





# Ray Casting



# Overview of Today

---

- Ray Casting Basics
- Camera and Ray Generation
- Ray-Plane Intersection



# Ray Casting

---


For every pixel

    Construct a ray from the eye

    For every object in the scene

        Find intersection with the ray

        Keep if closest



# Shading

---

For every pixel


Construct a ray from the eye

For every object in the scene

Find intersection with the ray

Keep if closest

Shade depending on light and normal vector





# A Note on Shading

---

- Surface/Scene Characteristics:
  - surface normal
  - direction to light
  - viewpoint
- Material Properties
  - Diffuse (matte)
  - Specular (shiny)
  - ...
- Much more soon!



*Diffuse sphere*




*Specular spheres*

# Ray Tracing



---

- Secondary rays (shadows, reflection, refraction)



# Ray Tracing

---



HENRIK WANN JENSEN 2000

# Ray Casting

---

For every pixel


Construct a ray from the eye

For every object in the scene

**Find intersection with the ray**

Keep if closest

Shade depending on light and **normal** vector




Finding the  
**intersection** and  
**normal** is the  
central part of  
ray casting

# Ray Representation?

---





- Two vectors:
  - Origin
  - Direction (normalized is better)
- Parametric line
  - $P(t) = \text{origin} + t * \text{direction}$



# Overview of Today

---

- Ray Casting Basics
- Camera and Ray Generation
- Ray-Plane Intersection



# Cameras

---


For every pixel

**Construct a ray from the eye**

For every object in the scene

Find intersection with ray

Keep if closest




Abraham Bosse, *Les Perspecteurs*. Gravure extraite de la *Manière*

# Pinhole Camera

---


- Box with a tiny hole
  - Inverted image
  - Similar triangles
- Perfect image if hole infinitely small
  - Pure geometric optics
  - **No depth of field issue**



# Simplified Pinhole Camera

---


- Eye-image pyramid (frustum)
- Note that the distance/size of image are arbitrary



# Camera Description?


---

- Eye point  $e$  (*center*)
- Orthobasis  $u, v, w$  (*horizontal, up, -direction*)
- Field of view *angle*
- Image rectangle *height, width*




# Perspective vs. Orthographic ~~(difference)~~

---



perspective




orthographic

- Parallel projection
- No foreshortening
- No vanishing point

# Orthographic Camera

---



- Ray Generation?
  - Origin = center +  $(x-0.5)*\text{size}*\text{horizontal} + (y-0.5)*\text{size}*\text{up} ??$
  - Direction is constant

# Other Weird Cameras

---





- E.g. fish eye, omnimax, panorama



# Overview of Today

---

- Ray Casting Basics
- Camera and Ray Generation
- Ray-Plane Intersection



# Ray Casting

---

For every pixel


    Construct a ray from the eye

    For every object in the scene

**Find intersection with the ray**

    Keep if closest


First we will study ray-plane intersection



# Recall: Ray Representation


---

- Parametric line
- $P(t) = R_o + t * R_d$
- Explicit representation



# 3D Plane Representation?

- Plane defined by
  - $P_o = (x, y, z)$
  - $n = (A, B, C)$
- Implicit plane equation
  - $H(P) = Ax + By + Cz + D = 0$   
 $= n \cdot P + D = 0$
- Point-Plane distance?
  - If  $n$  is normalized,  
distance to plane,  $d = H(P)$
  - $d$  is the *signed distance*!



# Explicit vs. Implicit?


---

- Ray equation is explicit  $P(t) = R_o + t * R_d$ 
  - Parametric
  - Generates points
  - Hard to verify that a point is on the ray
- Plane equation is implicit  $H(P) = n \cdot P + D = 0$ 
  - Solution of an equation
  - Does not generate points
  - Verifies that a point is on the plane
- Exercise: Explicit plane and implicit ray

# Ray-Plane Intersection

---

- Intersection means both are satisfied
- So, insert explicit equation of ray into implicit equation of plane & solve for t



# Additional Housekeeping ??


---

- Verify that intersection is closer than previous

$$P(t) < t_{\text{current}}$$

- Verify that it is not out of range (behind eye)


$$P(t) > t_{\min}$$



# Normal

---

- For shading
  - diffuse: dot product between light and normal
- Normal is constant



# A moment of mathematical beauty


---

- Duality : points and planes are dual when you use homogeneous coordinates
- Point  $(x, y, z, 1)$
- Plane  $(A, B, C, D)$
- Plane equation  $\rightarrow$  dot product
- You can map planes to points and points to planes in a dual space.
- Lots of cool equivalences
  - e.g. intersection of 3 planes define a point
    - $\square \rightarrow$  3 points define a plane!

# Overview of Today

---


- Ray-Sphere Intersection
- Ray-Triangle Intersection



# Sphere Representation?

---

- Implicit sphere equation
  - Assume centered at origin (easy to translate)
  - $H(P) = P \cdot P - r^2 = 0$



# Ray-Sphere Intersection


---

- Insert explicit equation of ray into implicit equation of sphere & solve for t

$$P(t) = R_o + t \cdot R_d \quad H(P) = P \cdot P - r^2 = 0$$

$$(R_o + t \cdot R_d) \cdot (R_o + t \cdot R_d) - r^2 = 0$$

$$R_d \cdot R_d t^2 + 2R_d \cdot R_o t + R_o \cdot R_o - r^2 = 0$$



# Ray-Sphere Intersection

---

- Quadratic:  $at^2 + bt + c = 0$ 
  - $a = 1$  (remember,  $\|R_d\| = 1$ )
  - $b = 2R_d \cdot R_o$
  - $c = R_o \cdot R_o - r^2$

$$d = \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}$$

- with discriminant


$$t_{\pm} = \frac{-b \pm d}{2a}$$

- and solutions

# Ray-Sphere Intersection

---



- 3 cases, depending on the sign of  $b^2 - 4ac$
- What do these cases correspond to?
- Which root ( $t+$  or  $t-$ ) should you choose?
  - Closest positive! (usually  $t-$ )



# Ray-Sphere Intersection

---


- It's so easy  
that all  
ray-tracing  
images  
have  
spheres!



# Geometric Ray-Sphere Intersection

---


- Shortcut / easy reject
- What geometric information is important?
  - Ray origin inside/outside sphere?
  - Closest point to ray from sphere origin?
  - Ray direction: pointing away from sphere?



# Geometric Ray-Sphere Intersection

---


- Is ray origin **inside/outside/on** sphere?
  - ( $R_o \cdot R_o < r^2$  /  $R_o \cdot R_o > r^2$  /  $R_o \cdot R_o = r^2$ )
  - If origin on sphere, be careful about degeneracies...



# Geometric Ray-Sphere Intersection


---

- Is ray origin **inside/outside/on** sphere?
- Find closest point to sphere center,  $t_p = -\mathbf{R}_o \cdot \mathbf{R}_d$ 
  - If origin outside &  $t_p < 0 \rightarrow \text{no hit}$




# Geometric Ray-Sphere Intersection

- Is ray origin **inside/outside/on** sphere?
- Find closest point to sphere center,  $t_p = -\mathbf{R}_o \cdot \mathbf{R}_d$ .
- Find squared distance,  $d^2 = \mathbf{R}_o \cdot \mathbf{R}_o - t_p^2$ 
  - If  $d^2 > r^2 \rightarrow \text{no hit}$



# Geometric Ray-Sphere Intersection

- Is ray origin **inside/outside/on** sphere?
- Find closest point to sphere center,  $t_p = - \mathbf{R}_o \cdot \mathbf{R}_d$ .
- Find squared distance:  $d^2 = \mathbf{R}_o \cdot \mathbf{R}_o - t_p^2$
- Find distance ( $t'$ ) from closest point ( $t_p$ ) to correct intersection:  $t'^2 = r^2 - d^2$ 
  - If origin outside sphere  $\rightarrow t = t_p - t'$
  - If origin inside sphere  $\rightarrow t = t_p + t'$



# Geometric vs. Algebraic


---

- Algebraic is simple & generic
- Geometric is more efficient
  - Timely tests
  - In particular for rays outside and pointing away

# Sphere Normal

---


- Simply  $\mathbf{Q}/\|\mathbf{Q}\|$ 
  - $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{P}(t)$ , intersection point
  - (for spheres centered at origin)



# Overview of Today

---


- Ray-Sphere Intersection
- Ray-Triangle Intersection
- Implementing CSG



# Ray-Triangle Intersection

---


- Use general ray-polygon
- Or try to be smarter
  - Use barycentric coordinates (XM)



# Barycentric Definition of a Plane

---

- $P(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \alpha a + \beta b + \gamma c$  [Möbius, 1827]  
with  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$
- Is it explicit or implicit?




P is the *barycenter*:  
the single point upon which  
the plane would balance if  
weights of size  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , &  $\gamma$   
are  
placed on points a, b, & c.

# Barycentric Definition of a Triangle

---


- $P(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \alpha a + \beta b + \gamma c$   
with  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$
- AND  $0 < \alpha < 1$  &  $0 < \beta < 1$  &  $0 < \gamma < 1$



# How Do We Compute $\alpha$ , $\beta$ , $\gamma$ ?

---


- Ratio of opposite sub-triangle area to total area
  - $\alpha = A_a/A$      $\beta = A_b/A$      $\gamma = A_c/A$
- Use signed areas for points outside the triangle



# Intuition Behind Area Formula

---

- P is barycenter of a and Q
- $A_a$  is the interpolation coefficient on  $\overline{aQ}$
- All points on lines parallel to  $\overline{bc}$  have the same  $\alpha$   
(All such triangles have same height/area)



# Simplify


- Since  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$ , we can write

$$\alpha = 1 - \beta - \gamma$$

$$P(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \alpha a + \beta b + \gamma c$$

$$P(\beta, \gamma) = (1 - \beta - \gamma)a + \beta b + \gamma c$$

$$\frac{1}{\gamma}a + \beta(b-a) + \gamma(c-a)$$



rewrite

Non-orthogonal  
coordinate system  
of the plane

# Intersection with Barycentric Triangle

- Set ray equation equal to barycentric equation


$$P(t) = P(\beta, \gamma)$$

$$R_o + t * R_d = a + \beta(b-a) + \gamma(c-a)$$

- Intersection if

$$\beta + \gamma < 1 \quad \& \quad \beta > 0 \quad \& \quad \gamma > 0$$

(and  $t > t_{\min} \dots$ )



# Intersection with Barycentric Triangle

---

- $R_o + t * R_d = a + \beta(b-a) + \gamma(c-a)$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} R_{ox} + tR_{dx} = a_x + \beta(b_x-a_x) + \gamma(c_x-a_x) \\ R_{oy} + tR_{dy} = a_y + \beta(b_y-a_y) + \gamma(c_y-a_y) \\ R_{oz} + tR_{dz} = a_z + \beta(b_z-a_z) + \gamma(c_z-a_z) \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} 3 \text{ equations,} \\ 3 \text{ unknowns} \end{array}$$

- Regroup & write in matrix form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_x - b_x & a_x - c_x & R_{dx} & \beta \\ a_y - b_y & a_y - c_y & R_{dy} & \gamma \\ a_z - b_z & a_z - c_z & R_{dz} & t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_x - R_{ox} \\ a_y - R_{oy} \\ a_z - R_{oz} \end{bmatrix}$$

# Cramer's Rule

---

- Used to solve for one variable at a time in system of equations

$$\beta = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} a_x - R_{ox} & a_x - c_x & R_{dx} \\ a_y - R_{oy} & a_y - c_y & R_{dy} \\ a_z - R_{oz} & a_z - c_z & R_{dz} \end{vmatrix}}{|A|}$$
$$\gamma = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} a_x - b_x & a_x - R_{ox} & R_{dx} \\ a_y - b_y & a_y - R_{oy} & R_{dy} \\ a_z - b_z & a_z - R_{oz} & R_{dz} \end{vmatrix}}{|A|}$$

$$t = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} a_x - b_x & a_x - c_x & a_x - R_{ox} \\ a_y - b_y & a_y - c_y & a_y - R_{oy} \\ a_z - b_z & a_z - c_z & a_z - R_{oz} \end{vmatrix}}{|A|}$$


| | denotes the determinant

Can be copied mechanically into code

# Advantages of Barycentric Intersection

---


- Efficient
- Stores no plane equation
- Get the barycentric coordinates for free
  - Useful for interpolation, texture mapping



# Precision

---


- What happens when
  - Origin is on an object?
  - Grazing rays?
- Problem with floating-point approximation



# The evil $\epsilon$

---

- In ray tracing, do NOT report intersection for rays starting at the surface (no false positive)
  - Because secondary rays
  - Requires epsilon



# The evil $\varepsilon$ : a hint of nightmare

---

- Edges in triangle meshes
  - Must report intersection (otherwise not watertight)
  - No false negative

