

Question 6.1: Construct an argument as to why indirect communication may be appropriate in volatile environments. To what extent can this be traced to time uncoupling or indeed a combination of both.

Answer: Reason for possibility of indirect communication in volatile environment.

→ The following is the reason for using the indirect communication in volatile environment.

→ The direct communication is not able to deal with the changes in the client server communication; this is because, in direct communication, it is more complex to reflex replace the alternative server with the same functionality.

→ Moreover, if the server fails, then it directly affect the client which must

obviously fails the communication; but the indirect communication can handle these problems of direct coupling and inherits the properties through space by uncoupling and time uncoupling.

- Extent of space uncoupling and time uncoupling

→ Space uncoupling: The space uncoupling is that in which sender does not know about identity of receiver and receiver does not know about the identity of sender.

→ Time uncoupling: In this coupling technique the sender and receiver have separate lifetimes; because of this separate lifetime, this does not involve the

render and receiver have separate lifetime; because of this separate lifetime this does not involve the render and receiver for the communication at the same time. So, there will not be any communication failure between client and Server.

Question 6.2 Section 6.1: States the message passing in both time- and space-coupled- that is, messages are both directed towards a particular entity and require the receiver to be present at the time of the message send. Consider the case, though where messages are directed towards a name rather than an address and this name is resolved using DNS. Does such a system exhibit the same level of indirection?

Answer 6.2

Explanation: "Yes" the above given information also faces the same level of indirection and it is explained as:

following:

→ It is a known fact that DNS name resolver for more the one IP address and this is to reduce the workload among the multiple computers.

→ With this, it is clear the name resolver provides the indirection which means the sender does not exactly bound to the given receiver because the address may be bounded to any of possible receivers; so, this follows the concept of space uncoupling which is extremely different in communication.

→ In space uncoupling, the communication is made explicitly through intermediate such as group multicasting and message

quiring more of higher level of
Therefore, the given case faces same level of
indirection.

Question 6.3: Section 6.1 refers to a system that are
space coupled but time-uncoupled - that is, message
are directed towards a given receiver (or receiver)
but that receiver can have a lifetime inde-
pendent from the members. Can you construct
a communication paradigm with these properties?
for example, does email fall into this category?

Answer 6.3

Explanation: with the given case, the communication
is possible because the message is directed
toward the known identity and it is partially
based upon different life-time of sender
and receiver to make communication;

the given case required to have the following assumption:

Assumption 1:

while making the communication between sender and receiver to make communication; because with the given property rule of space-coupled but time uncoupled, it is interpreted that receiver may not exist on the other side.

Assumption 2: With the given property rule of space-coupled but time uncoupled, it is interpreted that receiver is available to receive able but not available to receive the message on given time.

Question 6.4: As a second example, consider the communication paradigm referred to as queued RPC as introduced in Rover [Joseph et al. 1992]. Rover is a toolkit to support distributed System Programming in mobile environments where participants in communication may become disconnected for periods of time. The system offers the RPC Paradigm and hence calls are directed towards a given receiver. Clearly space-coupled calls though are routed through an intermediary, a queue at the sending node and are maintained in the queue until the receiver is available. To what extent is this time uncoupled? Hint: Consider the almost philosophical question of whether a recipient that is temporarily unavailable exists at that point in time.

Answer 6.4

Extent of time uncoupled property for given communication need to identify up to which extends the time uncoupled in followed on communication

Paradigm:

The decision is based upon the point of interpretation of different lifetimes between sender and receiver on communication and

the interpretations are as follows.

→ If the interpretation is assumed that the receiver is not exist to receive the communication then this is not the property of time uncoupled.

→ If the interpretation is assumed that the receiver is present but not available at the given time which means that the receiver exist but disconnected due

to some problem then this follows property of time uncoupled.

Question 6.5: If a communication paradigm is asynchronous, is it also time uncoupled? Explain your answer with examples as appropriate.

Answer 6.5: Relation between asynchronous communication and time uncoupled follows the same rule. The best example, according to given scenario in mail communication or file transfer

Example 1: The email communication fits into relationship of both asynchronous and time uncoupled.

→ If the sender sends a mail which is directed to corresponding receiver who may or may not present at the time to receive the message, but the receiver receives the message when they are connected to mailbox.

→ The communication is possible in this case, because the delivery of message is made to corresponding person and it's archive later.

→ Thus, the sender and receiver do not depend upon the time.

Question 6.6: In the context of group communication service, provide example message exchanges that illustrate the difference between unicast and ordering.

Answer 6.6: The group communication may allow the people to process on different host and it must provide support for communication and synchronization between them.

"Difference between causal and total Ordering":

Causal Ordering,	Total Ordering
→ The causal order multicart in a process which needs to prove the following statement: multicart (g, m) \rightarrow multicart (g, m')	→ In this operation, each process maintain same ordering decision based upon the identifiers.
→ The contain Symbol " \rightarrow " and it represent the happened before relation.	→ This include two operations such as "To multicast" and "To deliver".
→ In group communication, the causal ordering allows the process once the message "happens before" another message in distributed System.	→ In group communication, the message must be delivered before another message at Process; So that it follows the same order in all the process.
→ The causal ordering relationship maintains the result of related message at all process.	→ The total ordering relationship preserves the same order at all process.

Question 6.2: Consider the fire alarm example as written using TGroup (Section 6.2.3). Suppose this was generalized to support a variety of alarm types, such as fire, flood, intruder, and so on. What are the requirements of this application in terms of reliability and ordering?

Answer: Requirement in terms of ordering:

for ordering; there are no important req. discussed in "fire alarm" example, because there is no strong requirement to protect the ordering across alarm types.

Moreover, this application is simple and there is no sequence of message related to alarm. Thus there is no important requirement for ordering.

Question 6.8: Suggest a design for a notification mailbox service, that is intended to store notification on behalf of multiple subscribers, allowing subscribers to specify when they require notification to be delivered. Explain how subscribers that are not always active can make use of the service you describe. How will the service deal with subscribers that crash while they have delivered turn on.

→ Use of mailbox service:

If the subscriber are not always active, then the following is the way to make use of the service

- The service is used by the registering the client with it and the client receives the registration object.
- This object is saved in a file to register the "RemoteEventListener" provided by the mailbox service.

→ This creates the event generators for the event that requires the notification; thus by this way the notifications are notified later even though the subscribers are not always active in the mailbox service.

→ Use of mailbox on crashing.

- The mailbox can be restored by the registration object when it is restarted.
- So, whenever the mailbox needs to receive the events than the delivery is turned on and if it is not req. then it makes the delivery off.

Question 6.9: In Publish-subscriber system explain how channel-based approaches can trivially be implemented using a group communication service? why is this a less optimal strategy for - implement a content-based approach.

Answer 69: channel based approach on public subscribe system:

This is one of the comfortable approach for public subscribe system.

→ This is the scheme which is successfully used in common object Request Broker Architecture (CORBA Event Service).

= In this approach, the events are published by the publishers to named channels and subscribers select anyone from the name channel they to receive all the events posted by publisher.

Reason for less optimal in content based approach.

The content based approach consider on less optimal strategy because of following reason.

- This is more expensive than the channel and topic based approach in public subscribe system.
- The query language involved in this content based approach varies from system to system.
- Mapping operation cannot be possible; so, the communication is more associative and content oriented.

Question 6.10: Using the filtering-based routing algorithm in figure 6.11 as a starting point, develop an alternative algorithm that shows how the use of advertisement can result in significant optimization in terms of message traffic generated.

Answer 6.20: Working method of filtering based routing algorithm:

This is the algorithm in which the broker receives the request and it passes the notification to all connected nodes.

- The match function matches the event from subscription list and then it forwards the events to all the nodes in subscription.
- while using the math function, the event is matched against the routing table and then it forwards path to lead to a subscription.

Advertisement based Approach: The above filtering based approach, the advertising approach creates a lot of traffic due to subscription and this can be reduce by the advertisement based approach.

- ~ Similar to flooding based approach, the advertising approach follows the same principle to publish the events.
- The only difference is that each node is now supported with the additional advertisement-based routing table.

Question 6.11: Construct a step-by-step guide explaining the operation of the alternative rendezvous-based routing algorithm shown figure 6.15.

Answer 6.11: Step by step procedure of rendezvous routing algorithm :

This is the approach which confirm rendezvous nodes and these nodes referred as broker nodes. This algorithm is

achieved:

- first the subscription "n" is received on the (SN) and then if returning one or more rendezvous nodes. These nodes takes the responsibility for the given subscription and additionally the nodes maintain the list of subscriptions like filtering based approach and based on the list it forward all the events matches to the subscription nodes.
- The second step is whenever the event "e" is published, at least one or more rendezvous nodes is returned by the function $EN_{(c)}$ and if matches the event "e" against a subscription.

Question 6.12: Building on your answer to exercise 6.11, discuss two possible implementation of $EN_{(e)}$ and $SN_{(e)}$. Why must the intersection $EN_{(e)}$ and $SN_{(e)}$ be non-null for a given e that matches s (the intersection rule)? Does this apply in your possible implementation.

Answer 6.12: Possible implementation of " $EN_{(e)}$ " and " $SN_{(e)}$:

Rendezvous routing algorithms in one of the approach which control the subscription and this contains rendezvous nodes.

This algorithm achieved only if the following step's are achieved:

- first Subscription "s" is received on $SN_{(e)}$ and then it reforms one or more rendezvous nodes. Please

nodes takes the responsibility for the given subscription and additionally, the nodes maintain the list of subscription like filtering, based approach and based on the list it forwards all the matching events to rest of subscribing nodes.

- The second step is whenever the event "(e)" published, it returns the rendezvous nodes and these nodes matches the event "e" against subscription.

Reason for the intersection rule : In rendezvous based routing algorithm, the subscription events are sent to one or more nodes and publish event routes all the subscription. For any specific event, the nodes calculated and

offered by "ENs" must overlap with the calculation of " $SN_{(s)}$ " to find the matching nodes to the subscription, and then it is forward in the network.

Implementation: Consider the following two example implementation of rendezvous based routing algorithm.

Example : Assume the first example an implementation of distributed hash table (DHT) which contains publish subscription system. In which EN and SN are the identical operation which implement the hash function used for topic.

Name:

Application of Rendezvous based routing in implementation : "Yes", both the above implementation applies the rule of intersection and argument rule.