

Project TMA4220: Finite Element Method

XXX, YYY

1 Theory

1.1 The problem

The heat equation on a general domain is given as

$$k\nabla^2 u(\mathbf{x}, t) = \frac{\partial u(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial t}, \quad (1)$$

with the Dirichlet condition $u(\mathbf{x}, t) = g(\mathbf{x}, t)$ on the boundary, for some given function g . If we instead look at the stationary case, the right hand side of (1) becomes zero. In addition if instead of looking at the inhomogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be bounded, open set, and let $u(\mathbf{x})$ satisfy the boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 u(\mathbf{x}) &= 0 & , \quad u(\mathbf{x}) &\in \Omega \\ u(\mathbf{x}) &= u_0 & , \quad u &\in \partial\Omega_D \\ k \frac{\partial u(\mathbf{x})}{\partial n} &= -h(u(\mathbf{x}) - u_{amb}), & u &\in \partial\Omega_R. \end{aligned}$$

Here the temperature u_0 and the ambient temperature u_{amb} are fixed, while h is the heat transfer coefficient between two materials. $\partial\Omega_D$ and $\partial\Omega_R$ denotes the part Dirichlet and Robin part of the boundary $\partial\Omega = \partial\Omega_D \cup \partial\Omega_R$, respectively. In the Robin boundary condition \mathbf{n} is the outward normal unit vector. The term $\partial u / \partial n$ is the heat flux, and is in this model proportional to the temperature difference between the ambient temperature and the solution. The minus sign emphasizes that the heat is flowing in the opposite direction of the temperature gradient, which is reasonable.

1.2 Weak form

The finite element method requires the PDE to be formulated in terms of its weak form. We introduce the set of test functions $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. This is the set of all infinitely differentiable functions with compact support in Ω . $V = H_0^1(\Omega)$ is the usual Sobolev space. By Green's formula we have

$$\int_{\Omega} v \nabla^2 u \, d\Omega = \int_{\partial\Omega} v \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \, d\gamma - \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, d\Omega = 0. \quad (2)$$

The boundary integral is split up into the $\partial\Omega_D$ and $\partial\Omega_R$. If then $v \in V$, then the integral over $\partial\Omega_D$ vanishes and, by collecting terms, (2) reduces to

$$\frac{k}{h} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, d\Omega + \int_{\partial\Omega_R} uv \, d\gamma = u_{amb} \int_{\partial\Omega_R} v \, d\gamma. \quad (3)$$

Note that because of the inhomogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions $u \notin V$, even though the proper weak formulation should state: Find $u \in V$ such that (3) is satisfied $\forall v \in V$. Formally one should explicitly introduce a lifting function R such that a new function $\hat{u} = u - R$ satisfies the homogeneous Dirichlet conditions, and formulate the weak form in terms of \hat{u} . However, in our numerical scheme we will get the desired solution by setting the solution of our linear system to the known Dirichlet boundary values.

From (3) we see that our bilinear form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ and linear functional $F(\cdot)$ are

$$a(u, v) = \frac{k}{h} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, d\Omega + \int_{\partial\Omega_R} uv \, d\gamma$$

$$F(v) = u_{amb} \int_{\partial\Omega_R} v \, d\gamma.$$

Given a set of points $\{\mathbf{x}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ in Ω , we now define a set of nodal functions $\{\varphi_i(\mathbf{x})\}_{i=1}^n$, such that $\varphi_i(\mathbf{x}_j) = \delta_{ij}$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. Now we define $V_h = \text{span}\{\varphi_i(\mathbf{x})\}_{i=1}^n$, and let $u_h(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \varphi_i(\mathbf{x}) \in V_h$. The Galerkin problem can now be formulated as "Find $u_h \in V_h$ such that $a(u_h, v) = F(v) \forall v \in V_h$ ". Since $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is bilinear, $F(\cdot)$ is linear and u_h is a linear combination of the basis functions $\{\varphi_i(\mathbf{x})\}_{i=1}^n$, this problem is equivalent to the linear system

$$A\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{b}, \quad A_{ij} = a(\varphi_i, \varphi_j), \quad b_i = F(\varphi_i),$$

with \mathbf{u} being the vector with elements corresponding to the coefficients u_i of u_h . We note that since we have not yet enforced the Dirichlet boundary conditions, the solution is not unique, hence A is not invertible in its current form.

1.3 Barycentric coordinates

References

- [1] The Finite Element Method (FEM). <https://www.comsol.com/multiphysics/finite-element-method>.
- [2] TMA4220 Programming project - part 1. https://www.math.ntnu.no/emner/TMA4220/2016h/project/Problem_set.pdf.
- [3] Author. *Title*. Publisher, 2016.
- [4] Alfio Quarteroni. *Numerical Models for Differential Problems (MSE&A)*. Springer, 2014.