Parallel-Programming Task0

① Caution

21307289 刘森元

Code on https://github.com/Myocardial-infarction-Jerry/Parallel-Programming/tree/main/Task0.

Project built by CMake.

```
> cd Task0
> cmake . && make
```

Environment

11th Gen Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-11700KF @ 3.60GHz

NVIDIA GeForce RTX 3080 Ti 012G

Windows Subsystem for Linux @ Ubuntu 22.04 LTS

1. Task

根据定义使用 C/C++/Python 语言实现一个串行矩阵乘法,并同归对比试验分析其性能.

$$C_{i,j} = \sum_{p=1}^n A_{i,p} B_{p,j}$$

输入: m, n, k 三个整数,每个整数的取值范围均为 [512, 2048].

问题描述: 随机生成 $m \times n$ 的矩阵 A 以及 $n \times k$ 的矩阵 B, 并对这两个矩阵进行矩阵乘法运算,得到矩阵 C.

输出: A, B, C 三个矩阵, 及矩阵计算所消耗的时间 t.

要求: 实现多个版本的串行矩阵乘法 (可考虑多种语言/编译选项/实现方法/算法/库). 并比对分析不同因素 对最终性能的影响.

2. Theory

由于多个版本之间的矩阵乘法存在较大差异性,本次试验我将使用 C++ STD 标准库来封装一个矩阵乘法的运算,以此保证对比试验不受其他因素干扰.

其中封装具体代码如下:

```
std::vector<std::vector<float>> operator*(const std::vector<std::vector<float>> &A, const
std::vector<std::vector<float>> &B) {
  int m = A.size(), n = A[0].size(), k = B[0].size();
```

使用 std::chrono 来计算矩阵乘法运行时间, 其提供了高精度的时间戳, 能够较为准确的计算相关数据.

```
// Multiply
std::cerr << "Calculating " << m << "*" << n << "*" << k << std::endl;
auto start = std::chrono::high_resolution_clock::now();

C = A * B;
auto end = std::chrono::high_resolution_clock::now();

// Calculate the duration
auto duration = std::chrono::duration_cast<std::chrono::milliseconds>(end - start);
std::cerr << "Multiplication time: " << duration.count() << " ms" << std::endl;
float flops = (2.0 * m * n * k) / (duration.count() * 1e6);
std::cerr << "Performance: " << flops << " GFLOPS" << std::endl;</pre>
```

通过查询可知,加速比计算公式如下:

$$S_p = \frac{T_1}{T_p}$$

浮点性能计算公式如下:

$$\begin{split} \text{FLOPS} &= \frac{\text{Float point calculation}}{\text{Calculating time}} \\ &= \frac{m \times n \times k \times 2}{T} \end{split}$$

查询可知 11th Gen Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-11700KF @ 3.60GHz 的单精度峰值浮点性能为 40 GFLOPS.

3. Code

① Caution

由于程序运行部分大同小异,此处仅贴出部分代码,详细代码请见超链接.

3.1. Python

由于 Numpy 对矩阵乘法计算进行了特殊优化,不能作为朴素矩阵乘法 (Naive Matrix Multiply) 运行效率的 比较样本,故于此重新实现了朴素的矩阵乘法.

(i) Note

<u>MatMul.py</u>

3.2. C/C++

统一使用 std::vector<std::vector<float>> 进行矩阵封装并进行运算,采用重载运算符方式.

实现了朴素的矩阵乘法.

(i) Note

MatMul.cpp

```
std::vector<std::vector<float>> operator*(const std::vector<std::vector<float>> &A, const
std::vector<std::vector<float>> &B) {
  int m = A.size(), n = A[0].size(), k = B[0].size();
  std::vector<std::vector<float>> C(m, std::vector<float>(k, 0));

for (int i = 0; i < m; i++)
    for (int j = 0; j < k; j++)
        for (int l = 0; l < n; l++)
        C[i][j] += A[i][l] * B[l][j];

return C;
}</pre>
```

3.3. C/C++ 调整循环顺序

通过调整循环顺序,减少部分寻址时间.

(i) Note

MatMul_Loop.cpp

```
std::vector<std::vector<float>> operator*(const std::vector<std::vector<std:> &A, const
std::vector<std::vector<float>> &B) {
  int m = A.size(), n = A[0].size(), k = B[0].size();
  std::vector<std::vector<float>> C(m, std::vector<float>(k, 0));

for (int i = 0; i < m; i++)
    for (int l = 0; l < n; l++) {
    int a = A[i][l];
    for (int j = 0; j < k; j++)
        C[i][j] += a * B[l][j];
  }

return C;
}</pre>
```

3.4. C/C++ 编译优化

在 CMakeLists.txt 中启用 03 编译优化.源码使用朴素矩阵乘法 MatMul.cpp.

(i) Note

CMakeLists.txt

```
# Compile MatMul_CompileOptimized with optimization flags
add_executable(MatMul_CompileOptimized MatMul.cpp)
target_compile_options(MatMul_CompileOptimized PRIVATE -03)
```

3.5. C/C++ 循环展开

通过手动压缩计算展开循环.

(i) Note

MatMul_LoopExtended.cpp

```
}
return C;
}
```

3.6. C/C++ Using Intel MKL

使用 Intel 提供的 oneAPI© 进行矩阵乘法运算. - <u>Tutorial: Using oneMKL for Matrix</u> <u>Multiplication</u>

(i) Note

MatMul_MKL.cpp

```
std::vector<std::vector<float>> &A, const
std::vector<std::vector<float>> &B) {
// Get the dimensions of the matrices
int m = A.size();
int n = A[0].size();
int k = B[0].size();
std::vector<std::vector<float>> C(m, std::vector<float>(k, 0));
 float *a = (float *)mkl_malloc(m * n * sizeof(float), 64);
 float *b = (float *)mkl_malloc(n * k * sizeof(float), 64);
 float *c = (float *)mkl_malloc(m * k * sizeof(float), 64);
// Copy the matrices to the arrays
 for (int i = 0; i < m; i++)
    for (int j = 0; j < n; j++)
        a[i * n + j] = A[i][j];
for (int i = 0; i < n; i++)
    for (int j = 0; j < k; j++)
        b[i * k + j] = B[i][j];
// Perform matrix multiplication using Intel MKL
cblas_sgemm(CblasRowMajor, CblasNoTrans, CblasNoTrans, m, k, n, 1.0, a, n, b, k, 0.0, c,
k);
// Copy the result back to the matrix
for (int i = 0; i < m; i++)
    for (int j = 0; j < k; j++)
        C[i][j] = c[i * k + j];
mkl_free(a);
mkl_free(b);
mkl_free(c);
return C;
```

4. Result

⚠ Warning

Using m = n = k = 512

Version	Running time (ms)	Relative acceleration ratio	Absolute acceleration ratio	GFL0PS	Peak performance percentage
Python	11346	/	/	0.023658	0.05 %
C/C++	894	12.691	12.691	0.300263	0.70 %
C/C++ 调整 循环顺序	647	1.3817	17.536	0.414893	1.03 %
C/C++ 编译 优化	109	5.9357	104.09	2.46271	6.15 %
C/C++ 循环 展开	866	0.1258	13.101	0.309972	0.77 %
C/C++ Using Intel MKL	14	61.857	810.42	19.174	47.9 %

4.1. Python

chef@ChefMichelin-PC ▶ python MatMul.py

Enter m, n, k: 512 512 512

Calculating 512*512*512
Multiplication time: 11346 ms
Performance: 0.023658 GFLOPS

4.2. C/C++

chef@ChefMichelin-PC ▶ ./MatMul
Enter m, n, k: 512 512 512

Calculating 512*512*512 Multiplication time: 894 ms Performance: 0.300263 GFLOPS

Result in output.txt

4.3. C/C++ 调整循环顺序

chef@ChefMichelin-PC ./MatMul_Loop

Enter m, n, k: 512 512 512 Calculating 512*512*512 Multiplication time: 647 ms Performance: 0.414893 GFLOPS

Result in output.txt

4.4. C/C++ 编译优化

chef@ChefMichelin-PC > ./MatMul_CompileOptimized

Enter m, n, k: 512 512 512 Calculating 512*512*512
Multiplication time: 109 ms
Performance: 2.46271 GFLOPS

Result in output.txt

4.5. C/C++ 循环展开

chef@ChefMichelin-PC > ./MatMul_LoopExtended

Enter m, n, k: 512 512 512 Calculating 512*512*512 Multiplication time: 866 ms Performance: 0.309972 GFLOPS

Result in output.txt

4.6. C/C++ Using Intel MKL

chef@ChefMichelin-PC ./MatMul_MKL

Enter m, n, k: 512 512 512 Calculating 512*512*512 Multiplication time: 14 ms Performance: 19.174 GFLOPS

Result in output.txt