

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/279370876>

Brain Computer Interface

Chapter · January 2011

DOI: 10.1007/978-3-540-74658-4_52

CITATIONS

19

READS

154

3 authors, including:



Günter Edlinger

g.tec

107 PUBLICATIONS 3,327 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Christoph Guger

g.tec medical engineering GmbH

378 PUBLICATIONS 9,378 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Electrocorticography [View project](#)



DECODER Project [View project](#)

SPRINGER BRIEFS IN
ELECTRICAL AND COMPUTER ENGINEERING

Christoph Guger · Brendan Z. Allison
Günter Edlinger *Editors*

Brain–Computer Interface Research A State-of-the-Art Summary

SpringerBriefs in Electrical and Computer Engineering

For further volumes:
<http://www.springer.com/series/10059>

Christoph Guger · Brendan Z. Allison
Günter Edlinger
Editors

Brain–Computer Interface Research

A State-of-the-Art Summary

 Springer

Editors

Christoph Guger
Günter Edlinger
g.tec medical engineering
GmbH/Guger Technologies OG
Graz, Schiedlberg
Austria

Brendan Z. Allison
Cognitive Science Department
University of California
San Diego, CA
USA

ISSN 2191-8112 ISSN 2191-8120 (electronic)
ISBN 978-3-642-36082-4 ISBN 978-3-642-36083-1 (eBook)
DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-36083-1
Springer Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London

Library of Congress Control Number: 2013934009

© The Author(s) 2013

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed. Exempted from this legal reservation are brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis or material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the Copyright Law of the Publisher's location, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Permissions for use may be obtained through RightsLink at the Copyright Clearance Center. Violations are liable to prosecution under the respective Copyright Law. The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use. While the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication, neither the authors nor the editors nor the publisher can accept any legal responsibility for any errors or omissions that may be made. The publisher makes no warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

Contents

State of the Art in BCI Research: BCI Award 2011	1
Christoph Guger, Brendan Allison and Günter Edlinger	
An Auditory Output Brain–Computer Interface for Speech Communication	7
Jonathan S. Brumberg, Frank H. Guenther and Philip R. Kennedy	
User-Appropriate and Robust Control Strategies to Enhance Brain–Computer Interface Performance and Usability	15
E. V. C. Friedrich, R. Scherer and C. Neuper	
What’s Your Next Move? Detecting Movement Intention for Stroke Rehabilitation	23
R. Zimmermann, L. Marchal-Crespo, O. Lamercy, M.-C. Fluet, J.-C. Metzger, J. Edelmann, J. Brand, K. Eng, R. Riener, M. Wolf and R. Gassert	
A Review of Performance Variations in SMR-Based Brain–Computer Interfaces (BCIs)	39
Moritz Grosse-Wentrup and Bernhard Schölkopf	
Exploring the Cortical Dynamics of Learning by Leveraging BCI Paradigms	53
Tim Blakely, Kai Miller, Jeffrey Ojemann and Rajesh Rao	
An Affective BCI Using Multiple ERP Components Associated to Facial Emotion Processing	61
Qibin Zhao, Yu Zhang, Akinari Onishi and Andrzej Cichocki	
Seven Degree of Freedom Cortical Control of a Robotic Arm	73
Samuel T. Clanton, Angus JC McMorland, Zohny Zohny, S Morgan Jeffries, Robert G Rasmussen, Sharlene N Flesher and Meel Velliste	

Utilizing High Gamma (HG) Band Power Changes as a Control Signal for Non-Invasive BCI	83
M. Smith, K. Weaver, T. Grabowski and F. Darvas	
Towards a Speech BCI Using ECoG	93
Eric C. Leuthardt, John Cunningham and Dennis Barbour	
Towards Communication in the Completely Locked-In State: Neuroelectric Semantic Conditioning BCI	111
Daniele De Massari, Carolin A. Ruf, Adrian Furdea, Sebastian Halder, Tamara Matuz and Niels Birbaumer	
Conclusion	119

State of the Art in BCI Research: BCI Award 2011

Christoph Guger, Brendan Allison and Günter Edlinger

Introduction

Brain–Computer Interfaces (BCIs) analyze brain signals in real-time to control external devices, communicate with others, facilitate rehabilitation or restore functions (Wolpaw et al. 2002; Graimann et al. 2010; Wolpaw and Wolpaw 2012). BCIs, unlike other communication and control systems, rely on direct measures of brain activity. That is, people simply think, and a computer does the rest. In most BCIs, people must either think about performing certain movements, or pay attention to specific items on a monitor. However, many new BCI paradigms are emerging, many of which are discussed in this book.

The first BCI was described almost fifty years ago (Graimann et al. 2010). It was an invasive BCI, meaning that it relied on sensors placed under the skull via surgery. Almost ten years later, the first noninvasive BCI was published, in an article that also coined the term “brain–computer interface” (Vidal 1973). Like most BCIs today, it was based on the electroencephalogram (EEG) recorded from electrodes on the surface of the head (Allison et al. 2012). In other early work, Farwell and Donchin described a BCI that used the P300 brainwave for communication (Farwell and Donchin 1988). Up to the early 2000s, no more than 5 groups were active in brain–computer interface (BCI) research. Now, over 300 laboratories are focused on this work. This dramatic growth has been driven by many factors, including:

1. Cheaper, smaller, and faster electronics and related instrumentation;
2. Increased understanding of normal and abnormal brain function;

C. Guger (✉) · G. Edlinger
g.tec medical engineering GmbH/Guger Technologies OG,
Sierningstrasse 14 4521 Schiedlberg, Austria
e-mail: guger@gtec.at

B. Allison
Cognitive Science Department, University of California,
San Diego 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 91942, USA

C. Guger et al. (eds.), *Brain–Computer Interface Research*,
SpringerBriefs in Electrical and Computer Engineering,
DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-36083-1_1, © The Author(s) 2013

1

3. Improved interfaces and environments;
4. Additional testing and experimentation with target users in field settings;
5. Improved methods for decoding brain signals in real time;
6. Improved sensors, such as active and dry electrodes and improved invasive electrodes.

The BCI Award

As a result, the performance and usability of BCI systems have advanced dramatically over the past several years. To highlight these trends and developments of BCI technology, g.tec began to sponsor an annual BCI Award in 2010. g.tec is a leading provider of BCI research equipment and has a strong interest in promoting excellence in BCI research (Guger et al. 2012). The prize, endowed with 3,000 USD, is an accolade to recognize outstanding and innovative research in the field of brain–computer interface research and application. The competition is open to any BCI group worldwide. There is no limitation or special consideration for the type of hardware or software used in the submission.

Each year, a renowned research laboratory is asked to assemble a jury, help judge the submitted projects and award the prize. This year, the jury was recruited by its chair, Dr. Gert Pfurtscheller of the University of Technology in Graz, Austria. The jury consisted of some of the most respected and accomplished experts in the BCI community: Theresa Vaughan, Michael Tangermann, Guan Cuntai, Robert Leeb and Jane Huggins. The jury selects and announces the winner and presents the prize.

The winner is announced at a public ceremony attached to a major conference. The 2010 BCI Award was presented at the BCI Meeting 2010 in Asilomar, California, and the 2011 BCI Award was presented at a gala dinner during the Fifth International BCI Conference in Graz, Austria (see Fig. 1). The 2012 Award was just presented at the Society for Neuroscience in New Orleans, Louisiana.



Fig. 1 The *left panel* shows the BCI Meeting 2010 in Asilomar, CA, where the 2010 BCI Award was presented. The *right panel* shows the gala dinner where the 2011 BCI Award was presented at the prestigious Hotel Gollner in Graz, Austria

The jury scored the submitted projects on the basis of the following criteria:

- does the project include a novel application of the BCI?
- is there any new methodological approach used compared to earlier projects?
- is there any new benefit for potential users of a BCI?
- is there any improvement in terms of speed of the system (e.g., bits/min)?
- is there any improvement in system accuracy?
- does the project include any results obtained from real patients or other potential users?
- is the used approach working online/in real-time?
- is there any improvement in terms of usability?
- does the project include any novel hardware or software developments?

The Ten Nominees in 2011

We received a total of 64 high quality submissions in 2011. Out of these submissions, the jury nominated the 10 nominees for the BCI Research Award in June 2011. Being nominated for the BCI Award is a major honor. Prof. Dr. Gert Pfurtscheller, Chairman of the 2011 Jury, said, “The BCI Award is outstanding because the whole world competes and only one project can win.” Each nominee receives a certificate at the public ceremony, an invitation to summarize their work in a chapter in this book, and a mark of distinction on their resume or curriculum vita. Figure 2 presents two of the nominees receiving their certificates.

The authors, affiliations and project titles of the 10 nominated projects are:



Fig. 2 Both of these panels show nominees receiving the certificate for their team’s project. The *left panel* shows (from *left to right*): Prof. Dr. Gernot Müller-Putz, organizer of the Fifth International BCI Conference; Prof. Dr. Gert Pfurtscheller, Chairman of the Jury; Lisa Friedrich, who is receiving the certificate for her nomination; Dr. Christoph Guger, CEO of g.tec, and Dr. Brendan Allison, the emcee. The same people are shown in the *right panel*, except that another nominee, Dr. Reinhold Scherer, is in the *middle*

- Tim Blakely, Kai Miller, Jeffrey Ojemann, Rajesh Rao (University of Washington, USA). Exploring the cortical dynamics of learning by leveraging BCI paradigms.
- Jonathan S. Brumberg, Philip R. Kennedy, Frank H. Guenther (Boston University, USA). An auditory output brain–computer interface for speech communication.
- Samuel Clanton, Robert Rasmussen, Zohny Zohny, Meel Velliste, S. Morgan Jeffries, Angus McMorland, Andrew Schwartz (Carnegie Mellon University, University of Pittsburgh, USA). Seven degree of freedom cortical control of a robotic arm.
- Felix Darvas (University of Washington, USA). Utilizing high gamma (HG) band power changes as control signal for non-invasive BCI.
- Elisabeth V. C. Friedrich, Reinhold Scherer, Christa Neuper (University of Graz, Austria). User-appropriate and robust control strategies to enhance brain computer interface performance and usability.
- Moritz Grosse-Wentrup, Bernhard Schölkopf (Max Planck Institute for Intelligent Systems, Germany). What are the neuro-physiological causes of performance variations in brain–computer interfacing?
- Eric C. Leuthardt, Charles Gaona, Mohit Sharma, Nicholas Szrama, Jarod Roland, Zac Freudenberg, Jamie Solis, Jonathan Breshears, Gerwin Schalk (Washington University in St. Louis, USA). Using the electrocorticographic speech network to control a brain–computer interface in humans.
- Daniele De Massari, Carolin Ruf, Adrian Furdea, Sebastian Halder, Tamara Matuz, Niels Birbaumer (University of Tübingen, IRCCS, International Max Planck Research School, Germany). Towards communication in the completely locked-in state: neuroelectric semantic conditioning BCI.
- Qibin Zhao, Akinari Onishi, Yu Zhang, Andrzej Cichocki (RIKEN, Japan). An affective BCI using multiple ERP components associated to facial emotion processing.
- Raphael Zimmermann, Laura Marchal-Crespo, Olivier Lambercy, Marie-Christine Fluet, Jean-Claude Metzger, Johannes Brand, Janis Edelmann, Kynan Eng, Robert Riener, Martin Wolf, Roger Gassert (ETH Zürich, Switzerland). What’s your next move? Detecting movement intention for stroke rehabilitation.

Each of these ten projects is described in a separate chapter of this book.¹ Nominees described the projects they submitted, and provided some additional background material and new developments since their submissions. In the concluding chapter, the submissions are analyzed to show key properties and trends that help identify the dominant and emerging directions of BCI research.

¹ The 2010 nominees are summarized in **Recent Advances in Brain-Computer Interface Systems**, edited by Reza Fazel, InTech, 2011: State-of-the-Art in BCI research: BCI Award 2010.

References

- B.Z. Allison, J. Faller, C. Neuper, BCIs that use steady-state visual evoked potentials or slow cortical potentials, in *Brain–Computer Interfaces: Principles and Practice*, ed. by J.R. Wolpaw, E.W. Wolpaw (Oxford University Press, New York, 2012), pp. 241–250
- L.A. Farwell, E. Donchin, Talking off the top of your head: toward a mental prosthesis utilizing event-related brain potentials. *Electroenceph. Clin. Neurophysiol.* **70**, 510–523 (1988)
- B. Graimann, B.Z. Allison, G. Pfurtscheller, A gentle introduction to brain–computer interface (BCI) systems, in: *Brain–Computer Interfaces: Revolutionizing Human–Computer Interaction*, ed. by B. Graimann, B.Z. Allison, G. Pfurtscheller (Springer Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2010), pp. 1–28
- C. Guger, G. Krausz, B.Z. Allison, G. Edlinger, A comparison of dry and gel-based electrodes for P300 BCIs. *Frontiers Neurosci.* **6**, 60 (2012)
- J.R. Wolpaw, E.W. Wolpaw, Brain–computer interfaces: something new under the sun, in *Brain–Computer Interfaces: Principles and Practice*, ed. by J.R. Wolpaw, E.W. Wolpaw (Oxford University Press, New York, 2012), pp. 3–12
- J.J. Vidal, Towards direct brain–computer communication. *Annu. Rev. Biophys. Bioeng.* **2**, 157–180 (1973)
- J.R. Wolpaw, N. Birbaumer, D.J. McFarland, G. Pfurtscheller, T.M. Vaughan, Brain–computer interfaces for communication and control. *Clin. Neurophysiol.* **113**(6), 767–791 (2002)

Conclusion and Future Directions

Overall, the BCI Awards have helped to encourage excellence in BCI research, identify key directions, and promote BCI research around the world. The ten projects summarized in this book represent some of the most promising accomplishments from the top research groups. However, the 2012 BCI Award, which is underway as of this writing, has so far been even more competitive than before. We editors plan a book summarizing the nominees, their follow-up work, and further analyses of major trends.

g.tec has already committed to host the fourth annual BCI Award in 2013. Researchers are encouraged to keep abreast of relevant announcements at bci-award.com, and consider submitting their research. Given the level of competition, extra time to develop the best submission is strongly recommended. We editors would like to conclude by thanking all the groups who submitted projects to the BCI Awards over the years, and the many other innovators in BCI

research.