Ameriation Rule

It is a type of learning, technique that checks for dependency of one data item on another data item and maps accordingly, 80 that it can be more protitable.

Repromentation

Ponnbility of buying "Sugare" in __ which can reprenented by:

→ { Coffee } → { Sugar }

ARL (Unnuper vined)

Apriori

Echat

F-P Genowth

Algorithm.

Working : Support. People who bought also bought." Confidence Support , frequency of item (x) Support = frequency (x) /.
Potal transaction Support count = trequency of x Confidence : if indicates how often the items x and y both occurs together in dataset, when the the occurance of x in given. Confidence (x -> r) - frieq (x, y)

lift => it in the ntrength of any value rule.

Support (x, y)

Support (x) Support (y)

Confidency $(x \rightarrow y)$ Support (y)

if, lift = 1, item independent to each other.

if, lift > 1, item dependent to each other.

if lift < 1, one item in substitute for other items.

Application Market Banket analymin

- -> Medied Diagnomin.
- -> Protein Sequence.

Example

Steps: Set a minimum support and confidence.

Step 2: Pake all the subsets in transactions having higher support than minimum support.

Step3: Take all the Rules of there subsets having higher confidence than minimum confidence.

Step 4: Sort the rules by decreasing left.

Trannaction id	Item Sch
T	AiB
Te.	B.0
T_{o}	Bic
\mathcal{T}_{4}	A, B, D
T5-	A, C
$\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{b}}$	B,C
Tx	A, C
J.	A.B.C.E
16	A, B, C

minimum Support = 2 minimum confidence = 50% Apply Aprilling algorithm Calculate C, & Candidate met. Li & friequent item met. Support count Ilom met A E € 1 Support count Islam met all the itemmet B have greater on equal than minimum nepoort

Tram met Support count C2 (A.B) (A. C) (a. A) ζB, c} 4 ZB,D? 2 c D } 0 Item Set Support count ζA, B } (A, c) B, c? { B, D} Item Set Support Count 2 { A, B, c } 1 A, B, D } 0 B. C.D} A, C,D} 0

-finding Annociation Rules for submet's:

> we will calculate the confidence Sup (A,B)

Sup (A)

Sup (A)

That have less confidence than min threehold (50%)

Rules Support Confidence $A \cdot B \rightarrow C$ C = Sup(A, B), C S

99999999999

$$C \rightarrow (A, B)$$

2

$$A \rightarrow (B, c)$$

2

$$B \rightarrow (A, c)$$

2

3 Rules (A, B) -> c

> Related algorithm questions -> checks ML A-2 Course Pdf.

Institute of Information Technology Noakhali Science and Technology University

Course Code: CSE-4213 Duration: 30 Minutes [Class Test-2]

Course Title: Applied Data Science

Full Marks: 15

1

1

1

3

Answer all the following questions

Consider the transaction dataset given as follows.

TID	Items
TI	11, 12, 15
T2	12. 14
T3	12, 13
T4	11, 12, 14
T5	11, 13
T6	12. 13
Т7	11, 12, 13, 15
Т8	11, 13
Т9	11, 12, 13

- (a) Generate association rules for the items where minimum support count is 2 and minimum confidence is 60%.
- (b) Calculate the value of confidence $(\{12, 13\} => \{11\})$ and confidence $(\{11\} => \{12, 2\})$.
- 2. Dr. Strange have applied hierarchical clustering and got two clusters, $CI = \{(1,1), (2,2), (3,1)\}$ and $C2 = \{(5,3), (6,4)\}$. Now he wants to approximate the distance between these two clusters. [Note: Dr. Strange will apply Euclidean distance as it is his favorite distance metric.]
 - (a) Calculate the distance between ('1 and ('2 applying single link distance measure.
 - (b) Calculate the distance between C1 and C2 applying average link distance measure.
 - (e) Calculate the distance between ('1 and ('2 applying complete link distance measure.
 - (d) Calculate the distance between C1 and C2 applying centroid based distance measure.
- 3. A perceptron can learn the operation OR but not Exclusive-OR (XOR).
 - (a) Why do you think a perceptron cannot learn the XOR operation whether it learns the OR operation?
 - (b) How can you cope with the problem and propose a way so that XOR operation can be learnt?

Good Luck!

Exam Quenton Solution

<u>c,</u>	Flema	Support count
	<u> </u>	6
	Ω_2	*
	\mathfrak{I}_3	6
	\mathcal{I}_{4}	2
	T5-	2
<u>L</u>	I-6ma	Support Count
1		Support Count
<u>L</u>	T,	
<u>L</u>	T,	6
<u>L</u>		6
<u> </u>	T,	6

C2 Plomo Support count (I, I_2) (T_1, T_3) 4 (T_1, T_4) (T_1, T_5) 2 (T_2, T_3) 4 $\left(\mathcal{I}_{2}, \mathcal{I}_{4} \right)$ (T_2, T_5) \mathcal{O} (Is, I4) 1 (13, I5) (I4, I5) 0 (T_2, T_4) 2 (T_1, T_2) 4 (T2, T5) 2 (I, I3) 4 (1, Tg) (I2, I3)

$$\begin{array}{c}
\underline{C_3} \\
(\underline{\Gamma_1}, \underline{\Gamma_2}, \underline{\Gamma_3}) & \longrightarrow 2 \\
(\underline{\Gamma_1}, \underline{\Gamma_2}, \underline{\Gamma_4}) & \longrightarrow 4 \\
(\underline{\Gamma_1}, \underline{\Gamma_2}, \underline{\Gamma_5}) & \longrightarrow 2 \\
(\underline{\Gamma_2}, \underline{\Gamma_3}, \underline{\Gamma_4}) & \longrightarrow 0 \\
(\underline{\Gamma_2}, \underline{\Gamma_3}, \underline{\Gamma_5}) & \longrightarrow 4 \\
(\underline{\Gamma_2}, \underline{\Gamma_4}, \underline{\Gamma_5}) & \longrightarrow 0 \\
(\underline{\Gamma_1}, \underline{\Gamma_2}, \underline{\Gamma_3}) & \longrightarrow 2 \\
(\underline{\Gamma_1}, \underline{\Gamma_2}, \underline{\Gamma_3}, \underline{\Gamma_4}) & \longrightarrow 0 \\
(\underline{\Gamma_1}, \underline{\Gamma_2}, \underline{\Gamma_3}, \underline{\Gamma_4}) & \longrightarrow 0 \\
(\underline{\Gamma_1}, \underline{\Gamma_2}, \underline{\Gamma_3}, \underline{\Gamma_5}) & \longrightarrow 1
\end{array}$$

(I, I2, I4, I5) -> 0

 $\frac{\text{Rules}}{\left(\mathbb{T}_{2},\ \mathbb{T}_{3}\right)} \to \mathbb{T}_{1}$

Support

Confidence

2

2 4

z 50%

 $(\mathfrak{I}_2) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{I}_2, \mathfrak{I}_3)$

2

- 6

= 33.33%