](#)

Reviewers:

- [@openjournals](#)

Submitted: 01 January 1970

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).

Summary

In systems and synthetic biology, it is common to build chemical reaction network (CRN) models of biochemical circuits and networks. Although automation and other high-throughput techniques have led to an abundance of data enabling data-driven quantitative modeling and parameter estimation, the intense amount of simulation needed for these methods still frequently results in a computational bottleneck. Here we present bioscrape (Bio-circuit Stochastic Single-cell Reaction Analysis and Parameter Estimation) - a Python package for fast and flexible modeling and simulation of highly customizable chemical reaction networks. Specifically, bioscrape supports deterministic and stochastic simulations, which can incorporate delay, cell growth, and cell division. All functionalities - reaction models, simulation algorithms, cell growth models, partitioning models, and Bayesian inference - are implemented as interfaces in an easily extensible and modular object-oriented framework. Models can be constructed via Systems Biology Markup Language (SBML) or specified programmatically via a Python API. Simulation run times obtained with the package are comparable to those obtained using C code - this is particularly advantageous for computationally expensive applications such as Bayesian inference or simulation of cell lineages. We first show the package's simulation capabilities on a variety of example simulations of stochastic gene expression. We then further demonstrate the package by using it to do parameter inference on a model of integrase enzyme-mediated DNA recombination dynamics with experimental data. The bioscrape package is publicly available online ([Swaminathan et al., 2022](#)) along with more detailed documentation and examples.

Statement of need

In the fields of systems and synthetic biology, it has become increasingly common to build mathematical models of biochemical networks. In principle, such models allow for quantitative predictions of the behavior of complex biological systems and efficient testing of hypotheses regarding how real biological networks function. Such predictions would transform the way in which we design and debug synthetic engineered biological circuits.

Biological circuits can often be noisy ([Eldar & Elowitz, 2010](#); [Elowitz et al., 2002](#)), especially in single cells with low molecular copy numbers ([Paulsson, 2005](#)). In these cases, a stochastic model is often necessary to capture the noise characteristics of a circuit.

Stochastic simulation also allows for the inclusion of delay into chemical reactions. Processes

*Co-first author

†Co-first author

‡Co-first author

39 like protein production are not instantaneous, and there is often a significant delay between
40 when transcription of a gene is initiated and when a mature protein is produced. This type of
41 delay can lead to non-trivial behavior such as oscillations (Stricker et al., 2008), and thus it is
42 often important to incorporate delay into the modeling framework.

43 Cell growth and division are also critical aspects of biological circuits that operate in single
44 cells. Typically, a dilution term in the model accounts for cell growth. However, in stochastic
45 models, modeling the continuous dilution process with a stochastic and discrete degradation
46 reaction might not be accurate. Another source of noise is the partitioning of molecules
47 between daughter cells at cell division, which can be difficult to distinguish from other forms
48 of noise (Huh & Paulsson, 2011). Therefore, modeling cell growth as well as division and
49 partitioning is important for investigating noise in gene expression across a lineage of cells.

50 Regardless of simulation framework, it is necessary to first specify the values of the parameters
51 of each propensity function in the model along with the initial levels of the model species. In
52 some cases, these parameters and initial conditions are experimentally known. Often, however,
53 they have to be inferred from biological data via a process known as parameter inference,
54 parameter estimation, or parameter identification (Sun et al., 2012). Bayesian inference
55 (Golightly & Wilkinson, 2011; Komorowski et al., 2009) is one of the most rigorous methods of
56 parameter identification. It provides a posterior distribution over the parameter space so that
57 the stochastic effects from the experimental data are modeled by the parameter distributions
58 instead of a fixed optimal point. This gives insight into the accuracy and identifiability of the
59 model. Also, such an approach allows for an easy comparison between different model classes
60 using the model evidence. The drawback of these approaches is that their implementation is
61 computationally expensive and is based on repeated forward simulations of the model within the
62 framework of Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) (Golightly & Wilkinson, 2011). Therefore,
63 it is important to have the underlying simulations running as fast as possible in order to speed
64 up computation time.

65 Once a given model is fully specified, it is then important to validate the model against
66 additional biological data. In this workflow, it is often necessary to add or remove reactions
67 from the model or to perform a different type of simulation. For example, one might decide that
68 a circuit behaves too noisily for deterministic simulations and want to switch to a stochastic
69 simulation framework. If delays are playing a significant role in the dynamics, one might want
70 to incorporate previously unmodeled delays into the model.

71 The result is that a very large amount of data is needed to first parameterize and then validate
72 models. The use of technologies for lab automation makes this data collection increasingly
73 accessible and economical. For deterministic models, this may include data collected at many
74 different operating conditions which can be achieved with high throughput measurement
75 techniques involving liquid handling automation (Moore et al., 2016). For stochastic models
76 this may include large sample sizes of single cell measurements such as flow cytometry
77 (Sachs et al., 2005; Zechner et al., 2012) and tracking single cell lineages with fluorescent
78 microscopy (Kretzschmar & Watt, 2012).

79 Summary of features




Figure 1: (a) A simple model of gene expression with transcription, translation, mRNA degradation, and protein degradation. The quantity of the gene encoding for mRNA is considered constant and absorbed into the transcription rate β . (b) Example Python code to construct a CRN model of gene expression using Bioscraper. (c) Models constructed via SBML or the Python API can be easily simulated with results returned as a Pandas Dataframe (McKinney, 2010). (d) Deterministic and stochastic simulations (with and without delays) using Bioscraper. The empirical probability distribution and the autocorrelation function for mRNA in the stochastic simulation matches the theoretical Poisson and exponential curve respectively

80 Figure 1 shows an example...

81 This paper presents bioscraper (Bio-circuit Stochastic Single-cell Reaction Analysis and Parameter Estimation), which is a Python package for fast and flexible modeling and simulation of
 82 biological circuits. The bioscraper package uses Cython (Behnel et al., 2011), an extension for
 83 Python that compiles code using a C compiler to vastly increase speed. This helps assuage
 84 the computational time issues that arise in parameter estimation and stochastic simulation.
 85 Bioscraper provides an object oriented framework which allows for easily customizable models
 86 that can be simulated in many different ways including deterministically, stochastically, or as
 87 growing and dividing lineages of single cells. Flexible easy-to-use wrapper and a Python API
 88 make it straightforward for a researcher to change their model and try simulations under diverse
 89 conditions. Some popular software packages that do somewhat similar tasks as the bioscraper
 90 package are MATLAB's SimBiology toolbox (MATLAB, 2016) and Stochpy (Maarleveld, 2013).
 91 However, the bioscraper package is faster, supports fully general propensity functions, and
 92 allows more kinds of simulation than these alternatives making it more flexible and more
 93 efficient than alternative packages.
 94

95 Acknowledgements

96 AS, AP, and VH were supported by the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (Agreement
 97 HR0011-17-2-0008). The content of the information does not necessarily reflect the position
 98 or the policy of the Government, and no official endorsement should be inferred. AS was also
 99 supported by AFOSR grant FA9550-14-1-0060. AP was also supported by the NSF grant
 100 CBET-1903477. WP was supported by an NSF Graduate Research Fellowship (No.2017246618).

101 The authors acknowledge members of the Murray lab at Caltech for assistance with experiments
 102 and helpful feedback and also acknowledge all the members of the scientific community at
 103 large who have used and provided feedback on bioscrape.

104 References

- 105 Behnel, S., Bradshaw, R., Citro, C., Dalcin, L., Seljebotn, D. S., & Smith, K. (2011). Cython:
 106 The best of both worlds. *Computing in Science Engineering*, 13(2), 31–39.
- 107 Eldar, A., & Elowitz, M. B. (2010). Functional roles for noise in genetic circuits. *Nature*,
 108 467(7312), 167–173.
- 109 Elowitz, M. B., Levine, A. J., Siggia, E. D., & Swain, P. S. (2002). Stochastic gene expression
 110 in a single cell. *Science*, 297(5584), 1183–1186.
- 111 Golightly, A., & Wilkinson, D. J. (2011). Bayesian parameter inference for stochastic biochem-
 112 ical network models using particle markov chain monte carlo. *Interface Focus*.
- 113 Huh, D., & Paulsson, J. (2011). Random partitioning of molecules at cell division. *Proceedings*
 114 *of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(36), 15004–15009.
- 115 Komorowski, M., Finkenstädt, B., Harper, C. V., & Rand, D. A. (2009). Bayesian inference of
 116 biochemical kinetic parameters using the linear noise approximation. *BMC Bioinformatics*,
 117 10(1), 343.
- 118 Kretzschmar, K., & Watt, F. M. (2012). Lineage tracing. *Cell*, 148(1-2), 33–45.
- 119 Maarleveld, B. G. A. B., Timo R. AND Olivier. (2013). StochPy: A comprehensive, user-
 120 friendly tool for simulating stochastic biological processes. *PLOS ONE*, 8(11), 1–10.
- 121 MATLAB. (2016). *Version 9.0.0 (R2016a)*. The MathWorks Inc.
- 122 McKinney, W. (2010). Data structures for statistical computing in python. In S. van der Walt
 123 & J. Millman (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 9th python in science conference* (pp. 51–56).
- 124 Moore, S. J., MacDonald, J. T., Weinecke, S., Kylilis, N., Polizzi, K. M., Biedendieck, R.,
 125 & Freemont, P. S. (2016). Prototyping of bacillus megaterium genetic elements through
 126 automated cell-free characterization and bayesian modelling. *bioRxiv*.
- 127 Paulsson, J. (2005). Models of stochastic gene expression. *Physics of Life Reviews*, 2(2),
 128 157–175.
- 129 Sachs, K., Perez, O., Pe'er, D., Lauffenburger, D. A., & Nolan, G. P. (2005). Causal protein-
 130 signaling networks derived from multiparameter single-cell data. *Science*, 308(5721),
 131 523–529.
- 132 Stricker, J., Cookson, S., Bennett, M. R., Mather, W. H., Tsimring, L. S., & Hasty, J. (2008).
 133 A fast, robust and tunable synthetic gene oscillator. *Nature*, 456(7221), 516–519.
- 134 Sun, J., Garibaldi, J. M., & Hodgman, C. (2012). Parameter estimation using metaheuristics
 135 in systems biology: A comprehensive review. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Computational*
 136 *Biology and Bioinformatics (TCBB)*, 9(1), 185–202.
- 137 Swaminathan, A., Poole, W., Pandey, A., Hsiao, V., & Murray, R. M. (2022). Bioscrape:
 138 Biological stochastic simulation of single cell reactions and parameter estimation. In *GitHub*
 139 *repository*. GitHub. <https://github.com/biocircuits/bioscrape/>
- 140 Zechner, C., Ruess, J., Krenn, P., Pelet, S., Peter, M., Lygeros, J., & Koepl, H. (2012).
 141 Moment-based inference predicts bimodality in transient gene expression. *Proceedings of*
 142 *the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(21), 8340–8345.