Machine learning Part 2

Jérôme Dockès

QLS course 2021-07-30

Recap of part 1

Supervised learning

- Regression: least-squares linear regression
- Classification: logistic regression

Regularization

- ℓ_2 a.k.a. ridge regularization

Model evaluation and selection

- Out-of-sample generalization; independent test set
- Performance metrics:
  - regression: mean squared error
  - classification: accuracy, ROC curve
- Cross-validation

Don’t remember? watch Part 1 again!

Notation & vocabulary

Supervised learning framework

$$Y = f(X) + E \tag{1}$$

- $Y \in \mathbb{R}$: output (a.k.a. target, dependent variable) to predict
- $X \in \mathbb{R}^p$: features (a.k.a. inputs, regressors, descriptors, independent variables)
- $E \in \mathbb{R}$: unmodelled noise
- f: the function we try to approximate

Example: linear regression

$$Y = \beta_0 + \langle X, \beta \rangle + E \tag{2}$$

$$= \beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{p} X_j \, \beta_j + E \tag{3}$$

"learning" = estimating $\beta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$

Dimensionality reduction

Until now

Add a step in the pipeline: simplifying the inputs



Dimensionality reduction

Problems when the number of features p becomes large

- Bigger errors on test data (larger variance of predictions)
- Numerical stability issues
- Computational cost and memory usage

Toy example: linear regression with simulated data

- Generate $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 3}$, $\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathrm{R}^n$
- Append columns containing random noise to $\mathbf{X}$
- Now $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$, with $p \geqslant 3$, but only the first 3 columns are linked with $\mathbf{y}$
- Split into training and testing tests and evaluate a linear regression model: what happens when p becomes large?

See `sklearn.datasets.make_regression` for generating data

Model complexity: overfitting

- Model complexity increases with dimension.
- Example: a linear model in dimension p can fit exactly (0 training error) any set of $p + 1$ points.
- Risk of overfitting: fitting exactly training data but failing on test data



Cost of fitting many parameters

- Many algorithms require polynomial time in p
- Implementations often make copies of the design matrix (e.g. for centering & rescaling)



Univariate feature selection

- a.k.a. feature screening, filtering . . .
- Check features (columns of $\mathbf{X}$) one by one for association with the output $\mathbf{y}$
- Keep only a fixed number or percentage of the features

Simple (linear) association criteria

- for regression: correlation
- for classification: ANalysis Of VAriance

Read more in the scikit-learn user guide

https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/feature_selection.html#feature-selection

Univariate feature selection

Keeping only the 10 best features (most correlated with y)



Same plot in log scale



Linear decomposition methods

Maybe OK to drop X_2:



Data low-dimensional but no feature can be dropped:



Find a better referential in which to represent the data

Linear regression: projection on the column space of X

$$\hat{y} = X\hat{\beta} \qquad (4)$$



- Too many features: high variance & unstable solution
- Feature selection: drop some columns of X
- Other ways to build a family of k vectors on which to regress y?

Linear decomposition: low-rank approximation of X

Minimize

$$\|X - WH\|_F^2 = \sum_{i,j} (X_{i,j} - (WH)_{i,j})^2 \tag{5}$$



Linear regression after dimensionality reduction

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \boldsymbol{W}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \tag{6}$$



Prediction for a new data point $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^p$

- Find the combination of rows of $\mathbf{H}$ that is closest to $\boldsymbol{x}$: regress $\boldsymbol{x}$ on $\mathbf{H}^T$
- Multiply by $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$

$$\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^p \to \text{projection} \to \boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{R}^k \to \langle \cdot\,, \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \rangle \to \hat{y} \in \mathbb{R} \tag{7}$$

Principal Component Analysis

- Matrix factorization based on Singular Value Decomposition:

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{U}\,\mathbf{\Sigma}\,\mathbf{V}^{\mathsf{T}} \tag{8}$$

with $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$, $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$, $\mathbf{\Sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$, $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$

- $\mathbf{\Sigma} \succeq 0$ diagonal with decreasing values $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{j,j}$ along the diagonal
- $\mathbf{U}^{\mathsf{T}}\,\mathbf{U} = \mathrm{I}_p$
- $\mathbf{V}^{\mathsf{T}}\,\mathbf{V} = \mathrm{I}_p$

Truncating the SVD to keep only the first k components gives the best rank-k approximation of $\mathbf{X}$



Other decomposition methods

Many other methods use the same objective (sum of squared reconstruction errors), but add penalties or constraints on the factors

- Dictionary Learning
- Non-negative Matrix Factorization
- K-means clustering
- ...

What about $\mathcal{y}$?

- PCA is an example of *unsupervised* learning: it does not use $\mathcal{y}$
- Some other methods take it into account: e.g. Partial Least Squares

Ridge regression and PCA

- Both ridge regression and PC regression compute the coordinates of y in the basis given by the SVD of X
- ridge shrinks the coefficients of Singular Vector j by a factor $\sigma_j^2/(\sigma_j^2+\lambda)$
- PC regression sets the coefficient to 0 for all but the k largest σ_j



Setting hyperparameters

How can we choose:

- Number of features or PCA components k?
- The ridge hyperparameter λ?

Try a few and pick the best one...
But measure its performance on separate data!

Need for fresh test data

When you hear "best", "maximum", "select", . . . think "bias"

- I have 4 dice and want to find one that rolls high numbers
- I roll them all once and select the die that gives the highest number
- The selected die rolled a 5. Is 5 a good estimate of that die’s average result? What if I had 1,000 dice?
- I need to roll it again to get an unbiased estimate

Accuracy of the best model

- Several models are trained, then evaluated on a separate test set
- All models give random answers – expected accuracy is .5
- If I select the best one, its measured accuracy is biased . . .



Nested cross-validation

When you hear "best", "maximum", "select", ... think "bias"

Setting the parameters

- **Select** β that gives the **best** prediction on training data
- The prediction score for $\hat{\beta}$ is biased: compute a new score on unseen test data.

Setting the hyperparameters

- Repeat step 1 for a few values of λ, k, *etc.*, fitting and testing several models
- **Select** the hyperparameter that obtains the **best** prediction on test data
- The prediction score of that model on *test* data is biased: evaluate it again on unseen data

One split


Train
Evaluation
Test
Choose $\beta(\lambda_i)$ for each λ_i
Evaluate $\beta(\lambda_i)$; Choose λ
Evaluate $\beta(\lambda)$

Nested cross-validation



see `sklearn.model_selection.GridSearchCV`

Some common pitfalls with cross-validation

- Fitting part of the pipeline on the whole dataset
  - e.g. fit PCA on all data, then do cross-validation on dim-reduced dataset
  - use `sklearn.pipeline.Pipeline`
- Ignoring dependencies between samples
  - Multiple datapoints per participant
  - Time series
  - ...
- Ignoring dependencies between CV scores
  - Training sets overlap: cross-validation scores of different splits are not independent
- Over-interpreting good CV scores
  - Good CV scores on one dataset do not mean the model will always perform well on a new dataset

Supervised learning with fMRI

- Predict in which site / with which scanner a resting-state fMRI sequence was acquired

The decoding pipeline

- Masking: extracting voxels that are inside the brain
- Connectivity: measuring correlations between brain regions to build a feature vector for each participant
- Univariate feature selection with ANalysis Of VAriance
- Classifier: logistic regression

Implementation: in class