A Practical Guide to Tuning Spiking Neuronal Dynamics: Supplement

William Gebhardt, Alexander G. Ororbia II, Nathan McDonald, Clare Thiem, Jack Lombardi

Visualization of Poisson Processes




Figure 1: Experimental comparison between a Bernoulli trial-generated Poisson process, a phasor, and the true probability mass function (pmf) of the Poisson distribution. Featured above are plots conditioned on four different values for the number of events that happen in 1000 time steps. Each plot was generated using 10,000 trials of both a sampled Poisson process (Section 2.1.2) and a sampled phasor (Section 2.1.3), where the x-axis is the number of events that occurred during that trial and the y-axis is the probability or the proportion of the trials that had that number of events. It can be seen that, as the number of events increases, the phasor does a more consistent job mirroring the pmf of the Poisson Distribution. This, however, does not mean that a phasor is a better encoding technique as each style of spike train encoding has its own unique strengths and weaknesses.

The views and conclusions contained herein are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies or endorsements, whether expressed or implied, of the Air Force Research Laboratory (AFRL) or the U.S. Government. This material has been cleared for public release, unlimited distribution. (PA Case #: AFRL-2025-1948).

Additional (High) Latency-LIF Example




Figure 2: (High) Latency-LIF coupled dynamics. For the case of a high-latency variation of latency-encoded inputs, we present above a visual of the dynamics of a four-cell system – 3 latency-coding input cells synaptically wired into 1 LIF cell – under two different time constant settings (left shows a "healthy" setting; right shows a "degenerate" setting). Both cases show the scenario where two initial (high) latency-coded spikes occur near one another with the last one lagging behind. Notice, in the "healthy" setting, the closeness of two input spike pulses do **not** result in an output spike emission; the "degenerate" setting results in an unexpected, constant stream of output spikes emitted from the input pulses.